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#### Abstract

A 12-month survey of boat-based recreational fishing in the West Coast Bioregion (Augusta to Kalbarri) of Western Australia was conducted at boat ramps between 1st July 2005 and 30th June 2006. During the survey a total of 15,999 boat crews were interviewed, of which $13,185(82 \%)$ had been undertaking some form of fishing activity and, of these, 10,382 (or $79 \%$ ) of fishing boat crews had been ocean line fishing. The total annual recreational boatbased fishing effort for the West Coast Bioregion was estimated to be $1,557,000$ fisher hours ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 1,495,000-1,620,000$ ), which is a $15.5 \%$ increase in nominal fishing effort (i.e. this does not include increased efficiencies generated by technological improvements) compared to a similar survey conducted on the West Coast Bioregion in 1996/97. The survey estimated the highest catch (by number kept) was whiting ( 404,400 , which includes a mixture of four species), Australian herring $(288,400)$, squid $(83,800)$, skipjack trevally $(73,700)$ and King George whiting $(48,400)$. The largest estimated catch by weight kept was Western Australian dhufish ( 186 tonnes), followed by the whiting ( 46 tonnes), pink snapper ( 40 tonnes), Australian herring ( 40 tonnes), skipjack trevally ( 34 tonnes) and baldchin groper ( 28 tonnes).


### 1.0 Introduction

The West Coast Bioregion extends from south of $27^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ on the west coast to west of $115^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ on the south coast, and includes approximately 900 kilometres of coastline between Kalbarri and Augusta (Figure 1). The coastline includes Western Australia's capital city Perth and several of the State's largest regional centres, Bunbury, Busselton and Geraldton. The West Coast Bioregion contains $81 \%$ of the Western Australian population of 1.98 million people (Trewin, 2006). Recreational fishing is a popular leisure activity in Western Australia, with an estimated 538,000 persons participating in recreational fishing at least once a year; $85 \%$ of these fish in the West Coast Bioregion (Baharthah, 2006).

Given that demersal fishing activities principally occur off the main residential areas of the West Coast Bioregion and that over the last decade there have been significant increases in both population size and fishing efficiency, such as through the use of Global Positioning Systems (GPS) and high quality colour echo sounders, increasing pressure is now being placed on the demersal species in this bioregion (Wise et al., 2007). Consequently, there has been growing concern from both the WA Department of Fisheries and stakeholder groups about the sustainability of these demersal scalefish stocks in the West Coast Bioregion.

Demersal fishing activities in the West Coast Bioregion covers a number of competing sectors including commercial fishing activities (the wetline fleet, the demersal gillnet and demersal longline ['shark'] fishery and, until recently, ancillary activities by the rock lobster fishery), plus significant recreational fishing activities (boat-based angling and the charter boat fishery) (Wise et al., 2007). Collectively these activities are known as the West Coast Demersal Scalefish Fishery (WCDSF), which is a multi-species fishery that lands over 100 different species with the key indicator species being WA dhufish, pink snapper and baldchin groper.

The West Coast Demersal Scalefish fishery extends over approximately 900 km of coastline and can be divided into the following main zones (Figure 1):

- Kalbarri zone ( $26^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{S}-28^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ )
- Midwest zone $\left(28^{\circ} \mathrm{S}-31^{\circ} \mathrm{S}\right)$
- Metropolitan (Metro) zone ( $31^{\circ} \mathrm{S}-33^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ )
- South zone ( $33^{\circ} \mathrm{S}-115^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ )
- Abrolhos Islands subregion

Information on the levels of catch, fishing effort and catch rates from all fishing sectors is required to evaluate the status of stocks. In Western Australia, the owners of commercial fishing licences are required to provide catch and effort returns as a condition of their licence. These returns provide landed weights for each species and effort as days fished by method. Similarly, tour operators (charter boat fishery) have been required to provide a daily trip return as a condition of their licence since September 2001, from which catch and fishing effort are estimated. Since there is no mandatory reporting system in place for recreational fishers, focused surveys must be conducted to provide catch and fishing effort estimates for this sector.

Following growing concerns about the sustainability of demersal species in the West Coast Bioregion, a recreational boat ramp creel survey was undertaken from the 1st July 2005 to 30th June 2006. The main objective for this survey was to provide estimates of total catch and fishing effort of recreational boat-based ocean line fishing for the West Coast Bioregion and
compare this to results from a similar survey conducted in 1996/97. Analysis of species such as western rock lobster and abalone, which are caught by boat based fishers, but are non-line fishing activities, have not been included in this report as the focus was on ocean line fishing. Estimates for these species would not, therefore, reflect their total catch.

### 2.0 Methods

### 2.1 Survey design

The recreational boat-based creel survey was designed to provide estimates of the total catch and fishing effort by boat-based angler's ocean line fishing in the West Coast Bioregion. This survey did not include estimates of catch and fishing effort of boats from yacht clubs, canals, private marina's and moorings not located near boat ramps ${ }^{1}$.

In total, 61 boat ramps on the West Coast Bioregion that are used to launch boats into the ocean were included in the survey. The survey of boat ramps (questionnaire shown as Appendices A and B) was restricted to eight (8) hours, from 9:00am to 5:00pm from the $1^{\text {st }}$ July 2005 to $30^{\text {th }}$ June 2006.

A night based boat ramp survey was also undertaken to enable estimates of recreational nightbased catch and fishing effort in Cockburn Sound. Cockburn Sound is an intensively used marine embayment south of Perth (Figure 1) and is also thought to represent an important spawning and nursery area for pink snapper (Wakefield, 2006). The night based boat ramp survey in Cockburn Sound was restricted to five hours (5) from 5:00pm until 10:00pm, from the $15^{\text {th }}$ August 2005 to $28^{\text {th }}$ February 2006 (but did not include the closed season from the 1st October to 15th December 2005).

The catch and fishing effort information gathered for recreational fishers at boat ramps was recorded in $5 \times 5$ nautical mile blocks. These blocks fit within the statistical blocks used for recording the commercial catch in Western Australia ( $60 \times 60$ nautical miles) and offer a finer resolution for reporting the recreational catch. Tour operators use the same $5 \times 5$ nautical mile blocks to report catch and fishing effort.

The survey design was similar to the previous survey conducted on the West Coast Bioregion in 1996/97 (Sumner and Williamson, 1999), with three notable differences:

- The 2005/06 survey was conducted from 9:00am to $5: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ rather than $8: 00 \mathrm{am}$ to $4: 00 \mathrm{pm}$, as was the case in 1996/97.
- The 2005/06 Cockburn Sound night based boat ramp survey was undertaken, which was not done in 1996/97.
- A limited survey of non-trailered boats was undertaken in 1996/97 (refer to Sumner and Williamson, 1999), but not in 2005/06.

The bus route method was used for this survey. This method requires that survey interviewers visit several boat ramps each day. Whilst at each ramp the count of boat trailers are recorded and interviews of recreational fishers are undertaken (Robson and Jones 1989, Jones et al. 1990). When the interviewers are at each boat ramp, they try to interview as many recreational boat crews as possible. When several boats return to the ramp at the same time, the survey interviewers randomly choose which of the boat crews will be interviewed. To increase the percentage of crews interviewed, two interview staff were used at each of the busy Perth metropolitan boat ramps during August 2005-April 2006.

[^0]
### 2.2 Spatial and temporal stratification

The 12 -month recreational boat-based creel survey commenced on the $1^{\text {st }}$ July 2005 and concluded on the $30^{\text {th }}$ June 2006.

The West Coast Bioregion was divided into a number of districts so that survey interviewers could visit all the boat ramps within a district during a scheduled day. A district thus constituted the base sampling unit, with boat ramps within a district constituting the sub-sampling units. For the districts of Kalbarri and Dongara a single boat ramp represented the entire sampling unit. Thirteen (13) geographic districts were defined; boundaries were chosen to minimise travel time and hence cost. The districts and the number of boat ramps surveyed (in parentheses) for each zone was as follows:

Kalbarri zone:
Midwest zone:
Metro zone:

South zone:
Additional Night Survey:

Kalbarri (1)
Jurien Bay (5), Dongara (1), Geraldton (5), Port Gregory (2)
Mandurah (8), Rockingham (6), South Metro (4), North Metro (3), Lancelin (4)
Augusta (5), Busselton (9), Bunbury (8)
Cockburn Sound (6)

The results of the previous recreational survey conducted in 1996/97, showed that recreational fishers ventured out more frequently on weekends and public holidays (Sumner and Williamson, 1999). Consequently, a higher level of sampling was conducted on weekend and public holidays during the 2005/06 survey.

The combination of districts and day-type divisions resulted in an experimental design with 26 strata (thirteen districts $\times$ two for weekdays and non-weekdays). The days each district was surveyed was chosen randomly. For each survey day, randomised schedules were then set up for each district. The schedules specified the order in which to visit the boat ramps and the amount of time to spend at each ramp. The amount of time spent at a particular boat ramp was based on prior information on ramp usage obtained during the 1996/97 survey (Sumner and Williamson, 1999).

For example, a survey interviewer's schedule in the North Metro district may be allocated to each boat ramp as follows:

- Ocean Reef - 9:00am to 11:10am (2 hours and 10 minutes)
- Hillarys Marina - 11:28am to 3:05pm (3 hours and 37 minutes)
- Mindarie Keys - 3:34pm to 5:00pm (1 hour and 26 minutes)

The survey interviewers spent more time at busy boat ramps to maximise the amount of recreational data collected.

### 2.3 Estimation of ocean line fishing effort

This report focuses on the catch and fishing effort for anglers line fishing in the ocean. The fishing effort in fisher hours was estimated (Appendices C and D) from:

1) Number and duration (i.e. hours) of boats on the water
2) Proportion of boats participating in ocean line fishing ${ }^{2}$
3) Average number of anglers per boat participating in ocean line fishing

The initial count of trailers at the boat ramp and the times that boats were launched and retrieved provided an estimate of the total number of boats on the water and the time period for which those boats were on the water ${ }^{3}$. Boat crews were interviewed to determine whether they participated in ocean line fishing and to determine the number of anglers involved. The number of boats line fishing in the ocean was estimated by multiplying the total number of boats on the water by the proportion of boats line fishing in the ocean (e.g. not including those fishing in estuaries). The ocean-line fishing effort (in fisher hours) was estimated by multiplying the average effort in boat hours (which can be thought of as the average number of boats fishing in any given hour of the daily survey period) by the average number of anglers line fishing per boat.

Line fishing effort by boats that were launched before the start of shift (9.00am) and returned after the start of the shift was included in the estimates. The launch time for these boats was obtained when the crews were interviewed. The ratio of effort occurring prior to the start of a shift to that occurring after the start of a shift was estimated and a correction factor $(f)$ applied to the effort estimate for each stratum. The effort from boats returning to the ramp after 5:00pm can not be accounted for by a correction factor, as these crew were not interviewed.

Estimates of ocean line fishing effort were made for each of the 26 strata. These estimates were then aggregated to obtain the total recreational boat-based ocean line fishing effort for the West Coast and zones within the bioregion.

## Estimation of ocean line fishing catch rates

Catch rates were calculated from information on the time a boat was on the water (i.e. the difference between launch and retrieval times) and catch obtained by interviewing fishers when they returned to the boat ramp. An average catch per boat is calculated for all species for each of the 26 strata and used to estimate catch (Appendices C and D). The boat crews that were interviewed are assumed to be representative of all boat crews for that stratum.

## Estimation of ocean line fishing catch

To estimate the total catch, the estimated total ocean line fishing effort (fisher hours) was multiplied by the average daily catch rate (Appendices C and D). Separate estimates of total catch were made for each of the 26 strata. As each district constitutes a sampling unit, effort and catch rate data for individual boat ramps within a district, for which survey times covered only part of the 8 -hour day, were used to estimate catch and effort for the whole district based on the full 8 hour day. The estimates for each stratum were then aggregated to obtain the total recreational boat-based ocean line fishing catch for each zone and the West Coast Bioregion.

[^1]The survey interviewers were not able to identify all species of whiting accurately. Consequently whiting species were grouped together as "combined whiting". This category comprised a mixture of four whiting species: southern school whiting (Sillago bassensis), western school whiting (Sillago vittata), yellow-finned whiting (Sillago schomburgkii) and trumpeter whiting (Sillago maculata).

## Estimation of spatial ocean line fishing effort and catch

The catch and effort estimates for each district were apportioned to the $5 \times 5$ nautical mile blocks using the spatial information provided by anglers during the interviews. The survey design allowed only one block to be designated for a fishing trip.

## Weight estimation

Measured lengths of kept species were converted to weights, using the species length/weight relationships (Table 1). The total weight in tonnes for each species kept was estimated by multiplying the total number of retained fish by the average weight per fish species. The weight of fish kept has been reported for only the most common species, as weights could not be estimated for some species due to the small sample size of measured lengths.

## Cockburn Sound Methods

The methods used to calculate the estimated total catch and fishing effort for the Cockburn Sound night based survey, follow the same methods described above.

### 3.0 Results

### 3.1 Number of interviews

During the survey a total of 15,999 boat crews were interviewed, of which $13,185(82 \%)$ had been undertaking some form of fishing activity. Of these boats that had been fishing, 10,382 (79\%) were ocean line fishing.

### 3.2 Recreational ocean line fishing effort

The estimated total annual recreational ocean line fishing effort on the West Coast Bioregion during 2005/06 was $1,557,000$ fisher hours ( $95 \%$ CI: $1,495,000-1,620,000$ ). Boats crews not angling but participating in other activities (such as pulling lobster pots crabbing, diving or snorkelling) were not included in the analysis.

The ocean line fishing effort was higher in the more populated areas such as the Metro zone, where an estimated $64 \%$ of the fishing effort was expended during 2005/06. (Table 2 and see Appendix E). The spatial distribution of boat-based ocean line fishing effort has radiated further from the large regional centres and expanded considerably offshore between the 1996/97 and 2005/06 surveys.

Trailer counts indicated that most ocean line fishing effort occurred during the period of the day surveyed (9:00am to 5:00 pm ), however, some fishing occurred before and after the survey period, as indicated by the boat launch and retrieval times. The mean number of trailers counted at boat ramps in the 2005/06 survey decreases towards the end of the day (Figure 2). Approximately $7 \%$ of all trailers counted at the end of scheduled shift remained at the boat ramps past 5:00pm in the 2005/06 survey, similar to the $6.3 \%$ remaining past $4: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ in $1996 / 97$ survey. This represents additional missing effort and catch.

Night fishing effort in Cockburn Sound between 15 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ August 2005 and $28^{\text {th }}$ February 2006 (excluding the closed season from $1^{\text {st }}$ October to $15^{\text {th }}$ December 2005) was estimated at 15,000 fisher hours ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 12,000-18,000$ ).

### 3.3 Recreational ocean line fishing catch

The estimated total retained ocean line fishing catch from recreational boats launched from boat ramps on the West Coast during 2005/06 was 1,234,300 individuals with 732,500 released.

The total numbers of fish both kept and released for all species are shown in Appendices F and G. The highest catches by boat-based recreational ocean line anglers in the West Coast Bioregion were (by number kept) estimated to be whiting ( 404,400 comprising a mixture of four species), Australian herring (Arripis geogianus) $(288,400)$, squid (Cephalopodidae) $(83,800)$, skipjack trevally (Pseudocaranx dentex) $(73,700)$ and King George whiting (Sillaginodes punctata) $(48,400)$ (Appendix F). The estimated weights of species kept were Western Australian dhufish (Glaucosoma hebracium) (186 tonnes), whiting (46 tonnes), pink snapper (Pagrus auratus) (40 tonnes), Australian herring (Arripis geogianus) (40 tonnes), skipjack trevally (Pseudocaranx dentex) (34 tonnes) and baldchin groper (Choerodon rubescens) (28 tonnes) (Table 3). The proportion of the total catch released ranged from between $9 \%$ for Australian herring, to $29 \%$ for pink snapper (Appendix G).

The estimated total weight kept (tonnes) for the main species retained by zone are listed in Table 4. In both 2005/06 and 1996/97, the Mid West zone had the highest catches of both WA dhufish ( 71 and 60 tonnes) and baldchin groper ( 19 and 11 tonnes) respectively. For pink snapper, the highest catch in 2005/06 was taken in the South zone ( 15 tonnes) but the highest catch in 1996/97 was 10 tonnes in the Metro zone. The highest catches of whiting ( 36 and 47 tonnes), Australian herring ( 32 and 38 tonnes) and skipjack trevally ( 23 and 22 tonnes) in both 2005/06 and 1996/97 respectively, occurred in the Metro zone.

The night based boat ramp survey in Cockburn Sound conducted between $15^{\text {th }}$ August 2005 and $28^{\text {th }}$ February 2006 (excluding the closed season from $1^{\text {st }}$ October to $15^{\text {th }}$ December 2005) estimated that the catch of pink snapper by this activity was 0.5 tonnes. The catch also included small quantities of Australian herring, squid, yellowtail scad, tailor and King George whiting and other species.

The estimated spatial distribution of the catch (numbers of fish kept per spatial block) of the key recreational species are presented in Appendices H to O. It is important to note that some of the spatial distributions of inshore species (e.g. Australian herring) show wider distribution offshore than expected for inshore species because the survey design only allowed for one block location to be recorded per interview. Thus, because fishing trips often expend effort at multiple locations on a given day, the attribution of a whole day's fishing effort to a single spatial block does not allow a comprehensive understanding of changes in location of the catch of individual species.

### 4.0 Discussion

## Survey overview

It is important to note that the techniques used in this survey do not require every recreational fisher in the West Coast Bioregion to be interviewed; nonetheless, a total of 15,999 boat crews were interviewed, of which 10,544 different boat crews were interviewed (this equates to approximately $13 \%$ of boats registered in Western Australia, DPI, 2007). 13,185 ( $82 \%$ of boats interviewed) had been undertaking some form of fishing activity, of which 10,382 (or 79\%) had been ocean line fishing. Night based fishing was only surveyed in Cockburn Sound, a location expected to have a relatively high proportion of night fishing, however night fishing at this location constituted only a small fraction of the total effort in the West Coast Bioregion. Finally, estimates of catches of these species taken whilst diving and not line fishing were not included in this report.

A separate phone diary survey of registered boats owners (including boats kept in yacht clubs, marinas, canals and moorings) was conducted during the same period as the recreational boat ramp creel survey, which provides an alternate method of estimating recreational catch. The phone diary survey includes catch landed outside the times boat ramps were surveyed such as at night. Secondly, the phone-diary survey potentially included larger boats kept in canals, marinas, yacht clubs and on moorings that were not included in the survey of boat ramps. Summary results from this survey can be found in Wise et al. (2007); a full report on this study is currently being prepared.

The Abrolhos Islands zone (Figure 1) was not fully covered by the survey since boats fishing at these offshore islands are typically too large to be 'trailer' boats, so they were not always encountered at boat ramps. Some trailer boats were encountered that reported activity in the Abrolhos Islands zone, plus information for the larger recreational boats was included in the phone diary survey (refer to Wise et al., 2007). Finally, a separate but dedicated recreational survey was conducted in the Abrolhos Islands for the 2006 calendar year (i.e. not 2005-06) the results of which are reported in (Sumner, 2008).

## Total effort for ocean line fishing in the West Coast Bioregion

The earlier survey by Sumner and Williamson (1999) provided estimates of the total fishing effort for the West Coast Bioregion covering all recreational fishing activities including angling, pulling lobster pots, crabbing, diving and snorkelling. Data from this 1996/97 survey were reanalysed to provide a more precise estimate of recreational scalefish fishing effort to be comparable with the current survey. It is recognised that the estimates for these two surveys are based on different survey time periods (i.e. $8 \mathrm{am}-4 \mathrm{pm}$ in $1996 / 97 \mathrm{vs} 9 \mathrm{am}-5 \mathrm{pm}$ in 2005/06), but the increased knowledge of fisher behaviour gained since the first survey, through consultation with stakeholders, indicates that the adjusted survey period obtained data more representative of boat-based ocean line fishers targeting scalefish.

The 2005/06 ocean line fishing effort of 1,557,000 fisher hours ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 1,495,000-1,620,000$ ) represents a $15.5 \%$ increase over the ocean line fishing effort of $1,348,000$ fisher hours $(95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : $1,277,000-1,419,000$ ) expanded during 1996/97. It is important to recognise that this increase is for nominal effort and does not take increases in fishing efficiency into account. The increased uptake of modern technology such as global positioning systems, colour echo sounders, braided line and chemically sharpened hooks are likely to have increased the efficiency of effort targeting demersal scalefish.

## Total catch for ocean line fishing in the West Coast Bioregion

Despite that nominal effort (in fisher hours) increase of $15.5 \%$ over the nine years between the surveys, the retained weights of the key demersal indicator species in the West Coast Bioregion increased by $49-63 \%$, while numbers caught increased by $15-58 \%$. From 1996/97 to 2005/06 the weight of the retained catch of pink snapper increased $63 \%$; however, the number of fish kept only increased $15 \%$. This was due to the average weight per fish for pink snapper increasing from 1.58 kg to 2.25 kg .

There has been some notable declines in the catches of the main recreational species between the 1996/97 and 2005/06 surveys. These species include whiting (mixture of four whiting species), Australian herring and King George whiting.

The night fishing catch of pink snapper in Cockburn Sound was estimated as 0.5 tonnes with length ranges for this catch of between 455 mm and 760 mm . Given this size range, these fish are not likely to be only from the spawning aggregations that form annually in Cockburn Sound. These aggregations are usually comprised of larger fish with lengths between 700 mm and 950 mm (Wakefield, 2006).

## Spatial and temporal variations

The spatial distribution of boat-based ocean line fishing effort has radiated further from the large regional centres and expanded considerably offshore between the 1996/97 and 2005/06 surveys. This likely reflects the overall increase in effort as well as a change in fishing behaviour whereby some fishers now travel further to partake in fishing. However, note that the spatial distributions of recreational fishing effort depicted for this survey may not always be indicative of where particular species were caught. Discussions with some recreational anglers indicated that those who were going to target demersal species offshore often undertook some fishing in shallower inshore waters on the outward or return trip (e.g. depending on weather conditions on a particular day), specifically to target inshore species. Because the survey design asked the question "where was most time spent fishing", such anglers would therefore have indicated that they had spent most time fishing offshore, leading to an underestimate of the amount of effort expended inshore, and to misidentification of catch-location for inshore species.

The highest effort occurred in the Metro zone in both surveys. The spatial variations between catches of the key demersal scalefish were consistent between 1996/97 and 2005/06 and reflect their natural distribution. Pink snapper and WA dhufish are distributed throughout the West Coast Bioregion while baldchin gropers are more abundant in the Midwest zone of the West Coast Bioregion.

WA dhufish was the dominant key demersal species by weight (tonnes), and together with the other key offshore demersal species (pink snapper and baldchin groper) comprised the major component of the retained catch by weight by recreational anglers in the 2005/06 survey, this is also reflected in the 1996/97 survey.

What was apparent in the 1996/97 survey, and again found to be the case in 2005/06, was that many boat crews interviewed had not caught the key demersal species but had caught what have traditionally been thought of as inshore species. Indeed, many anglers ( $20 \%$ in 2005/06) were targeting Australian herring, whiting species, and skipjack trevally, hence the high prevalence of these species in the retained catch. Any potential changes to management measures designed to reduce the catch of demersal species may divert additional effort to inshore species. Under conditions of increasing total fishing effort between the two surveys the catches of the key
smaller inshore species have all declined, while the catches of each of the demersal species have increased. This may in part be related to a greater proportion of the total fishing effort being expended in more offshore waters seeking to target the larger demersal species. It may also indicate declines in abundance of these inshore species.

### 5.0 Conclusions

The recreational catch and fishing effort has been estimated for trailered boats ocean line fishing in the West Coast Bioregion during 2005/06. A $15.5 \%$ nominal increase in ocean line fishing effort occurred between 1996/97 and 2005/06. However, this does not take into account increases in efficiency by the recreational sector. There was an increase in the retained catches of all the key demersal species by the recreational sector from 1996/97 to 2005/06. This survey did not include estimates of catch and fishing effort of boats launching from yacht clubs, marina's, canals and moorings not located near boat ramps.

Apart from WA Dhufish, on the basis of both numbers and weight of the retained catch, the West Coast Bioregion recreational boat-based fishery in 2005/06 can be considered primarily a fishery for smaller, and predominantly inshore, scalefish species such as whiting species, Australian herring and skipjack trevally. This is very similar to the situation in 1996/97 when the same group of species dominated a major component of the recreational boat-based catch.

Any management measures designed to reduce the catch of demersal species may divert additional effort to Australian herring, whiting species, and skipjack trevally. For this reason the recreational catch of these inshore species will also need to be monitored in the future.

Consideration for the future management of recreational fishing on the West Coast Bioregion must include that many of the inshore species caught by boat-based fishers are also targeted by shore based fishers. There are, however, no estimates of effort and catch for shore based fishing in this bioregion. It is unknown what level of risk that this poses to inshore stocks.
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### 8.0 Tables and figures

Table 1. Length-weight relationship used to estimate weight of fish.

| Common name | Length-weight relationship | Source for length-weight relationship |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western Australian dhufish | Female: $\mathrm{W}=4.17 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~L}^{2.859}$ Male: $\mathrm{W}=3.22 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~L}^{2.898}$ <br> Unknown: $\mathrm{W}=4.83 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~L}^{2.837}$ | Hesp et al, 2002 |
| Pink snapper | $\mathrm{W}=0.0467727((\mathrm{~L}-0.7) / 11.79)^{2.781}$ | Moran \& Burton, 1990 |
| Australian herring | $\mathrm{W}=1.44 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~L}^{2.94}$ | Gaughan et al., 2006 |
| Skipjack trevally | LnW=2.992LnL-11.331 | Farmer et al., 2005 |
| Baldchin groper | $\mathrm{W}=0.012132(\mathrm{~L} / 10)^{3.15867}$ | Nardi et al., 2006 |
| Samson fish | $\mathrm{W}=0.017234949(0.092 \mathrm{TL})^{2.92134}$ | Mackie, M. (unpublished data) |
| Southern school whiting | $\mathrm{W}=6.30 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~L}^{3.05}$ | Brown, J. (Fisheries WA, unpublished data) |
| King George whiting | $\mathrm{W}=1.10 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~L}^{3.29}$ | Gaughan et al., 2006 |
| Breaksea cod | $\mathrm{W}=33.938 \mathrm{e}^{(0.0085 \mathrm{~L})}$ | Eastman, 2001 |
| Australian salmon | $\mathrm{W}=1.30 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~L}^{3.36}$ | Gaughan et al., 2006 |
| Queen snapper | $W=3.808 \times 10^{-6} L^{3.175}$ <br> (Blue Morwong equation) | Taylor \& Willis, 1998 |
| Sand/general whiting | $\mathrm{W}=6.30 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~L}^{3.05}$ | Brown, J. (Fisheries WA, unpublished data) |
| Western school whiting | $\mathrm{W}=7.74 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~L}^{3.01}$ | Brown, J. (Fisheries WA, unpublished data) |
| Snook | $\mathrm{W}=0.0035(\mathrm{~L} / 10)^{3.05}$ | Bertoni (unpublished data) |
| Narrow-barred spanish mackerel | $\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{kg})=3.3992 \mathrm{e}-9((\mathrm{~L}-42.74) / 1.06)^{3.1207}$ | Mackie et al., 2005 |
| Sweetlip emperor | $\mathrm{W}=9.15 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~L}^{3.09}$ | Ayvazian et al., 2004 |
| Sea sweep | $\begin{aligned} & \hline W=7.626 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~L}^{3.136} \\ & \text { (Silver Sweep equation) } \end{aligned}$ | Taylor \& Willis, 1998 |
| Coral trout | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{W}=0.0079(\mathrm{FL} / 10)^{3.157} \\ & \mathrm{FL}=0.97 \mathrm{TL} \end{aligned}$ | Ferreira \& Russ, 1993 |
| Tailor | $\mathrm{W}=1.15 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~L}^{2.97}$ | Gaughan et al., 2006 |
| Yellow-finned whiting | $\mathrm{W}=2.02 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~L}^{3.24}$ | Gaughan et al., 2006 |
| Western foxfish | LnW=2.986LnL-10.857 | Cossington, 2006 |
| Flatheads | $\mathrm{W}=4.35 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~L}^{3.05}$ | Brown, J. (Fisheries WA, unpublished data) |
| Oriental bonito | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{W}=0.0217(\mathrm{FL} / 10)^{2.87} \\ & \mathrm{FL}=0.9025 \mathrm{TL} \end{aligned}$ | Torres, 1991 |
| Southern sea garfish | $\mathrm{W}=1.94 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~L}^{3.09}$ | Brown, J. (Fisheries WA, unpublished data) |
| Banded sweep | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{W}=7.626 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~L}^{3.136} \\ & \text { (Silver Sweep equation) } \end{aligned}$ | Taylor \& Willis, 1998 |
| Southern blue-spotted flathead | W $=4.35 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~L}^{3.05}$ | Brown, J. (Fisheries WA, unpublished data) |
| Western butterfish | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \operatorname{logW}=\log 0.0000122+3.06 \log \mathrm{FL} \\ & \mathrm{TL}=-2.347+1.11 \mathrm{FL} \end{aligned}$ | Mant et al., 2006 |
| Redfish | $\mathrm{W}=6.495 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~L}^{2.761}$ | Williamson, P.C. (unpublished data) |
| Sergeant baker | $\mathrm{W}=1.264 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~L}^{3.012}$ | McAuley \& Simpfendorfer (2003) |
| Silver bream | $\mathrm{W}=2.14 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~L}^{2.932}$ | Hesp (2003) |

Note: W is weight in g ; L is total length in mm ; FL is fork length in mm

Table 2. Recreational line fishing effort by zone.

| Zones | 2005/06 |  | 1996/97 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Effort (fisher hours) | SE (fisher hours) | Effort (fisher hours) | SE (fisher hours) |
| Kalbarri | 24,000 | 1,000 | 25,000 | 5,000 |
| Mid West | 195,000 | 12,000 | 161,000 | 9,000 |
| Metro | $1,003,000$ | 22,000 | 926,000 | 32,000 |
| South | 336,000 | 18,000 | 236,000 | 14,000 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 5 5 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 4 8 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 , 0 0 0}$ |

Table 3. Estimated total recreational retained catch by weight for major species caught in West Coast Bioregion.

| Common name | Scientific Name | 2005/06 |  | 1996/97 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kept <br> (tonnes) | SE <br> (tonnes) | Kept ${ }^{1}$ <br> (tonnes) | SE <br> (tonnes) |  |
| Western Australian dhufish | Glaucosoma hebraicum | 186 | 9 | 125 | 26 |
| Combined whiting spp. | Sillaginidae | 46 | 3 | 58 | 9 |
| Pink snapper | Pagrus auratus | 40 | 3 | 25 | 7 |
| Australian herring | Arripis georgianus | 40 | 2 | 46 | 7 |
| Skipjack trevally | Pseudocaranx dentex | 34 | 4 | 38 | 10 |
| Baldchin groper | Choerodon rubescens | 28 | 2 | 19 | 6 |
| Samson fish | Seriola hippos | 24 | 3 | 35 | 12 |
| King George whiting | Sillaginodes punctata | 19 | 1 | 28 | 4 |
| Breaksea cod | Epinephelides armatus | 16 | 1 | 17 | 4 |
| Australian salmon | Arripis truttaceus | 17 | 3 | 12 | 5 |
| Queen snapper | Nemadactylus valenciennesi | 10 | 1.5 | 19 | 7 |
| Snook | Sphyraena novaehollandiae | 9 | 2 | 23 | 11 |
| Narrow-barred spanish mackerel | Scomberomorus commerson | 7 | 1.5 | 12 | 4 |
| Sweetlip emperor | Lethrinus miniatus | 6 | 1 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Redfish | Centroberyx spp. | 5 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.4 |
| Sea sweep | Scorpis aequipinnis | 3 | 0.5 | 3 | 1 |
| Tailor | Pomatomus saltatrix | 3 | 0.7 | 14 | 4 |
| Sergeant baker | Aulopus purpurissatus | 3 | 0.3 | 2 | 1 |
| Flathead, general | Platycephalidae | 3 | 0.3 | - | - |
| Coral trout | Plectropomus maculatus | 2 | 0.6 | 2 | 1 |
| Western foxfish | Bodianus frenchii | 2 | 0.3 | 2 | 1 |
| Oriental bonito | Sarda orientalis | 2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |
| Garfish, general | Hemiramphidae | 2 | 0.2 | 7 | 2 |
| Silver bream | Rhabdosargus sarba | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.6 |
| Banded sweep | Scorpis georgianus | 1 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.4 |
| Western butterfish | Pentapodus vitta | 1 | 0.5 | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

1 Weight could not be estimated for some species due to the small sample size of measured lengths.
2 Note that this "redfish" group consists of 2-3 species, which were mainly referred to locally as red snapper. The dominant species was likely to be bight redfish (Centroberyx gerrardi), with lesser numbers of swallowtail redfish (Centroberyx lineatus). These are different to some tropical species also known as red snapper.

Table 4. Estimated total catch (tonnes) for the main recreational species retained by zone (standard errors are shown in parenthesis).

| Common | Kalbarri |  | Mid West |  | Metro |  | South |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| name | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 / 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 / 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 / 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 / 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 / 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6} / 97$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 / 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 / 9 7}$ |
| WA Dhufish | 2 | 1 | 71 | 60 | 58 | 48 | 55 | 16 |
|  | $(0.3)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(7)$ | $(6)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(5)$ | $(3)$ |
| Whiting | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 36 | 47 | 6 | 8 |
| (Mixture of | $(0)$ | $(0)$ | $(1)$ | $(1)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(1)$ | $(2)$ |
| four species) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pink Snapper | 2 | 1 | 10 | 9 | 13 | 10 | 15 | 5 |
|  | $(0.4)$ | $(0.4)$ | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(1)$ | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(1)$ |
| Australian | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 32 | 38 | 5 | 4 |
| Herring | $(0)$ | $(0)$ | $(1)$ | $(1)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(1)$ | $(1)$ |
| Skipjack | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 23 | 22 | 8 | 12 |
| Trevally | $(0)$ | $(0)$ | $(1)$ | $(1)$ | $(6)$ | $(4)$ | $(1)$ | $(5)$ |
| Baldchin | 1 | 0.3 | 19 | 11 | 8 | 7 | 0.1 | 0 |
| Groper | $(0.2)$ | $(0.2)$ | $(2)$ | $(2)$ | $(1)$ | $(1)$ | $(0.1)$ | $(0)$ |
| Samson Fish | 0 | 0 | 3.8 | 6.6 | 13.1 | 19.2 | 7 | 8.4 |
|  | $(0)$ | $(0)$ | $(1)$ | $(1.5)$ | $(1.8)$ | $(3.1)$ | $(1.7)$ | $(2.5)$ |
| King George | 0 | 0 | 0.04 | 0 | 14.4 | 17.5 | 4.7 | 10.1 |
| Whiting | $(0)$ | $(0)$ | $(0.02)$ | $(0)$ | $(0.9)$ | $(2.2)$ | $(0.7)$ | $(1.8)$ |
| Breaksea | 0.2 | 0.2 | 2 | 2.1 | 9.9 | 11.3 | 4.2 | 3.3 |
| Cod | $(0.03)$ | $(0.1)$ | $(0.3)$ | $(1)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(1)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.6)$ |
| Australian | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 5.7 | 4.7 | 6.4 |
| Salmon | $(0)$ | $(0)$ | $(0)$ | $(0)$ | $(2.1)$ | $(1.9)$ | $(1.6)$ | $(3.3)$ |



Figure 1. West Coast Bioregion showing the Kalbarri, Abrolhos, Midwest, Metro, Cockburn Sound and South zones.


Figure 2. Mean number of trailers counted at boat ramps in the West Coast Bioregion for various times of the day.

### 9.0 Appendices

Appendix A Boat ramp trailer count form

## WEST COAST RECREATIONAL BOAT SURVEY BOAT RAMP FORM 2005 / 06

Interviewers Name: $\qquad$

Date: $\qquad$ Start Time (24hr): $\qquad$ Finish Time(24hr): $\qquad$

District $\qquad$ Boat Ramp: $\qquad$

ENVIRONMENTAL DATA

| Wind: | Calm <br> 1 | Light <br> 2 | Mod <br> 3 | Strong <br> 4 | Gale <br> 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water: | Calm <br> 1 | Slight <br> 2 | Mod <br> 3 | Rough <br> 4 | V. Rough <br> 5 | 


| Boat Launches |  |  |  | Boat Retrievals |  |  |  | Total Number of Trailers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Type | Time | Type | Time | Type | Time | Type | At Start | At Finish |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Numbe } \\ \text { Moor } \end{gathered}$ | Boats from / Shore |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Moo | / Shore |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | At Start | At Finish |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Count |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | At Start | At Finish |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Boat Types |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | P: Power boat |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Y: Yacht |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | O: Other |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix B Boat ramp interview questionnaire form

## WEST COAST RECREATIONAL FISHING SURVEY 2005/6 INTERVIEW QUESTIONNAIRE

Date: $\qquad$

|  |  | 華 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Boat Reg. No.: $\qquad$


| Species <br> ( Record Sex for Lobsters and any Tag Numbers for Fish ) |  |  | B <br>  <br>  |  |  | Species Targeted <br> 1. $\qquad$ <br> 2. $\qquad$ <br> Measurements (mm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W.A. Dhufish (male) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| W.A. Dhufish (female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pink Snapper |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Breaksea Cod |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baldchin Groper |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herring |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1) What is the bag limit for $\qquad$ targeted/predominant species from catch?

| CORRECT | INCORRECT | DONíT KNOW |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\qquad$ targeted/predominant species from catch?
2) What is the size limit for

| CORRECT | INCORRECT | DONíT KNOW |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Appendix C Catch and effort calculations for boats launched from public boat ramps

## Estimation of total effort

The fishing effort for a day (hours) was estimated by the method of Jones and Robson (1991) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e=f T \sum_{i}\left[\left(\frac{1}{w_{i}}\right) \sum_{j} X_{i j}\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{T}=8$ is the time taken to complete the bus route, $w_{i}$ is the interviewer wait time at site $i$ and $\mathrm{X}_{i j}$ is the time trailer $j$ spends at site $i$. A correction factor $f \geq 1$ was used to adjust the effort for fishing that occurred before the morning shift commenced at time $t$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\frac{\sum_{j}\left(r_{j}-\ell_{j}\right)}{\sum_{j} b_{j}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
b_{j}= \begin{cases}r_{j}-t, & \ell_{j}<t \\ r_{j}-\ell_{j}, & \ell_{j} \geq t\end{cases}
$$

$r_{j}$ is the retrieval time for boat $j$ and $\ell_{j}$ is the launch time for boat $j$. The fishing effort was estimated for a random sample of days in each stratum (see Section 2.2). The estimated variance within stratum $k$ is (Pollock et al., 1994)

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{k}^{2}=\frac{1}{n_{k}-1} \sum_{m=1}^{n_{k}}\left(e_{k m}-\bar{e}_{k}\right)^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{k}$ is the sample size (days) for stratum $k, e_{k m}$ the effort for stratum $k$ on day $m$ and $\bar{e}_{k}$ the mean daily fishing effort for stratum $k$. The variance associated with the estimate of the mean, with finite population correction (Neter et al., 1988), is calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{e}_{k}\right)=\frac{s_{k}^{2}}{n_{k}}\left(\frac{N_{k}-n_{k}}{N_{k}}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{k}$ is the total number of days in stratum $k$. The total effort for stratum $k$ is estimated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{E}_{k}=\frac{N_{k}}{n_{k}} \sum_{m=1}^{n_{k}} e_{k m} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The variance associated with $\hat{E}_{k}$ is estimated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{E}_{k}\right)=N_{k}^{2} \operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{e}_{k}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The standard error is calculated by the usual method

$$
\begin{equation*}
S E\left(\hat{E}_{k}\right)=\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{E}_{k}\right)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total effort is estimated by summing the effort for the strata as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{E}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \hat{E}_{k} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is the number of strata. Similarly the variance of $\hat{E}$ is estimated from the independent variances for the strata

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}(\hat{E})=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{E}_{k}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The standard error of $\hat{E}$ is calculated by the usual method

$$
\begin{equation*}
S E(\hat{E})=\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(\hat{E})} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Estimation of total catch

The catch rate for each stratum $k$ is estimated by (Crone and Malvestuto, 1991) since the probability of sampling a boat is independent of trip length

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{R}_{k}=\frac{\bar{c}_{k}}{\bar{L}_{k}}=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_{k}} c_{k j} / n_{k}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n_{k}} L_{k j} / n_{k}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{k}$ is the number of boats where the catch was recorded, $c_{k j}$ the catch for boat $j$ and $L_{k j}$ the effort, in hours, for boat $j$. The variances for $\bar{c}_{k}$ and $\bar{L}_{k}$ can be calculated by the usual method (see (3) and (4) without the finite population correction factor). The variance for $\hat{R}_{k}$ can be estimated using the formulae described in Kendall and Stuart (1969)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{R}_{k}\right) \approx \hat{R}_{k}^{2}\left(\frac{\operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{c}_{k}\right)}{\bar{c}_{k}^{2}}+\frac{\operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{L}_{k}\right)}{\bar{L}_{k}^{2}}-\frac{2 \operatorname{Cov}\left(\bar{c}_{k}, \bar{L}_{k}\right)}{\bar{c}_{k} \bar{L}_{k}}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The covariance term was assumed to be zero.
The total catch for stratum $k$ is estimated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{C}_{k}=\hat{E}_{k} \hat{R}_{k} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The variance was estimated using the formulae described in Kendall and Stuart (1969)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{C}_{k}\right) \approx \hat{C}_{k}^{2}\left(\frac{\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{E}_{k}\right)}{\hat{E}_{k}^{2}}+\frac{\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{R}_{k}\right)}{\hat{R}_{k}^{2}}+\frac{2 \operatorname{Cov}\left(\hat{E}_{k}, \hat{R}_{k}\right)}{\hat{E}_{k} \hat{R}_{k}}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the covariance term was assumed to be zero. The total catch is estimated by summing the catch for each strata as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{C}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \hat{C}_{k} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The variance of $\hat{C}$ is estimated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}(\hat{C})=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{C}_{k}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The standard error of $\hat{C}$ is calculated by the usual method

$$
\begin{equation*}
S E(\hat{C})=\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(\hat{C})} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The standard error associated with the estimate of the number of fish kept $S E(\hat{c})$ was calculated for each species. Assuming a student $t$ distribution, the $(1-\alpha)$ percent confidence interval for the number kept ( $\hat{c}$ ) was calculated from the standard error as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{c} \pm t(1-\alpha / 2 ; n-1) S E(\hat{c}) \\
& \hat{c} \pm 1.96 S E(\hat{c})
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha=0.05$ for the $95 \%$ confidence interval and $n$ is the number of boats surveyed (sample size). The estimates reported in the results have been rounded to reflect the level of precision.

## Appendix D Schematic representation of calculations to estimate catch and fishing effort


Spatial distribution of estimated recreational ocean line fishing effort in the West Coast Bioregion for boats launched from public ramps


## Appendix F

# Estimated total number of fish retained by recreational ocean line fishing in the West Coast Bioregion for boats launched from public ramps 

| Common Name | Scientific name | Total Kept 05/06 | SE Kept $05 / 06$ | Total Kept 96/97 | SE Kept $96 / 97$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Combined whiting spp. | Sillaginidae | 404,376 | 16,638 | 506,343 | 33,755 |
| Herring, Australian | Arripis georgianus | 288,392 | 14,658 | 364,932 | 23,099 |
| Squids, general | Cephalopodidae | 83,791 | 4,295 | 77,425 | 7,925 |
| Trevally, Skipjack/Silver | Pseudocaranx spp. | 73,693 | 8,334 | 105,593 | 14,221 |
| Whiting, King George | Sillaginodes punctata | 48,362 | 2,921 | 87,001 | 8,870 |
| Dhufish, Western Australian | Glaucosoma hebraicum | 35,222 | 1,799 | 23,982 | 1,773 |
| Wrasse/Gropers, general | Labridae | 30,736 | 2,408 | 58,543 | 4,817 |
| Garfish, general | Hemiramphidae | 22,161 | 2,310 | 67,356 | 7,478 |
| Cod, Breaksea (Black-arse Cod) | Epinephelides armatus | 18,008 | 875 | 13,803 | 1,245 |
| Snapper, Pink | Pagrus auratus | 17,808 | 1,237 | 15,546 | 1,538 |
| Groper, Baldchin | Choerodon rubescens | 9,933 | 861 | 6,286 | 943 |
| Seapikes/Barracuda/Snook, general | Sphyraena spp. | 8,711 | 1,989 | 25,048 | 6,929 |
| Emperor, Sweetlip (Red Throat) | Lethrinus miniatus | 7,513 | 1,023 | 564 | 193 |
| Butterfish, Western | Pentapodus vitta | 5,900 | 2,678 | 9,419 | 2,140 |
| Flatheads, general | Platycephalidae | 5,882 | 648 | 7,481 | 1,098 |
| Scad, Yellowtail | Trachurus novaezelandiae | 5,251 | 894 | 7,351 | 2,127 |
| Tailor | Pomatomus saltatrix | 4,826 | 690 | 24,251 | 3,749 |
| Trumpeters/Grunters, General | Teraponidae | 4,313 | 871 | 6,949 | 2,477 |
| Snapper, Queen (Blue Morwong) | Nemadactylus valenciennesi | 4,252 | 382 | 6,105 | 1,072 |
| Samson Fish/Sea Kingfish | Seriola hippos | 4,117 | 296 | 4,947 | 610 |
| Blowfish, Common | Torquigener pleurogramma | 4,052 | 1,085 | 1,035 | 492 |
| Sergeant Baker | Aulopus purpurissatus | 3,997 | 379 | 1,896 | 368 |
| Salmon, Australian | Arripis truttaceus | 3,891 | 619 | 2,740 | 859 |
| Redfish ${ }^{1}$ | Centroberyx spp. | 3,875 | 646 | 1,119 | 249 |
| Cuttlefish | Sepiidae | 3,803 | 390 | 1,503 | 465 |
| Leatherjackets, general | Monacanthidae | 3,324 | 326 | 2,718 | 514 |
| Mackerel, Blue | Scomber australasicus | 2,788 | 543 | 22,169 | 3,456 |
| Sweep, Sea | Scorpis aequipinnis | 2,774 | 365 | 4,326 | 917 |
| Foxfish, Western | Bodianus frenchii | 2,434 | 275 | 1,463 | 396 |
| Shark, general |  | 2,321 | 306 | 2,234 | 313 |
| Bonitos, general | Scombridae spp. | 2,033 | 385 | 442 | 170 |
| Harlequin Fish | Othos dentex | 1,989 | 220 | 1,256 | 266 |
| Bream, Silver (Tarwhine) | Rhabdosargus sarba | 1,732 | 227 | 5,337 | 1,103 |
| Goatfish, general | Mullidae | 1,706 | 376 | 2,410 | 659 |
| Sweep, Banded | Scorpis georgianus | 1,697 | 238 | 2,716 | 519 |
| Flounders, general |  <br> Bothidae | 1,106 | 173 | 2,439 | 495 |
| Dart, Common | Trachinotus botla | 818 | 414 |  |  |
| Mackerel, Narrow-Barred Spanish | Scomberomorus commerson | 812 | 150 | 1,721 | 458 |
| Pike, Long-finned | Dinolestes lewini | 808 | 348 | 215 | 95 |


| Common Name | Scientific name | Total Kept <br> $\mathbf{0 5 / 0 6}$ | SE Kept <br> $\mathbf{0 5 / 0 6}$ | Total Kept SE Kept <br> 96/97 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 96/97 |  |  |  |  |

1 Note that this "redfish" group consists of 2-3 species, which were mainly referred to locally as red snapper. The dominant species was likely to be bight redfish (Centroberyx gerrardi), with lesser numbers of swallowtail redfish (Centroberyx lineatus). These are different to some tropical species also known as red snapper.

## Appendix G <br> Estimated total number of fish (by species) released by recreational ocean line fishing in the West Coast Bioregion for boats launched from public ramps

| Common Name | Scientific Name | Total Released $05 / 06$ | SE Released $05 / 06$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Released } \\ 96 / 97 \end{array}$ | SE Released $96 / 97$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wrasse/Gropers, general | Labridae | 143,367 | 6,736 | 71,728 | 5,068 |
| Blowfish, Common | Torquigener pleurogramma | 96,027 | 5,819 | 19,545 | 3,821 |
| Combined whiting spp. | Sillaginidae | 62,305 | 4,385 | 102,243 | 11,189 |
| Flatheads, general | Platycephalidae | 48,928 | 5,635 | 37,217 | 5,084 |
| Trumpeters/Grunters, General | Teraponidae | 45,816 | 4,998 | 10,037 | 2,324 |
| Herring, Australian | Arripis georgianus | 38,299 | 3,236 | 17,018 | 2,930 |
| Trevally, Skipjack/Silver | Pseudocaranx spp. | 37,017 | 3,025 | 26,520 | 4,249 |
| Dhufish, Western Australian | Glaucosoma hebraicum | 16,766 | 974 | 11,801 | 974 |
| Snapper, Pink | Pagrus auratus | 13,693 | 1,112 | 8,298 | 1,459 |
| Leatherjackets, general | Monacanthidae | 10,758 | 2,155 | 2,914 | 808 |
| Cod, Breaksea (Black-arse Cod) | Epinephelides armatus | 9,900 | 807 | 1,924 | 440 |
| Whiting, King George | Sillgainodes punctata | 9,002 | 1,338 | 16,625 | 3,088 |
| Samson Fish/Sea Kingfish | Seriola hippos | 8,429 | 933 | 2,543 | 684 |
| Emperor, Sweetlip (Red Throat) | Lethrinus miniatus | 7,063 | 1,279 |  |  |
| Salmon, Australian | Arripis truttaceus | 6,583 | 1,624 | 1,095 | 584 |
| Sergeant Baker | Aulopus purpurissatus | 6,407 | 614 | 961 | 246 |
| Scad, Yellowtail | Trachurus novaezelandiae | 5,877 | 1,319 | 2,890 | 754 |
| Rays, general |  | 5,810 | 478 | 2,295 | 413 |
| Butterfish, Western | Pentapodus vitta | 5,298 | 766 | 2,264 | 831 |
| Gurnard Perch | Neosebastes scorpaenoides | 5,276 | 754 | 487 | 187 |
| Goatfish, general | Mullidae | 4,638 | 593 | 1,370 | 414 |
| Shark, general |  | 3,765 | 480 | 1,894 | 499 |
| Tailor | Pomatomus saltatrix | 3,586 | 855 | 3,314 | 1,099 |
| Bream, Silver (Tarwhine) | Rhabdosargus sarba | 3,497 | 754 | 5,057 | 1,215 |
| Scorpioncod, Western Red | Scorpaena sumptuosa | 3,493 | 450 | 262 | 142 |
| Gurnards, general | Triglidae | 2,390 | 367 | 2,441 | 633 |
| Blowfish, Northwest (Silver Toadfish) | Lagocephalus sceleratus | 2,358 | 367 | 5,591 | 1,175 |
| Garfish, general | Hemiramphidae | 2,252 | 448 | 3,847 | 1,562 |
| Groper, Baldchin | Choerodon rubescens | 1,963 | 276 | 518 | 203 |
| Sweep, Sea | Scorpis aequipinnis | 1,827 | 397 | 658 | 375 |
| Squids, general | Cephalopodidae | 1,746 | 295 | 1,164 | 734 |
| Mackerel, Blue | Scomber australasicus | 1,707 | 494 | 5,185 | 1,417 |
| Parrotfish, General | Scaridae | 1,675 | 370 | 341 | 174 |
| Seapikes/Barracuda/ Snook, general | Sphyraena spp. | 1,546 | 488 | 4,074 | 1,446 |
| Sweep, Banded | Scorpis georgianus | 1,206 | 232 | 141 | 82 |
| Rays, Shovelnose, General |  | 1,169 | 199 | 400 | 141 |


| Common Name | Scientific Name | Total Released $05 / 06$ | SE Released $05 / 06$ | Total Released $96 / 97$ | SE Released $96 / 97$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cods - General | Serranidae | 1,106 | 223 | 7,092 | 1,709 |
| Sweep, Footballer | Neatypus obliquus | 1,087 | 649 | 33 | 23 |
| Foxfish, Western | Bodianus frenchii | 936 | 183 | 17 | 17 |
| Blue Devil, Western | Paraplesiops sinclairi | 924 | 185 | 61 | 37 |
| Trout, Coral | Plectropomus maculatus | 855 | 299 | 276 | 132 |
| Snapper, Queen (Blue Morwong) | Mnemadactylus valenciennesi | 842 | 460 |  |  |
| Scorpionfishes, general | Scorpaenidae | 796 | 157 |  |  |
| Bonitos, general | Scombridae spp. | 785 | 245 | 230 | 204 |
| Cod, Estuary/Slimy Cod | Epinephelus coioides | 775 | 486 |  |  |
| Groper, Western Blue | Achoerodus gouldii | 661 | 242 |  |  |
| Redfish ${ }^{1}$ | Centroberyx spp. | 656 | 161 | 202 | 131 |
| Cuttlefish | Sepiidae | 590 | 122 | 130 | 87 |
| Wobbegongs/Catsharks, general | Orectolobus sp. | 563 | 150 | 301 | 118 |
| Emperor, Blue-Lined (Black Snapper) | Lethrinus laticaudis | 543 | 176 |  |  |
| Eels, General | Gymnothorax spp. | 460 | 133 | 33 | 24 |
| Flounders, general |  | 384 | 99 | 445 | 167 |
| Harlequin Fish | Othos dentex | 327 | 92 | 271 | 167 |
| Knife Jaw | Oplegnathus woodwardi | 325 | 192 |  |  |
| Whiting, Blue Weed | Haletta semifasciata | 324 | 168 |  |  |
| Emperor, Spangled | Lethrinus nebulosus | 316 | 107 | 154 | 95 |
| Cod, Chinaman | Epinephelus rivulatus | 287 | 170 | 174 | 81 |
| Buffalo Bream, Western | Kyphosus cornelii | 277 | 98 | 209 | 91 |
| Kingfish, Yellowtail | Seriola lalandi | 276 | 111 | 118 | 82 |
| Old Wife | Enoplosus armatus | 265 | 179 |  |  |
| Footballer/Stripey | Microcanthus strigatus | 241 | 125 |  |  |
| Trevallies, general |  | 226 | 178 |  |  |
| Pigfishes, general | Bodianus spp. | 218 | 219 |  |  |
| Moonlighter | Tilodon sexfasciatum | 217 | 122 |  |  |
| Cow Fish, Shaw's | Aracana aurita | 210 | 97 |  |  |
| Buffalo Bream, Common (Silver Drummer) | Kyphosus sydneyanus | 209 | 162 |  |  |
| Other Species ( $\mathrm{n}=71$ species) |  | 6,494 |  | 17,115 |  |

1 Note that this "redfish" group consists of 2-3 species, which were mainly referred to locally as red snapper. The dominant species was likely to be bight redfish (Centroberyx gerrardi), with lesser numbers of swallowtail redfish (Centroberyx lineatus). These are different to some tropical species also known as red snapper.
Spatial distribution of the estimated recreational ocean line fishing catch of WA dhufish in the West Coast Bioregion for boats launched from public ramps

Spatial distribution of the estimated recreational ocean line fishing catch of pink snapper in
the West Coast Bioregion for boats launched from public ramps
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Spatial distribution of the estimated recreational ocean line fishing catch of baldchin groper
in the West Coast Bioregion for boats launched from public ramps

Spatial distribution of the estimated recreational ocean line fishing catch of breaksea cod in
the West Coast Bioregion for boats launched from public ramps

Appendix K
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Appendix L
Spatial distribution of the estimated recreational ocean line fishing catch of Australian herring for trailer boats in the West Coast Bioregion.
Note that fishing in multiple locations on a single day can confound the estimation of spatial distribution of catches for individual species and that
this most likely affects the inshore species - see Discussion section Spatial and temporal variations).
$\left.\begin{array}{cl}\text { Recreational Australian Herring Catch } 1996 / 97\end{array}\right)$
Spatial distribution of the estimated recreational ocean line fishing catch of skipjack trevally
in the West Coast Bioregion for boats launched from public ramps.
Note that fishing in multiple locations on a single day can confound the estimation of spatial distribution of catches for individual species and that this most likely affects the inshore species - see Discussion section Spatial and temporal variations).

Spatial distribution of the estimated recreational ocean line fishing catch of King George whiting in the West Coast Bioregion for boats launched from public ramps.
Note that fishing in multiple locations on a single day can confound the estimation of spatial distribution of catches for individual species and that this most likely affects the inshore species - see Discussion section Spatial and temporal variations).
Recreational King George Whiting Catch 2005/06
F=
Note that fishing in multiple locations on a single day can confound the estimation of spatial distribution of catches for individual species and that this most likely affects the inshore species - see Discussion section Spatial and temporal variations)



[^0]:    1 In the previous survey (1996/97) the level of effort by this sector was found to be relatively small but may now have increased. This sector has been included in the phone diary survey completed in 2005/06 (Wise et al., 2007).

[^1]:    2 Boats crews that did not use a line, but participated in other activities (such as pulling lobster pots, crabbing, diving or snorkelling) were not included in the estimation of ocean line fishing effort.
    3 Trailers belonging to jet skis and tenders for commercial rock lobster boats were not included.

