FISHERIES RESEARCH REPORT NO. 177, 2008

## A 12-month survey of recreational boat-based fishing between Augusta and Kalbarri on the West Coast of Western Australia during 2005-06

N.R. Sumner, P.C. Williamson, S.J. Blight and D.J. Gaughan





Fisheries Research Division Western Australian Fisheries and Marine Research Laboratories PO Box 20 NORTH BEACH Western Australia 6920

#### **Fisheries Research Reports**

Titles in the Fisheries Research Report series present technical and scientific information for use in management processes. Research Reports are subject to full internal refereeing by senior scientists of the Fisheries Research Division, and in many cases, elements of the work are published in the international scientific literature.

#### **Correct citation:**

Sumner, N.R., Williamson, P.C., Blight, S.J. and Gaughan, D.J. A 12-month survey of recreational boatbased fishing between Augusta and Kalbarri on the West Coast of Western Australia during 2005-06. Fisheries Research Report No. 177, Department of Fisheries, Western Australia, 44p.

#### **Enquiries:**

WA Fisheries and Marine Research Laboratories, PO Box 20, North Beach, WA 6920 Tel: +61 8 9203 0111 Email: library@fish.wa.gov.au Website: www.fish.wa.gov.au ABN: 55 689 794 771

A complete list of Fisheries Research Reports is available online at www.fish.wa.gov.au

© Department of Fisheries, Western Australia. June 2008. ISSN: 1035 - 4549 ISBN: 1 921258 27 6

# Contents

	Abstract		5
1.0	Introduction	l	6
2.0	Methods 2.1 Survey de 2.2 Spatial ar 2.3 Estimatio	esign ad temporal stratification n of ocean line fishing effort	<b>8</b> 8 9 10
3.0	Results 3.1 Number of 3.2 Recreation 3.3 Recreation	of interviews nal ocean line fishing effort nal ocean line fishing catch	<b>12</b> 12 12 12
4.0	Discussion		14
5.0	Conclusions		17
6.0	Acknowledg	ments	18
7.0	References		19
8.0	Tables and f	igures	21
9.0	Appendices.		26
	Appendix A	Boat ramp trailer count form	26
	Appendix B	Boat ramp interview questionnaire form	27
	Appendix C	Catch and effort calculations for boats launched from public boat ramps	28
	Appendix D	Schematic representation of calculations to estimate catch and fishing effort	31
	Appendix E	Spatial distribution of estimated recreational ocean line fishing effort in the West Coast Bioregion for boats launched from public ramps	32
	Appendix F	Estimated total number of fish retained by recreational ocean line fishing in the West Coast Bioregion for boats launched from public ramps	33
	Appendix G	Estimated total number of fish (by species) released by recreational ocean line fishing in the West Coast Bioregion for boats launched from public ramps.	35
	Appendix H	Spatial distribution of the estimated recreational ocean line fishing catch of WA dhufish in the West Coast Bioregion for boats launched from public ramps	37
	Appendix I	Spatial distribution of the estimated recreational ocean line fishing catch of pink snapper in the West Coast Bioregion for boats launched from public ramps	38

Appendix J	Spatial distribution of the estimated recreational ocean line fishing catch of baldchin groper in the West Coast Bioregion for boats launched from public ramps	39
Appendix K	Spatial distribution of the estimated recreational ocean line fishing catch of breaksea cod in the West Coast Bioregion for boats launched from public ramps	40
Appendix L	Spatial distribution of the estimated recreational ocean line fishing catch of Australian herring for trailer boats in the West Coast Bioregion.	41
Appendix M	Spatial distribution of the estimated recreational ocean line fishing catch of skipjack trevally in the West Coast Bioregion for boats launched from public ramps.	42
Appendix N	Spatial distribution of the estimated recreational ocean line fishing catch of King George whiting in the West Coast Bioregion for boats launched from public ramps	43
Appendix O	Spatial distribution of the estimated recreational ocean line fishing catch of combined whiting species in the West Coast Bioregion for boats launched from public ramps.	44

# A 12-month survey of recreational boat-based fishing between Augusta and Kalbarri on the West Coast of Western Australia during 2005-06

N.R. Sumner, P.C. Williamson, S.J. Blight and D.J. Gaughan

Western Australian Fisheries and Marine Research Laboratories

PO Box 20, North Beach WA 6920

#### Abstract

A 12-month survey of boat-based recreational fishing in the West Coast Bioregion (Augusta to Kalbarri) of Western Australia was conducted at boat ramps between 1st July 2005 and 30th June 2006. During the survey a total of 15,999 boat crews were interviewed, of which 13,185 (82%) had been undertaking some form of fishing activity and, of these, 10,382 (or 79%) of fishing boat crews had been ocean line fishing. The total annual recreational boat-based fishing effort for the West Coast Bioregion was estimated to be 1,557,000 fisher hours (95%CI: 1,495,000 – 1,620,000), which is a 15.5% increase in nominal fishing effort (i.e. this does not include increased efficiencies generated by technological improvements) compared to a similar survey conducted on the West Coast Bioregion in 1996/97. The survey estimated the highest catch (by number kept) was whiting (404,400, which includes a mixture of four species), Australian herring (288,400), squid (83,800), skipjack trevally (73,700) and King George whiting (48,400). The largest estimated catch by weight kept was Western Australian dhufish (186 tonnes), followed by the whiting (46 tonnes), pink snapper (40 tonnes), Australian herring (40 tonnes), skipjack trevally (34 tonnes) and baldchin groper (28 tonnes).

# 1.0 Introduction

The West Coast Bioregion extends from south of 27°S on the west coast to west of 115°30'E on the south coast, and includes approximately 900 kilometres of coastline between Kalbarri and Augusta (Figure 1). The coastline includes Western Australia's capital city Perth and several of the State's largest regional centres, Bunbury, Busselton and Geraldton. The West Coast Bioregion contains 81% of the Western Australian population of 1.98 million people (Trewin, 2006). Recreational fishing is a popular leisure activity in Western Australia, with an estimated 538,000 persons participating in recreational fishing at least once a year; 85% of these fish in the West Coast Bioregion (Baharthah, 2006).

Given that demersal fishing activities principally occur off the main residential areas of the West Coast Bioregion and that over the last decade there have been significant increases in both population size and fishing efficiency, such as through the use of Global Positioning Systems (GPS) and high quality colour echo sounders, increasing pressure is now being placed on the demersal species in this bioregion (Wise et al., 2007). Consequently, there has been growing concern from both the WA Department of Fisheries and stakeholder groups about the sustainability of these demersal species in the West Coast Bioregion.

Demersal fishing activities in the West Coast Bioregion covers a number of competing sectors including commercial fishing activities (the wetline fleet, the demersal gillnet and demersal longline ['shark'] fishery and, until recently, ancillary activities by the rock lobster fishery), plus significant recreational fishing activities (boat-based angling and the charter boat fishery) (Wise et al., 2007). Collectively these activities are known as the West Coast Demersal Scale-fish Fishery (WCDSF), which is a multi-species fishery that lands over 100 different species with the key indicator species being WA dhufish, pink snapper and baldchin groper.

The West Coast Demersal Scalefish fishery extends over approximately 900 km of coastline and can be divided into the following main zones (Figure 1):

- Kalbarri zone (26°30'S 28°S)
- Midwest zone  $(28^{\circ}S 31^{\circ}S)$
- Metropolitan (Metro) zone (31°S 33°S)
- South zone (33°S 115°30′E)
- Abrolhos Islands subregion

Information on the levels of catch, fishing effort and catch rates from all fishing sectors is required to evaluate the status of stocks. In Western Australia, the owners of commercial fishing licences are required to provide catch and effort returns as a condition of their licence. These returns provide landed weights for each species and effort as days fished by method. Similarly, tour operators (charter boat fishery) have been required to provide a daily trip return as a condition of their licence since September 2001, from which catch and fishing effort are estimated. Since there is no mandatory reporting system in place for recreational fishers, focused surveys must be conducted to provide catch and fishing effort estimates for this sector.

Following growing concerns about the sustainability of demersal species in the West Coast Bioregion, a recreational boat ramp creel survey was undertaken from the 1st July 2005 to 30th June 2006. The main objective for this survey was to provide estimates of total catch and fishing effort of recreational boat-based ocean line fishing for the West Coast Bioregion and

compare this to results from a similar survey conducted in 1996/97. Analysis of species such as western rock lobster and abalone, which are caught by boat based fishers, but are non-line fishing activities, have not been included in this report as the focus was on ocean line fishing. Estimates for these species would not, therefore, reflect their total catch.

# 2.0 Methods

# 2.1 Survey design

The recreational boat-based creel survey was designed to provide estimates of the total catch and fishing effort by boat-based angler's ocean line fishing in the West Coast Bioregion. This survey did not include estimates of catch and fishing effort of boats from yacht clubs, canals, private marina's and moorings not located near boat ramps<sup>1</sup>.

In total, 61 boat ramps on the West Coast Bioregion that are used to launch boats into the ocean were included in the survey. The survey of boat ramps (questionnaire shown as Appendices A and B) was restricted to eight (8) hours, from 9:00am to 5:00pm from the 1<sup>st</sup> July 2005 to 30<sup>th</sup> June 2006.

A night based boat ramp survey was also undertaken to enable estimates of recreational nightbased catch and fishing effort in Cockburn Sound. Cockburn Sound is an intensively used marine embayment south of Perth (Figure 1) and is also thought to represent an important spawning and nursery area for pink snapper (Wakefield, 2006). The night based boat ramp survey in Cockburn Sound was restricted to five hours (5) from 5:00pm until 10:00pm, from the 15<sup>th</sup> August 2005 to 28<sup>th</sup> February 2006 (but did not include the closed season from the 1st October to 15th December 2005).

The catch and fishing effort information gathered for recreational fishers at boat ramps was recorded in  $5\times5$  nautical mile blocks. These blocks fit within the statistical blocks used for recording the commercial catch in Western Australia ( $60\times60$  nautical miles) and offer a finer resolution for reporting the recreational catch. Tour operators use the same  $5\times5$  nautical mile blocks to report catch and fishing effort.

The survey design was similar to the previous survey conducted on the West Coast Bioregion in 1996/97 (Sumner and Williamson, 1999), with three notable differences:

- The 2005/06 survey was conducted from 9:00am to 5:00pm rather than 8:00am to 4:00pm, as was the case in 1996/97.
- The 2005/06 Cockburn Sound night based boat ramp survey was undertaken, which was not done in 1996/97.
- A limited survey of non-trailered boats was undertaken in 1996/97 (refer to Sumner and Williamson, 1999), but not in 2005/06.

The bus route method was used for this survey. This method requires that survey interviewers visit several boat ramps each day. Whilst at each ramp the count of boat trailers are recorded and interviews of recreational fishers are undertaken (Robson and Jones 1989, Jones *et al.* 1990). When the interviewers are at each boat ramp, they try to interview as many recreational boat crews as possible. When several boats return to the ramp at the same time, the survey interviewers randomly choose which of the boat crews will be interviewed. To increase the percentage of crews interviewed, two interview staff were used at each of the busy Perth metropolitan boat ramps during August 2005-April 2006.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the previous survey (1996/97) the level of effort by this sector was found to be relatively small but may now have increased. This sector has been included in the phone diary survey completed in 2005/06 (Wise et al., 2007).

## 2.2 Spatial and temporal stratification

The 12-month recreational boat-based creel survey commenced on the  $1^{st}$  July 2005 and concluded on the  $30^{th}$  June 2006.

The West Coast Bioregion was divided into a number of districts so that survey interviewers could visit all the boat ramps within a district during a scheduled day. A district thus constituted the base sampling unit, with boat ramps within a district constituting the sub-sampling units. For the districts of Kalbarri and Dongara a single boat ramp represented the entire sampling unit. Thirteen (13) geographic districts were defined; boundaries were chosen to minimise travel time and hence cost. The districts and the number of boat ramps surveyed (in parentheses) for each zone was as follows:

Kalbarri zone:	Kalbarri (1)
Midwest zone:	Jurien Bay (5), Dongara (1), Geraldton (5), Port Gregory (2)
Metro zone:	Mandurah (8), Rockingham (6), South Metro (4), North Metro (3), Lancelin (4)
South zone:	Augusta (5), Busselton (9), Bunbury (8)
Additional Night Survey:	Cockburn Sound (6)

The results of the previous recreational survey conducted in 1996/97, showed that recreational fishers ventured out more frequently on weekends and public holidays (Sumner and Williamson, 1999). Consequently, a higher level of sampling was conducted on weekend and public holidays during the 2005/06 survey.

The combination of districts and day-type divisions resulted in an experimental design with 26 strata (thirteen districts × two for weekdays and non-weekdays). The days each district was surveyed was chosen randomly. For each survey day, randomised schedules were then set up for each district. The schedules specified the order in which to visit the boat ramps and the amount of time to spend at each ramp. The amount of time spent at a particular boat ramp was based on prior information on ramp usage obtained during the 1996/97 survey (Sumner and Williamson, 1999).

For example, a survey interviewer's schedule in the North Metro district may be allocated to each boat ramp as follows:

- Ocean Reef 9:00am to 11:10am (2 hours and 10 minutes)
- Hillarys Marina 11:28am to 3:05pm (3 hours and 37 minutes)
- Mindarie Keys 3:34pm to 5:00pm (1 hour and 26 minutes)

The survey interviewers spent more time at busy boat ramps to maximise the amount of recreational data collected.

# 2.3 Estimation of ocean line fishing effort

This report focuses on the catch and fishing effort for anglers line fishing in the ocean. The fishing effort in fisher hours was estimated (Appendices C and D) from:

- 1) Number and duration (i.e. hours) of boats on the water
- 2) Proportion of boats participating in ocean line fishing<sup>2</sup>
- 3) Average number of anglers per boat participating in ocean line fishing

The initial count of trailers at the boat ramp and the times that boats were launched and retrieved provided an estimate of the total number of boats on the water and the time period for which those boats were on the water<sup>3</sup>. Boat crews were interviewed to determine whether they participated in ocean line fishing and to determine the number of anglers involved. The number of boats line fishing in the ocean was estimated by multiplying the total number of boats on the water by the proportion of boats line fishing in the ocean (e.g. not including those fishing in estuaries). The ocean-line fishing effort (in fisher hours) was estimated by multiplying the average effort in boat hours (which can be thought of as the average number of boats fishing in any given hour of the daily survey period) by the average number of anglers line fishing per boat.

Line fishing effort by boats that were launched before the start of shift (9.00am) and returned after the start of the shift was included in the estimates. The launch time for these boats was obtained when the crews were interviewed. The ratio of effort occurring prior to the start of a shift to that occurring after the start of a shift was estimated and a correction factor (f) applied to the effort estimate for each stratum. The effort from boats returning to the ramp after 5:00pm can not be accounted for by a correction factor, as these crew were not interviewed.

Estimates of ocean line fishing effort were made for each of the 26 strata. These estimates were then aggregated to obtain the total recreational boat-based ocean line fishing effort for the West Coast and zones within the bioregion.

#### Estimation of ocean line fishing catch rates

Catch rates were calculated from information on the time a boat was on the water (i.e. the difference between launch and retrieval times) and catch obtained by interviewing fishers when they returned to the boat ramp. An average catch per boat is calculated for all species for each of the 26 strata and used to estimate catch (Appendices C and D). The boat crews that were interviewed are assumed to be representative of all boat crews for that stratum.

#### Estimation of ocean line fishing catch

To estimate the total catch, the estimated total ocean line fishing effort (fisher hours) was multiplied by the average daily catch rate (Appendices C and D). Separate estimates of total catch were made for each of the 26 strata. As each district constitutes a sampling unit, effort and catch rate data for individual boat ramps within a district, for which survey times covered only part of the 8-hour day, were used to estimate catch and effort for the whole district based on the full 8 hour day. The estimates for each stratum were then aggregated to obtain the total recreational boat-based ocean line fishing catch for each zone and the West Coast Bioregion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Boats crews that did not use a line, but participated in other activities (such as pulling lobster pots, crabbing, diving or snorkelling) were not included in the estimation of ocean line fishing effort.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Trailers belonging to jet skis and tenders for commercial rock lobster boats were not included.

The survey interviewers were not able to identify all species of whiting accurately. Consequently whiting species were grouped together as "combined whiting". This category comprised a mixture of four whiting species: southern school whiting (*Sillago bassensis*), western school whiting (*Sillago vittata*), yellow-finned whiting (*Sillago schomburgkii*) and trumpeter whiting (*Sillago maculata*).

#### Estimation of spatial ocean line fishing effort and catch

The catch and effort estimates for each district were apportioned to the 5x5 nautical mile blocks using the spatial information provided by anglers during the interviews. The survey design allowed only one block to be designated for a fishing trip.

#### Weight estimation

Measured lengths of kept species were converted to weights, using the species length/weight relationships (Table 1). The total weight in tonnes for each species kept was estimated by multiplying the total number of retained fish by the average weight per fish species. The weight of fish kept has been reported for only the most common species, as weights could not be estimated for some species due to the small sample size of measured lengths.

#### **Cockburn Sound Methods**

The methods used to calculate the estimated total catch and fishing effort for the Cockburn Sound night based survey, follow the same methods described above.

## 3.0 Results

#### 3.1 Number of interviews

During the survey a total of 15,999 boat crews were interviewed, of which 13,185 (82%) had been undertaking some form of fishing activity. Of these boats that had been fishing, 10,382 (79%) were ocean line fishing.

# **3.2** Recreational ocean line fishing effort

The estimated total annual recreational ocean line fishing effort on the West Coast Bioregion during 2005/06 was 1,557,000 fisher hours (95%CI: 1,495,000 – 1,620,000). Boats crews not angling but participating in other activities (such as pulling lobster pots crabbing, diving or snorkelling) were not included in the analysis.

The ocean line fishing effort was higher in the more populated areas such as the Metro zone, where an estimated 64% of the fishing effort was expended during 2005/06. (Table 2 and see Appendix E). The spatial distribution of boat-based ocean line fishing effort has radiated further from the large regional centres and expanded considerably offshore between the 1996/97 and 2005/06 surveys.

Trailer counts indicated that most ocean line fishing effort occurred during the period of the day surveyed (9:00am to 5:00pm), however, some fishing occurred before and after the survey period, as indicated by the boat launch and retrieval times. The mean number of trailers counted at boat ramps in the 2005/06 survey decreases towards the end of the day (Figure 2). Approximately 7% of all trailers counted at the end of scheduled shift remained at the boat ramps past 5:00pm in the 2005/06 survey, similar to the 6.3% remaining past 4:00pm in 1996/97 survey. This represents additional missing effort and catch.

Night fishing effort in Cockburn Sound between 15<sup>th</sup> August 2005 and 28<sup>th</sup> February 2006 (excluding the closed season from 1<sup>st</sup> October to 15<sup>th</sup> December 2005) was estimated at 15,000 fisher hours (95%CI: 12,000 - 18,000).

# 3.3 Recreational ocean line fishing catch

The estimated total retained ocean line fishing catch from recreational boats launched from boat ramps on the West Coast during 2005/06 was 1,234,300 individuals with 732,500 released.

The total numbers of fish both kept and released for all species are shown in Appendices F and G. The highest catches by boat-based recreational ocean line anglers in the West Coast Bioregion were (by number kept) estimated to be whiting (404,400 comprising a mixture of four species), Australian herring (*Arripis geogianus*) (288,400), squid (Cephalopodidae) (83,800), skipjack trevally (*Pseudocaranx dentex*) (73,700) and King George whiting (*Sillaginodes punctata*) (48,400) (Appendix F). The estimated weights of species kept were Western Australian dhufish (*Glaucosoma hebracium*) (186 tonnes), whiting (46 tonnes), pink snapper (*Pagrus auratus*) (40 tonnes), Australian herring (*Arripis geogianus*) (40 tonnes), skipjack trevally (*Pseudocaranx dentex*) (34 tonnes) and baldchin groper (*Choerodon rubescens*) (28 tonnes) (Table 3). The proportion of the total catch released ranged from between 9% for Australian herring, to 29% for pink snapper (Appendix G).

The estimated total weight kept (tonnes) for the main species retained by zone are listed in Table 4. In both 2005/06 and 1996/97, the Mid West zone had the highest catches of both WA dhufish (71 and 60 tonnes) and baldchin groper (19 and 11 tonnes) respectively. For pink snapper, the highest catch in 2005/06 was taken in the South zone (15 tonnes) but the highest catch in 1996/97 was 10 tonnes in the Metro zone. The highest catches of whiting (36 and 47 tonnes), Australian herring (32 and 38 tonnes) and skipjack trevally (23 and 22 tonnes) in both 2005/06 and 1996/97 respectively, occurred in the Metro zone.

The night based boat ramp survey in Cockburn Sound conducted between 15<sup>th</sup> August 2005 and 28<sup>th</sup> February 2006 (excluding the closed season from 1<sup>st</sup> October to 15<sup>th</sup> December 2005) estimated that the catch of pink snapper by this activity was 0.5 tonnes. The catch also included small quantities of Australian herring, squid, yellowtail scad, tailor and King George whiting and other species.

The estimated spatial distribution of the catch (numbers of fish kept per spatial block) of the key recreational species are presented in Appendices H to O. It is important to note that some of the spatial distributions of inshore species (e.g. Australian herring) show wider distribution offshore than expected for inshore species because the survey design only allowed for one block location to be recorded per interview. Thus, because fishing trips often expend effort at multiple locations on a given day, the attribution of a whole day's fishing effort to a single spatial block does not allow a comprehensive understanding of changes in location of the catch of individual species.

#### 4.0 Discussion

#### Survey overview

It is important to note that the techniques used in this survey do not require every recreational fisher in the West Coast Bioregion to be interviewed; nonetheless, a total of 15,999 boat crews were interviewed, of which 10,544 different boat crews were interviewed (this equates to approximately 13% of boats registered in Western Australia, DPI, 2007). 13,185 (82% of boats interviewed) had been undertaking some form of fishing activity, of which 10,382 (or 79%) had been ocean line fishing. Night based fishing was only surveyed in Cockburn Sound, a location expected to have a relatively high proportion of night fishing, however night fishing at this location constituted only a small fraction of the total effort in the West Coast Bioregion. Finally, estimates of catches of these species taken whilst diving and not line fishing were not included in this report.

A separate phone diary survey of registered boats owners (including boats kept in yacht clubs, marinas, canals and moorings) was conducted during the same period as the recreational boat ramp creel survey, which provides an alternate method of estimating recreational catch. The phone diary survey includes catch landed outside the times boat ramps were surveyed such as at night. Secondly, the phone-diary survey potentially included larger boats kept in canals, marinas, yacht clubs and on moorings that were not included in the survey of boat ramps. Summary results from this survey can be found in Wise et al. (2007); a full report on this study is currently being prepared.

The Abrolhos Islands zone (Figure 1) was not fully covered by the survey since boats fishing at these offshore islands are typically too large to be 'trailer' boats, so they were not always encountered at boat ramps. Some trailer boats were encountered that reported activity in the Abrolhos Islands zone, plus information for the larger recreational boats was included in the phone diary survey (refer to Wise et al., 2007). Finally, a separate but dedicated recreational survey was conducted in the Abrolhos Islands for the 2006 calendar year (i.e. not 2005-06) the results of which are reported in (Sumner, 2008).

#### Total effort for ocean line fishing in the West Coast Bioregion

The earlier survey by Sumner and Williamson (1999) provided estimates of the total fishing effort for the West Coast Bioregion covering all recreational fishing activities including angling, pulling lobster pots, crabbing, diving and snorkelling. Data from this 1996/97 survey were reanalysed to provide a more precise estimate of recreational scalefish fishing effort to be comparable with the current survey. It is recognised that the estimates for these two surveys are based on different survey time periods (i.e. 8am - 4pm in 1996/97 vs 9am - 5pm in 2005/06), but the increased knowledge of fisher behaviour gained since the first survey, through consultation with stakeholders, indicates that the adjusted survey period obtained data more representative of boat-based ocean line fishers targeting scalefish.

The 2005/06 ocean line fishing effort of 1,557,000 fisher hours (95%CI: 1,495,000 – 1,620,000) represents a 15.5% increase over the ocean line fishing effort of 1,348,000 fisher hours (95%CI: 1,277,000 – 1,419,000) expanded during 1996/97. It is important to recognise that this increase is for nominal effort and does not take increases in fishing efficiency into account. The increased uptake of modern technology such as global positioning systems, colour echo sounders, braided line and chemically sharpened hooks are likely to have increased the efficiency of effort targeting demersal scalefish.

#### Total catch for ocean line fishing in the West Coast Bioregion

Despite that nominal effort (in fisher hours) increase of 15.5% over the nine years between the surveys, the retained weights of the key demersal indicator species in the West Coast Bioregion increased by 49-63%, while numbers caught increased by 15-58%. From 1996/97 to 2005/06 the weight of the retained catch of pink snapper increased 63%; however, the number of fish kept only increased 15%. This was due to the average weight per fish for pink snapper increasing from 1.58kg to 2.25kg.

There has been some notable declines in the catches of the main recreational species between the 1996/97 and 2005/06 surveys. These species include whiting (mixture of four whiting species), Australian herring and King George whiting.

The night fishing catch of pink snapper in Cockburn Sound was estimated as 0.5 tonnes with length ranges for this catch of between 455mm and 760mm. Given this size range, these fish are not likely to be only from the spawning aggregations that form annually in Cockburn Sound. These aggregations are usually comprised of larger fish with lengths between 700mm and 950mm (Wakefield, 2006).

#### Spatial and temporal variations

The spatial distribution of boat-based ocean line fishing effort has radiated further from the large regional centres and expanded considerably offshore between the 1996/97 and 2005/06 surveys. This likely reflects the overall increase in effort as well as a change in fishing behaviour whereby some fishers now travel further to partake in fishing. However, note that the spatial distributions of recreational fishing effort depicted for this survey may not always be indicative of where particular species were caught. Discussions with some recreational anglers indicated that those who were going to target demersal species offshore often undertook some fishing in shallower inshore waters on the outward or return trip (e.g. depending on weather conditions on a particular day), specifically to target inshore species. Because the survey design asked the question "where was most time spent fishing", such anglers would therefore have indicated that they had spent most time fishing offshore, leading to an underestimate of the amount of effort expended inshore, and to misidentification of catch-location for inshore species.

The highest effort occurred in the Metro zone in both surveys. The spatial variations between catches of the key demersal scalefish were consistent between 1996/97 and 2005/06 and reflect their natural distribution. Pink snapper and WA dhufish are distributed throughout the West Coast Bioregion while baldchin gropers are more abundant in the Midwest zone of the West Coast Bioregion.

WA dhufish was the dominant key demersal species by weight (tonnes), and together with the other key offshore demersal species (pink snapper and baldchin groper) comprised the major component of the retained catch by weight by recreational anglers in the 2005/06 survey, this is also reflected in the 1996/97 survey.

What was apparent in the 1996/97 survey, and again found to be the case in 2005/06, was that many boat crews interviewed had not caught the key demersal species but had caught what have traditionally been thought of as inshore species. Indeed, many anglers (20% in 2005/06) were targeting Australian herring, whiting species, and skipjack trevally, hence the high prevalence of these species in the retained catch. Any potential changes to management measures designed to reduce the catch of demersal species may divert additional effort to inshore species. Under conditions of increasing total fishing effort between the two surveys the catches of the key

smaller inshore species have all declined, while the catches of each of the demersal species have increased. This may in part be related to a greater proportion of the total fishing effort being expended in more offshore waters seeking to target the larger demersal species. It may also indicate declines in abundance of these inshore species.

# 5.0 Conclusions

The recreational catch and fishing effort has been estimated for trailered boats ocean line fishing in the West Coast Bioregion during 2005/06. A 15.5% nominal increase in ocean line fishing effort occurred between 1996/97 and 2005/06. However, this does not take into account increases in efficiency by the recreational sector. There was an increase in the retained catches of all the key demersal species by the recreational sector from 1996/97 to 2005/06. This survey did not include estimates of catch and fishing effort of boats launching from yacht clubs, marina's, canals and moorings not located near boat ramps.

Apart from WA Dhufish, on the basis of both numbers and weight of the retained catch, the West Coast Bioregion recreational boat-based fishery in 2005/06 can be considered primarily a fishery for smaller, and predominantly inshore, scalefish species such as whiting species, Australian herring and skipjack trevally. This is very similar to the situation in 1996/97 when the same group of species dominated a major component of the recreational boat-based catch.

Any management measures designed to reduce the catch of demersal species may divert additional effort to Australian herring, whiting species, and skipjack trevally. For this reason the recreational catch of these inshore species will also need to be monitored in the future.

Consideration for the future management of recreational fishing on the West Coast Bioregion must include that many of the inshore species caught by boat-based fishers are also targeted by shore based fishers. There are, however, no estimates of effort and catch for shore based fishing in this bioregion. It is unknown what level of risk that this poses to inshore stocks.

# 6.0 Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge many people who assisted with the West Coast Recreational boatbased creel survey in 2005/06. We especially wish to thank our interviewers Kim Clayton, John Retallack, Matthew Hutchinson, Pat McAuliffe, Andy Ajduk, Ryan Rushton, Cameron Hugh, John Urbanski, Gabby Mitsopoulos, Richard Nile, Bill Trenow, Clinton Blight and Tony Smith. Gabby Mitsopoulos and Emma Samson validated all the data, while Karen Santoro completed the arduous task of entering the information collected into the database. Carli Johnson produced the spatial maps and provided invaluable assistance with editing the report. Lee Patterson assisted with compiling the report.

#### 7.0 References

- Ayvazian, S., Chatfield, B., Gaughan, D., Keay, I and Nowara, G. (2004) The age, growth, reproductive biology and stock assessment of grass emperor, *Lethrinus laticudis* in Shark Bay, Western Australia. Fisheries Research and Development Corporation final report 2000/189. 82p.
- Baharthah, T. (2006) Department of Fisheries Community Survey 2005. Fisheries Occasional Paper No.33. Department of Fisheries, Western Australia 51p.
- Cossington, S. (2006) The biology of the Foxfish *Bodianus frenchii* in the marine coastal waters of south-western Australia. Honours thesis, School of Biological Sciences, Murdoch University, Western Australia.
- Crone, P.R. & Malvestuto, S.P. (1991) Comparison of five estimators of fishing success from creel survey data on three Alabama reservoirs. In Guthrie, D., Hoenig, J.M., Holliday, M., Jones, C.M., Mills, M.J., Moberly, S.A., Pollock, K.H. and Talhelm, D.R (Ed) Creel and angler surveys in fisheries management. American Fisheries Society Symposium 12, 61-66.
- Department for Planning and Infrastructure (2007). Perth Recreational Boating facilities Study: Planning for future needs. Technical Report No. 444. 66p.
- Eastman, A. (2001) Age, growth and reproductive biology of the Breaksea Cod *Epinephelides armatus* Hons thesis, Curtin University of Technology, Muresk Institute of Agriculture, Perth Australia.
- Farmer, B.M., French, D.J.W., Potter, I.C., Hesp, S.A. & Hall, N.G. (2005) Determination of biological parameters for managing the fisheries for Mulloway and Silver Trevally in Western Australia. Fisheries Research and Development Corporation Report, FRDC project 2002/004. Centre for Fish and Fisheries Research, Murdoch University, Western Australia. 149p.
- Ferreira, B.P. and G.R. Russ (1993) Age validation and estimation of growth rate of the coral trout, *Plectropomus leopardus* (Lacepède 1802) from Lizard Island, northern Great Barrier Reef. Fish. Bull. 92:46-57.
- Gaughan, D., Ayvazian, S., Nowara, G., Craine, M., & Brown, J. (2006) The development of a rigorous sampling program for a long-term annual index of recruitment for finfish species from south-western Australia, Final FRDC Report – Project 1999/153, Fisheries Research Report No. 154. Department of Fisheries, Western Australia. 135p.
- Hesp, S.A., Potter, I.C. and Hall, N.G. (2002) Age and size composition, growth rate, reproductive biology, and habitats of the West Australian dhufish (*Glaucosoma hebraicum*) and their relevance to the management of this species. Fish. Bull. 100:214-227.
- Hesp, A. (2003) Biology of two species of sparid on the west coast of Australia. Phd Thesis, Murdoch University, Murdoch. p176.
- Jones, C.M. & Robson, D.S. (1991) Improving precision in angler surveys: traditional access design versus bus route design. In Guthrie, D., Hoenig, J.M., Holliday, M., Jones, C.M., Mills, M.J., Moberly, S.A., Pollock, K.H. and Talhelm, D.R (Ed) Creel and angler surveys in fisheries management. American Fisheries Society Symposium 12, 177-188.
- Jones, C.M., Robson, D.S., Otis, D. and Gloss, S. (1990) Use of a computer simulation model to determine the behaviour of a new survey estimator for recreational angling. Trans. Am. Fisheries Soc. 119, 41-54.
- Kendall, M.G. and Stuart, A. (1969) The Advanced Theory of Statistics. Vol. 1: Distribution Theory. Charles Griffin, London. 232p.
- McAuley, R. and Simpfendorfer, C. (2003) Catch composition of the Western Australian temperate demersal gillnet and demersal longline fisheries, 1994 to 1999. Fisheries Research Report No. 146, Department of Fisheries, Western Australia. 78p.

- Mant, J.C., Moran, M.J., Newman, S.J., Hesp, S.A., Hall, N.G. & Potter, I.C. (2006) Biological characteristics and mortality of western butterfish (*Pentapodus vitta*), an abundant bycatch species of prawn trawling and recreational fishing in a large subtropical embayment. Fishery Bulletin 104(4): 512-520.
- Mackie, M., Gaughan, D.J. and Buckworth, R.C. (2005) Variability in spawning frequency and reproductive development of the narrow-barred Spanish mackerel *(Scomberomorus commerson)* along the west coast of Australia. Fish. Bull 103, 344-354.
- Moran, M.J. & Burton, C. (1990) Relationships among partial and whole lengths and weights for Western Australian pink snapper *Chrysophrys auratus* (Sparidae) – Fisheries Research Report No. 89. Department of Fisheries, Western Australia. 13p.
- Nardi K., Newman S.J., Moran M.J. and Jones G.P. (2006) Vital demographic statistics and management of the baldchin groper (*Choerodon rubescens*) from the Houtman Abrolhos Islands. Marine and Freshwater Research 57: 485–496.
- Neter, J., Wasserman, W. and Whitmore, G.A. (1988) Applied Statistics, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition. Allyn and Bacon, Boston. 1006p.
- Pollock, K.H., Jones, C.M. and Brown, T.L. (1994) Angler survey methods and their application in fisheries management. American Fisheries Society Special Publication. 25, 371p.
- Robson, D.S. and Jones, C.M. (1989) The theoretical basis of an access site angler survey design. Biometrics. 45, 83-96.
- Sumner, N.R. and Williamson, P.C. (1999) A 12-month survey of coastal recreational boat fishing between Augusta and Kalbarri on the west coast of Western Australia during 1996-97. Fisheries Research Report No. 117, Department of Fisheries, Western Australia. 52p.
- Sumner, N.R. (2008) An assessment of the finfish catch by recreational fishers, tour operators, commercial lobster fishers and commercial wetline fishers from the Houtman Abrolhos Islands during 2006. Fisheries Research Report No. 175. Department of Fisheries, Western Australia. 32 p.
- Taylor, R.B. & T.J. Willis (1998) Relationships amongst length, weight and growth of north-eastern New Zealand reef fishes. Mar. Freshwat. Res. 49:255-260.
- Torres, F.S.B., Jr. (1991) Tabular data on marine fishes from Southern Africa, Part I. Length-weight relationships. Fishbyte 9(1):50-53.
- Trewin, D. (2006) 2006 Year Book Australia. No. 88. Australian Bureau of Statistics. No 88. 775p.
- Wakefield, C.B. (2006) Latitudinal and temporal comparisons of the reproductive biology and growth of snapper; Pagrus auratus (Sparidae), in Western Australia. Phd thesis, School of Biological Sciences, Murdoch University, Western Australia.
- Wise, B.S., St John, J. and Lenanton, R.C. (eds) (2007) Spatial scales of exploition among populations of demersal scalefish: implications for management. Part 1: Stock status of the key indicator species for the demersal scalefish fishery in the West Coast Bioregion. Final report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation on Project No. 2003/052. Fisheries Research Report No. 163. Department of Fisheries, Western Australia, 130p.

# 8.0 Tables and figures

Common name	Length-weight relationship	Source for length-weight relationship			
Western Australian	Female: W=4.17x10 <sup>-5</sup> L <sup>2.859</sup>	Hesp et al, 2002			
dhufish	Male: W=3.22x10 <sup>-5</sup> L <sup>2.898</sup>				
	Unknown: W=4.83x10 <sup>-5</sup> L <sup>2.837</sup>				
Pink snapper	W=0.0467727((L-0.7)/11.79) <sup>2.781</sup>	Moran & Burton, 1990			
Australian herring	W=1.44x10 <sup>-5</sup> L <sup>2.94</sup>	Gaughan <i>et al.</i> , 2006			
Skipjack trevally	LnW=2.992LnL-11.331	Farmer <i>et al</i> ., 2005			
Baldchin groper	W=0.012132(L/10) <sup>3.15867</sup>	Nardi <i>et al</i> ., 2006			
Samson fish	W=0.017234949(0.092TL) <sup>2.92134</sup>	Mackie, M. (unpublished data)			
Southern school whiting	W=6.30x10 <sup>-6</sup> L <sup>3.05</sup>	Brown, J. (Fisheries WA, unpublished data)			
King George whiting	W=1.10x10 <sup>-6</sup> L <sup>3.29</sup>	Gaughan <i>et al.</i> , 2006			
Breaksea cod	W=33.938e <sup>(0.0085L)</sup>	Eastman, 2001			
Australian salmon	W=1.30x10 <sup>-6</sup> L <sup>3.36</sup>	Gaughan et al., 2006			
Queen snapper	W=3.808x10 <sup>-6</sup> L <sup>3.175</sup>	Taylor & Willis, 1998			
	(Blue Morwong equation)				
Sand/general whiting	$W=6.30 \times 10^{-6} L^{3.05}$	Brown, J. (Fisheries WA,			
5 5		unpublished data)			
Western school whiting	W=7.74x10 <sup>-6</sup> L <sup>3.01</sup>	Brown, J. (Fisheries WA,			
0		unpublished data)			
Snook	W=0.0035(L/10) <sup>3.05</sup>	Bertoni (unpublished data)			
Narrow-barred spanish mackerel	W(kg)=3.3992e-9((L-42.74)/1.06) <sup>3.1207</sup>	Mackie <i>et al.</i> , 2005			
Sweetlip emperor	W=9.15x10 <sup>-6</sup> L <sup>3.09</sup>	Ayvazian <i>et al.</i> , 2004			
Sea sweep	W=7.626x10 <sup>-6</sup> L <sup>3.136</sup>	Taylor & Willis, 1998			
•	(Silver Sweep equation)	2			
Coral trout	W=0.0079(FL/10) <sup>3.157</sup>	Ferreira & Russ, 1993			
	FL=0.97TL				
Tailor	W=1.15x10 <sup>-5</sup> L <sup>2.97</sup>	Gaughan <i>et al</i> ., 2006			
Yellow-finned whiting	W=2.02x10 <sup>-6</sup> L <sup>3.24</sup>	Gaughan <i>et al.</i> , 2006			
Western foxfish	LnW=2.986LnL-10.857	Cossington, 2006			
Flatheads	W=4.35x10 <sup>-6</sup> L <sup>3.05</sup>	Brown, J. (Fisheries WA,			
		unpublished data)			
Oriental bonito	W=0.0217(FL/10) <sup>2.87</sup> FL=0.9025TL	Torres, 1991			
Southern sea garfish	W=1.94x10 <sup>-6</sup> L <sup>3.09</sup>	Brown, J. (Fisheries WA,			
Ŭ		unpublished data)			
Banded sweep	W=7.626x10 <sup>-6</sup> L <sup>3.136</sup>	Taylor & Willis, 1998			
	(Silver Sweep equation)				
Southern blue-spotted	$W = 4.35 \times 10^{-6} L^{3.05}$	Brown, J. (Fisheries WA,			
flathead		unpublished data)			
Western butterfish	logW=log0.0000122+3.06logFL TL=-2.347+1.11FL	Mant <i>et al.</i> , 2006			
Redfish	W=6.495x10 <sup>-5</sup> L <sup>2.761</sup>	Williamson, P.C. (unpublished data)			
Sergeant baker	W=1.264x10 <sup>-5</sup> L <sup>3.012</sup>	McAuley & Simpfendorfer (2003)			
Silver bream	W=2.14x10 <sup>-5</sup> L <sup>2.932</sup>	Hesp (2003)			

 Table 1.
 Length-weight relationship used to estimate weight of fish.

Note: W is weight in g; L is total length in mm; FL is fork length in mm

 Table 2.
 Recreational line fishing effort by zone.

Zones	2005/	06	1996	6/97
	Effort (fisher hours)	SE (fisher hours)	Effort (fisher hours)	SE (fisher hours)
Kalbarri	24,000	1,000	25,000	5,000
Mid West	195,000	12,000	161,000	9,000
Metro	1,003,000	22,000	926,000	32,000
South	336,000	18,000	236,000	14,000
Total	1,557,000	32,000	1,348,000	36,000

Table 3.Estimated total recreational retained catch by weight for major species caught in West<br/>Coast Bioregion.

		200	5/06	199	6/97
Common name	Scientific Name	Kept	SE	Kept <sup>1</sup>	SE
		(tonnes)	(tonnes)	(tonnes)	(tonnes)
Western Australian dhufish	Glaucosoma hebraicum	186	9	125	26
Combined whiting spp.	Sillaginidae	46	3	58	9
Pink snapper	Pagrus auratus	40	3	25	7
Australian herring	Arripis georgianus	40	2	46	7
Skipjack trevally	Pseudocaranx dentex	34	4	38	10
Baldchin groper	Choerodon rubescens	28	2	19	6
Samson fish	Seriola hippos	24	3	35	12
King George whiting	Sillaginodes punctata	19	1	28	4
Breaksea cod	Epinephelides armatus	16	1	17	4
Australian salmon	Arripis truttaceus	17	3	12	5
Queen snapper	Nemadactylus valenciennesi	10	1.5	19	7
Snook	Sphyraena novaehollandiae	9	2	23	11
Narrow-barred spanish mackerel	Scomberomorus commerson	7	1.5	12	4
Sweetlip emperor	Lethrinus miniatus	6	1	0.4	0.2
Redfish <sup>2</sup>	Centroberyx spp.	5	0.6	1	0.4
Sea sweep	Scorpis aequipinnis	3	0.5	3	1
Tailor	Pomatomus saltatrix	3	0.7	14	4
Sergeant baker	Aulopus purpurissatus	3	0.3	2	1
Flathead, general	Platycephalidae	3	0.3	-	-
Coral trout	Plectropomus maculatus	2	0.6	2	1
Western foxfish	Bodianus frenchii	2	0.3	2	1
Oriental bonito	Sarda orientalis	2	0.4	0	0
Garfish, general	Hemiramphidae	2	0.2	7	2
Silver bream	Rhabdosargus sarba	1	0.1	2	0.6
Banded sweep	Scorpis georgianus	1	0.2	1	0.4
Western butterfish	Pentapodus vitta	1	0.5	-	-

<sup>1</sup> Weight could not be estimated for some species due to the small sample size of measured lengths.

<sup>2</sup> Note that this "redfish" group consists of 2-3 species, which were mainly referred to locally as red snapper. The dominant species was likely to be bight redfish (*Centroberyx gerrardi*), with lesser numbers of swallowtail redfish (*Centroberyx lineatus*). These are different to some tropical species also known as red snapper.

Common	Kalbarri		Mid	West	Ме	tro	South	
name	2005/06	1996/97	2005/06	1996/97	2005/06	1996/97	2005/06	1996/97
WA Dhufish	2	1	71	60	58	48	55	16
	(0.3)	(0.5)	(7)	(6)	(4)	(5)	(5)	(3)
Whiting	0	0	4	2	36	47	6	8
(Mixture of	(0)	(0)	(1)	(1)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)
four species)								
Pink Snapper	2	1	10	9	13	10	15	5
	(0.4)	(0.4)	(1)	(2)	(1)	(1)	(2)	(1)
Australian	0	0	3	4	32	38	5	4
Herring	(0)	(0)	(1)	(1)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(1)
Skipjack	0	0	3	4	23	22	8	12
Trevally	(0)	(0)	(1)	(1)	(6)	(4)	(1)	(5)
Baldchin	1	0.3	19	11	8	7	0.1	0
Groper	(0.2)	(0.2)	(2)	(2)	(1)	(1)	(0.1)	(0)
Samson Fish	0	0	3.8	6.6	13.1	19.2	7	8.4
	(0)	(0)	(1)	(1.5)	(1.8)	(3.1)	(1.7)	(2.5)
King George	0	0	0.04	0	14.4	17.5	4.7	10.1
Whiting	(0)	(0)	(0.02)	(0)	(0.9)	(2.2)	(0.7)	(1.8)
Breaksea	0.2	0.2	2	2.1	9.9	11.3	4.2	3.3
Cod	(0.03)	(0.1)	(0.3)	(1)	(0.5)	(1)	(0.5)	(0.6)
Australian	0	0	0	0	12	5.7	4.7	6.4
Salmon	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(2.1)	(1.9)	(1.6)	(3.3)

**Table 4.**Estimated total catch (tonnes) for the main recreational species retained by zone<br/>(standard errors are shown in parenthesis).



Figure 1. West Coast Bioregion showing the Kalbarri, Abrolhos, Midwest, Metro, Cockburn Sound and South zones.



Figure 2. Mean number of trailers counted at boat ramps in the West Coast Bioregion for various times of the day.

# 9.0 Appendices

ppendi	хA	Bo	oat rar	np trai	iler co	ount for	m			
		WES	T CO B	AST R OAT I	ECR RAMI	EATION P FORM	NAL [ 20(	BOA )5 / 0	T SUR 6	VEY
Interviev	vers N	ame: _								
Date:			Start	Time (24	hr):		Fin	nish Tir	ne(24hr):	
Distric <u>t</u>					B	oat Ramp:				
ENVIRC	ONMEN	NTAL DA	АТА							
Wind:	Cal	m 1	Light 2	M	lod 3	Strong 4	G	ale 5		Direction
Water:	Ca	lm 1	Slight 2	t M	lod 3	Rough 4	V. F	Rough 5		
Cloud C	over:	Clou	ıd %	Raint	fall:	Nil 1	Li	ight 2	Mod 3	Heavy 4
I	Boat La	unches			Boat Re	etrievals		Tota	l Number in car	r of Trailers park
Time	Туре	Time	Туре	Time	Туре	Time	Туре	At Sta	art A	t Finish
								Nur N	nber of B loorings /	oats from Shore
								At Sta	art A	t Finish
									Final C	ount
								At St	art A	t Finish
									 	oat Types
									P Y	Power boat
									0	: Other

# Appendix B Boat ramp interview questionnaire form

	V	VEST	COAS IN	ST R NTE	ECR RVII	EAT EW Q	IO 2U1	NA ES]	L FI FION	SH NA	HING AIRI	E SUR	<b>VEY</b>	2005/	6
Date: _		I	Location:	:					1	Boa	t Reg.	No.:			
Retrieval Time	Launch Time (include date if different from Today)	Boat ( $\underline{P}wr / \underline{Y}acht / \underline{O}ther$ )	Fishing ( $\underline{Y}$ es / $\underline{N}$ o)	$\underline{D}ive / \underline{S}norkel / \underline{W}ater Ski / \underline{O}ther$	Number of Persons Fishing / Number in Party	Number of Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islanders Descent		Home Postcode of Interviewee	Lenght of Boat ( ft / m )		Block Number or Estuary	Crabbing ( <u>Y</u> es / <u>N</u> o)         Time Spent Fishing         Time Spent Fishing         ( Decimal Hrs )         Number of Lines Used         Number and type of nets -         Crab / Gill / c <u>A</u> st / <u>S</u> coop / <u>P</u> ot			
												-			
													(ISHER)	S ONL	Y
( Rec and : Fish	Species     t     species     species       (Record Sex for Lobsters and any Tag Numbers for Fish )     t     n<														
W.A.	Dhufisł	n (male)													
W.A.	Dhufisł	ı (femalo	e)												
Pink S	Snapper	r			_										
Break	sea Coo hin Gro	u ner													
Herri	ng	-per													
1) W	hat is the	e bag limi	it for						targ	etec	d/predo	minant s	pecies fro	m catch	?
				CORR	ECT	INCOF	RE	СТ	DONí	<u>T K</u>	NOW	]			
2) WI	hat is the	e size limi	it for						targe	eted	l/predor	ninant s	pecies fro	m catch	2
			(	CORR	ECT	INCOF	RRE	СТ	DONí	ΤK	NOW	]			

#### Appendix C Catch and effort calculations for boats launched from public boat ramps

#### **Estimation of total effort**

The fishing effort for a day (hours) was estimated by the method of Jones and Robson (1991) as follows:

$$e = fT \sum_{i} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{w_i} \right) \sum_{j} X_{ij} \right]$$
(1)

where T=8 is the time taken to complete the bus route,  $w_i$  is the interviewer wait time at site *i* and  $X_{ij}$  is the time trailer *j* spends at site *i*. A correction factor  $f \ge 1$  was used to adjust the effort for fishing that occurred before the morning shift commenced at time *t*.

$$f = \frac{\sum_{j} (r_j - \ell_j)}{\sum_{j} b_j}$$
(2)

where

$$b_j = \begin{cases} r_j - t, & \ell_j < t \\ r_j - \ell_j, & \ell_j \ge t \end{cases}$$

 $r_j$  is the retrieval time for boat j and  $\ell_j$  is the launch time for boat j. The fishing effort was estimated for a random sample of days in each stratum (see Section 2.2). The estimated variance within stratum k is (Pollock et al., 1994)

$$s_k^2 = \frac{1}{n_k - 1} \sum_{m=1}^{n_k} \left( e_{km} - \overline{e}_k \right)^2$$
(3)

where  $n_k$  is the sample size (days) for stratum k,  $e_{km}$  the effort for stratum k on day m and  $\overline{e}_k$  the mean daily fishing effort for stratum k. The variance associated with the estimate of the mean, with finite population correction (Neter et al., 1988), is calculated as

$$Var(\overline{e}_{k}) = \frac{s_{k}^{2}}{n_{k}} \left( \frac{N_{k} - n_{k}}{N_{k}} \right)$$
(4)

where  $N_k$  is the total number of days in stratum k. The total effort for stratum k is estimated as

$$\hat{E}_{k} = \frac{N_{k}}{n_{k}} \sum_{m=1}^{n_{k}} e_{km}$$
(5)

The variance associated with  $\hat{E}_k$  is estimated by

$$Var(\tilde{E}_{k}) = N_{k}^{2} Var(\bar{e}_{k})$$
(6)

The standard error is calculated by the usual method

$$SE(\hat{E}_k) = \sqrt{Var(\hat{E}_k)} \tag{7}$$

The total effort is estimated by summing the effort for the strata as follows

$$\hat{E} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \hat{E}_k \tag{8}$$

where *n* is the number of strata. Similarly the variance of  $\hat{E}$  is estimated from the independent variances for the strata

$$Var(\hat{E}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} Var(\hat{E}_k)$$
(9)

The standard error of  $\hat{E}$  is calculated by the usual method

n.

$$SE(\hat{E}) = \sqrt{Var(\hat{E})}$$
(10)

#### **Estimation of total catch**

The catch rate for each stratum k is estimated by (Crone and Malvestuto, 1991) since the probability of sampling a boat is independent of trip length

$$\hat{R}_{k} = \frac{\overline{c}_{k}}{\overline{L}_{k}} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_{k}} c_{kj} / n_{k}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n_{k}} L_{kj} / n_{k}}$$

$$(11)$$

where  $n_k$  is the number of boats where the catch was recorded,  $c_{kj}$  the catch for boat j and  $L_{kj}$  the effort, in hours, for boat j. The variances for  $\overline{c}_k$  and  $\overline{L}_k$  can be calculated by the usual method (see (3) and (4) without the finite population correction factor). The variance for  $\hat{R}_k$  can be estimated using the formulae described in Kendall and Stuart (1969)

$$Var(\hat{R}_{k}) \approx \hat{R}_{k}^{2} \left( \frac{Var(\overline{c}_{k})}{\overline{c}_{k}^{2}} + \frac{Var(\overline{L}_{k})}{\overline{L}_{k}^{2}} - \frac{2Cov(\overline{c}_{k}, \overline{L}_{k})}{\overline{c}_{k}\overline{L}_{k}} \right)$$
(12)

The covariance term was assumed to be zero.

The total catch for stratum k is estimated as

$$\hat{C}_k = \hat{E}_k \hat{R}_k \tag{13}$$

The variance was estimated using the formulae described in Kendall and Stuart (1969)

$$Var(\hat{C}_k) \approx \hat{C}_k^2 \left( \frac{Var(\hat{E}_k)}{\hat{E}_k^2} + \frac{Var(\hat{R}_k)}{\hat{R}_k^2} + \frac{2Cov(\hat{E}_k, \hat{R}_k)}{\hat{E}_k \hat{R}_k} \right)$$
(14)

where the covariance term was assumed to be zero. The total catch is estimated by summing the catch for each strata as follows

$$\hat{C} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \hat{C}_k \tag{15}$$

The variance of  $\hat{C}$  is estimated as

$$Var(\hat{C}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} Var(\hat{C}_k)$$
(16)

The standard error of  $\hat{C}\,$  is calculated by the usual method

$$SE(\hat{C}) = \sqrt{Var(\hat{C})}$$
 (17)

The standard error associated with the estimate of the number of fish kept  $SE(\hat{c})$  was calculated for each species. Assuming a student t distribution, the  $(1-\alpha)$  percent confidence interval for the number kept  $(\hat{c})$  was calculated from the standard error as follows:

$$\hat{c} \pm t(1 - \alpha / 2; n - 1)SE(\hat{c})$$
$$\hat{c} \pm 1.96 SE(\hat{c})$$

where  $\alpha = 0.05$  for the 95% confidence interval and *n* is the number of boats surveyed (sample size). The estimates reported in the results have been rounded to reflect the level of precision.



Schematic representation of calculations to estimate catch and fishing effort







# Appendix F Estimated total number of fish retained by recreational ocean line fishing in the West Coast Bioregion for boats launched from public ramps

Common Name	Scientific name	Total Kept	SE Kept	Total Kept	SE Kept
		05/06	05/06	96/97	96/97
Combined whiting spp.	Sillaginidae	404,376	16,638	506,343	33,755
Herring, Australian	Arripis georgianus	288,392	14,658	364,932	23,099
Squids, general	Cephalopodidae	83,791	4,295	77,425	7,925
Trevally, Skipjack/Silver	Pseudocaranx spp.	73,693	8,334	105,593	14,221
Whiting, King George	Sillaginodes punctata	48,362	2,921	87,001	8,870
Dhufish, Western Australian	Glaucosoma hebraicum	35,222	1,799	23,982	1,773
Wrasse/Gropers, general	Labridae	30,736	2,408	58,543	4,817
Garfish, general	Hemiramphidae	22,161	2,310	67,356	7,478
Cod, Breaksea (Black-arse					
Cod)	Epinephelides armatus	18,008	875	13,803	1,245
Snapper, Pink	Pagrus auratus	17,808	1,237	15,546	1,538
Groper, Baldchin	Choerodon rubescens	9,933	861	6,286	943
Seapikes/Barracuda/Snook,					
general	Sphyraena spp.	8,711	1,989	25,048	6,929
Emperor, Sweetlip (Red					
Throat)	Lethrinus miniatus	7,513	1,023	564	193
Butterfish, Western	Pentapodus vitta	5,900	2,678	9,419	2,140
Flatheads, general	Platycephalidae	5,882	648	7,481	1,098
	Trachurus				
Scad, Yellowtail	novaezelandiae	5,251	894	7,351	2,127
Tailor	Pomatomus saltatrix	4,826	690	24,251	3,749
Trumpeters/Grunters,					
General	Teraponidae	4,313	871	6,949	2,477
Snapper, Queen (Blue	Nemadactylus				
Morwong)	valenciennesi	4,252	382	6,105	1,072
Samson Fish/Sea Kingfish	Seriola hippos	4,117	296	4,947	610
	Torquigener				
Blowfish, Common	pleurogramma	4,052	1,085	1,035	492
Sergeant Baker	Aulopus purpurissatus	3,997	379	1,896	368
Salmon, Australian	Arripis truttaceus	3,891	619	2,740	859
Redfish <sup>1</sup>	Centroberyx spp.	3,875	646	1,119	249
Cuttlefish	Sepiidae	3,803	390	1,503	465
Leatherjackets, general	Monacanthidae	3,324	326	2,718	514
Mackerel, Blue	Scomber australasicus	2,788	543	22,169	3,456
Sweep, Sea	Scorpis aequipinnis	2,774	365	4,326	917
Foxfish, Western	Bodianus frenchii	2,434	275	1,463	396
Shark, general		2,321	306	2,234	313
Bonitos, general	Scombridae spp.	2,033	385	442	170
Harlequin Fish	Othos dentex	1,989	220	1,256	266
Bream, Silver (Tarwhine)	Rhabdosargus sarba	1,732	227	5,337	1,103
Goatfish, general	Mullidae	1,706	376	2,410	659
Sweep, Banded	Scorpis georgianus	1,697	238	2,716	519
	Pleuronectidae &				
Flounders, general	Bothidae	1,106	173	2,439	495
Dart, Common	Trachinotus botla	818	414		
Mackerel, Narrow-Barred	Scomberomorus				
Spanish	commerson	812	150	1,721	458
Pike, Long-finned	Dinolestes lewini	808	348	215	95

Common Name	Scientific name	Total Kept	SE Kept	Total Kept	SE Kept
		05/06	05/06	96/97	96/97
Trout, Coral	Plectropomus maculatus	804	153	1,433	405
Octopus, general	Octopus spp.	679	174	1,464	614
Tuna, Southern Bluefin	Thunnus maccoyii	612	174		
Gurnard Perch	Neosebastes pandus	527	157	263	100
Wirrah, Western	Acanthistius serratus	489	188	359	
	Plectorhinchus				
Sweetlips, Gold-Spotted	flavomaculatus	469	87	526	166
Sweetlips, Painted	Diagramma labiosum	436	113	84	48
Wobbegongs/Catsharks,					
general	Orectolobus spp.	392	115	530	159
Parrotfish, General	Scaridae	385	97	614	267
Emperor, Blue-Lined (Black					
Snapper)	Lethrinus laticaudis	368	88		
Scorpioncod, Western Red	Scorpaena sumptuosa	340	108	273	120
Trevallies, general		323	154	54	33
Groper, Western Blue	Achoerodus gouldii	282	96	108	81
Emperor, Spangled	Lethrinus nebulosus	279	94	163	89
Cod, Estuary/Slimy Cod	Epinephelus coioides	278	68		
Kingfish, Yellowtail	Seriola lalandi	268	87	673	384
	Argyrosomus				
Mulloway	hololepidotus	260	120	56	40
Mackerel, Scaly	Sardinella lemuru	243	125	429	241
Gurnards, general	Triglidae	188	56	767	255
Pilchard (Mullie)	Sardinops neopilchardus	176	101	162	133
Morwong, Dusky	Dactylophora nigricans	161	54	17	17
Dolphinfish, Common/Mahi					
Mahi	Coryphaena hippurus	159	83	255	136
Cods - General		149	55	12,510	2,704
Scorpionfishes, general	Scorpaenidae	145	53		
Tuna, Yellowfin	Thunnus albacares	113	31	289	126
Eels, General	Gymnothorax spp.	107	73		
Threadfin-Breams/					
Butterfishes/Monocle					
Breams	Nemipteridae	103	75		
Other Species					
(n = 72 species)		2,910		14,611	

<sup>1</sup> Note that this "redfish" group consists of 2-3 species, which were mainly referred to locally as red snapper. The dominant species was likely to be bight redfish (*Centroberyx gerrardi*), with lesser numbers of swallowtail redfish (*Centroberyx lineatus*). These are different to some tropical species also known as red snapper.

# Appendix GEstimated total number of fish (by species) released<br/>by recreational ocean line fishing in the West Coast<br/>Bioregion for boats launched from public ramps

		Total	SE	Total	SE
Common Name	Scientific Name	Released	Released	Released	Released
		05/06	05/06	96/97	96/97
Wrasse/Gropers, general	Labridae	143,367	6,736	71,728	5,068
	Torquigener				
Blowfish, Common	pleurogramma	96,027	5,819	19,545	3,821
Combined whiting spp.	Sillaginidae	62,305	4,385	102,243	11,189
Flatheads, general	Platycephalidae	48,928	5,635	37,217	5,084
Trumpeters/Grunters,					
General	Teraponidae	45,816	4,998	10,037	2,324
Herring, Australian	Arripis georgianus	38,299	3,236	17,018	2,930
Trevally, Skipjack/Silver	Pseudocaranx spp.	37,017	3,025	26,520	4,249
Dhufish, Western					
Australian	Glaucosoma hebraicum	16,766	974	11,801	974
Snapper, Pink	Pagrus auratus	13,693	1,112	8,298	1,459
Leatherjackets, general	Monacanthidae	10,758	2,155	2,914	808
Cod, Breaksea (Black-arse					
Cod)	Epinephelides armatus	9,900	807	1,924	440
Whiting, King George	Sillgainodes punctata	9,002	1,338	16,625	3,088
Samson Fish/Sea Kingfish	Seriola hippos	8,429	933	2,543	684
Emperor, Sweetlip (Red					
Throat)	Lethrinus miniatus	7,063	1,279		
Salmon, Australian	Arripis truttaceus	6,583	1,624	1,095	584
Sergeant Baker	Aulopus purpurissatus	6,407	614	961	246
	Trachurus				
Scad, Yellowtail	novaezelandiae	5,877	1,319	2,890	754
Rays, general		5,810	478	2,295	413
Butterfish, Western	Pentapodus vitta	5,298	766	2,264	831
	Neosebastes				
Gurnard Perch	scorpaenoides	5,276	754	487	187
Goatfish, general	Mullidae	4,638	593	1,370	414
Shark, general		3,765	480	1,894	499
Tailor	Pomatomus saltatrix	3,586	855	3,314	1,099
Bream, Silver (Tarwhine)	Rhabdosargus sarba	3,497	754	5,057	1,215
Scorpioncod, Western Red	Scorpaena sumptuosa	3,493	450	262	142
Gurnards, general	Triglidae	2,390	367	2,441	633
Blowfish, Northwest (Silver	Lagocephalus				
Toadfish)	sceleratus	2,358	367	5,591	1,175
Garfish, general	Hemiramphidae	2,252	448	3,847	1,562
Groper, Baldchin	Choerodon rubescens	1,963	276	518	203
Sweep, Sea	Scorpis aequipinnis	1,827	397	658	375
Squids, general	Cephalopodidae	1,746	295	1,164	734
Mackerel, Blue	Scomber australasicus	1,707	494	5,185	1,417
Parrotfish, General	Scaridae	1,675	370	341	174
Seapikes/Barracuda/					
Snook, general	Sphyraena spp.	1,546	488	4,074	1,446
Sweep, Banded	Scorpis georgianus	1,206	232	141	82
Rays, Shovelnose,					
General		1,169	199	400	141

		Total	SE	Total	SE
Common Name	Scientific Name	Released	Released	Released	Released
		05/06	05/06	96/97	96/97
Cods - General	Serranidae	1,106	223	7,092	1,709
Sweep, Footballer	Neatypus obliquus	1,087	649	33	23
Foxfish, Western	Bodianus frenchii	936	183	17	17
Blue Devil, Western	Paraplesiops sinclairi	924	185	61	37
	Plectropomus				
Trout, Coral	maculatus	855	299	276	132
Snapper, Queen (Blue	Mnemadactylus				
Morwong)	valenciennesi	842	460		
Scorpionfishes, general	Scorpaenidae	796	157		
Bonitos, general	Scombridae spp.	785	245	230	204
Cod, Estuary/Slimy Cod	Epinephelus coioides	775	486		
Groper, Western Blue	Achoerodus gouldii	661	242		
Redfish <sup>1</sup>	Centroberyx spp.	656	161	202	131
Cuttlefish	Sepiidae	590	122	130	87
Wobbegongs/Catsharks,					
general	Orectolobus sp.	563	150	301	118
Emperor, Blue-Lined					
(Black Snapper)	Lethrinus laticaudis	543	176		
Eels, General	Gymnothorax spp.	460	133	33	24
Flounders, general		384	99	445	167
Harlequin Fish	Othos dentex	327	92	271	167
	Oplegnathus				
Knife Jaw	woodwardi	325	192		
Whiting, Blue Weed	Haletta semifasciata	324	168		
Emperor, Spangled	Lethrinus nebulosus	316	107	154	95
Cod, Chinaman	Epinephelus rivulatus	287	170	174	81
Buffalo Bream, Western	Kyphosus cornelii	277	98	209	91
Kingfish, Yellowtail	Seriola lalandi	276	111	118	82
Old Wife	Enoplosus armatus	265	179		
Footballer/Stripey	Microcanthus strigatus	241	125		
Trevallies, general		226	178		
Pigfishes, general	Bodianus spp.	218	219		
Moonlighter	Tilodon sexfasciatum	217	122		
Cow Fish, Shaw's	Aracana aurita	210	97		
Buffalo Bream, Common					
(Silver Drummer)	Kyphosus sydneyanus	209	162		
Other Species					
(n = 71 species)		6,494		17,115	

<sup>1</sup> Note that this "redfish" group consists of 2-3 species, which were mainly referred to locally as red snapper. The dominant species was likely to be bight redfish (*Centroberyx gerrardi*), with lesser numbers of swallowtail redfish (*Centroberyx lineatus*). These are different to some tropical species also known as red snapper.







Spatial distribution of the estimated recreational ocean line fishing catch of pink snapper in the West Coast Bioregion for boats launched from public ramps

Fisheries Research Report [Western Australia] No. 177, 2008



Appendix J





Note that fishing in multiple locations on a single day can confound the estimation of spatial distribution of catches for individual species and that herring for trailer boats in the West Coast Bioregion.

Spatial distribution of the estimated recreational ocean line fishing catch of Australian

Appendix L



Spatial distribution of the estimated recreational ocean line fishing catch of skipjack trevally in the West Coast Bioregion for boats launched from public ramps. Appendix M

Note that fishing in multiple locations on a single day can confound the estimation of spatial distribution of catches for individual species and that this most likely affects the inshore species – see Discussion section Spatial and temporal variations).



Note that fishing in multiple locations on a single day can confound the estimation of spatial distribution of catches for individual species and that whiting in the West Coast Bioregion for boats launched from public ramps.

Spatial distribution of the estimated recreational ocean line fishing catch of King George

**Appendix N** 



Note that fishing in multiple locations on a single day can confound the estimation of spatial distribution of catches for individual species and that whiting species in the West Coast Bioregion for boats launched from public ramps.

Spatial distribution of the estimated recreational ocean line fishing catch of combined



Fisheries Research Report [Western Australia] No. 177, 2008

Appendix O