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## Executive Summary

The statewide survey of boat-based recreational fishing includes three components: (i) off-site Phone Surveys (encompassing an initial Screening Survey, a longitudinal Phone-Diary Survey, and Post-Enumeration Surveys); (ii) on-site Boat Ramp Surveys; and (iii) a Remote Camera Survey. The main data collection period was the 12-months from September 2015 to August 2016 inclusive, with the Post-Enumeration Surveys occurring from September to November 2016. This report presents results from the statewide survey in 2015/16 and provides comparisons with the previous statewide surveys in 2013/14 (May 2013 to April 2014) and 2011/12 (March 2011 to February 2012).

A total of 2,931 fishers completed the Phone-Diary Survey with 320,661 individual fish (including invertebrates) caught (kept or released) during the 12 month survey. Fishing activity reported by fishers during the survey included 39,416 boat days, 42,152 fishing events and 123,378 fishing hours, across every day of the survey year and the majority of the State's coastline. Additionally, 3,068 fishers were interviewed in Boat Ramp Surveys with 9,960 individual fish (or invertebrates) measured. Boating activity for more than $>160,000$ launches (or retrievals) were recorded in the Remote Camera Survey from 11 boat ramps statewide.

A diverse range of species/taxa were caught, including scalefish (182 species/taxa), elasmobranchs (18), crustaceans (7) and molluscs (5). An estimated 2.54 million individual fish (including invertebrates) were caught from boat-based recreational fishing in 2015/16. A similar proportion of the catch was either kept ( 1.18 million or $46 \%$ ) or released ( 1.36 million or $54 \%$ ). Approximately $55 \%$ of the total catch were finfish ( 1.39 million scalefish or elasmobranchs) in comparison to invertebrates ( 1.15 million crustaeans or molluscs). A similar proportion of finfish (53\%) and invertebrates (55\%) were released.

School Whiting (Sillago bassensis, S. vittata and S. schomburgkii) was the most commonly caught finfish species by boat-based recreational fishers (230,052 kept or released statewide by number, or $17 \%$ of the finfish catch), followed by Australian Herring (Arripis georgianus; 132,844 or 10\%), Pink Snapper (Chrysophrys auratus; 117,482 or 8\%), West Australian Dhufish (Glaucosoma hebraicum; 74,981 or 5\%), Silver Trevally (Pseudocaranx spp. complex; 60,887 or $4 \%$ ), Black Bream (Acanthopagrus butcheri; 61,044 or 4\%), King George Whiting (Sillaginodes punctata; 47,563 or 3\%), Western King Wrasse (Coris auricularis; 36,235 or 3\%), Breaksea Cod (Epinephelides armatus; 28,733 or 2\%) and Baldchin Groper (Choerodon rubescens; 28,780 or 2\%). High release rates were observed for Western King Wrasse (83\%), Pink Snapper (76\%), Black Bream (74\%) and West Australian Dhufish (68\%). Release rates were lower for Silver Trevally (46\%), Baldchin Groper (42\%), Breaksea Cod (41\%), King George Whiting (25\%), School Whiting (24\%) and Australian Herring (21\%).

Blue Swimmer Crab (Portunus armatus) was the most commonly caught invertebrate species by boat-based recreational fishers (678,269 kept or released statewide by number, or $59 \%$ of the invertebrate catch), followed by Western Rock Lobster (Panulirus cygnus; 387,458 or 34\%), Squid (Order Teuthoidea; 65,025 or 6\%) and Mud Crab (Scylla olivacea and S serrata;

11,581 or 1\%). High release rates were observed for Blue Swimmer Crab (71\%) and Mud Crab (58\%) compared with Western Rock Lobster (35\%) and Squid (4\%).

Estimates of catch (by number) were converted to estimates of harvest (by weight) according to average weights for key species, obtained from Boat Ramp Surveys or Tour Operator Returns (Charter Logbooks). An overview of the information required for stock status reporting of major recreational fisheries, based on estimates of harvest and $95 \%$ confidence intervals during 2015/16, is provided in this report. At a statewide level, estimates of catch from boat-based recreational fishing were generally consistent across the three statewide surveys. At a bioregion level, comparisons can be made for both the species contributing to the top 10 species in each resource and the estimated harvest for each resource.

The top 10 nearshore and estuarine species (or species groupings) in 2015/16 represented: $83 \%$ of the total catch for the suite (kept by numbers) in the North Coast, $91 \%$ in the Gascoyne Coast, $93 \%$ in the West Coast, and $95 \%$ in the South Coast. The estimated recreational harvest ranges for the top 10 nearshore and estuarine species were steady in 2015/16 in the North Coast ( $95 \%$ CI 20-35 tonnes compared with 15-27 in 2013/14 and 2036 in 2011/12) and Gascoyne Coast ( $95 \%$ CI 6-13 compared with 9-22 in 2013/14 and 8-16 in 2011/12). The estimated recreational harvest range for the top 10 nearshore and estuarine species in the West Coast was steady in 2015/16 (95\% CI 58-77) compared with 2013/14 (68-87), but lower than 2011/12 (101-126). The estimated recreational harvest range for the top 10 nearshore and estuarine species in the South Coast was also steady in 2015/16 (95\% CI 13-21) compared with 2013/14 (20-31), but lower than 2011/12 (37-52).

The top 10 demersal species (or species groupings, 15 in the West Coast) in 2015/16 represented: $77 \%$ of the total catch for the suite (kept by numbers) in the North Coast, $82 \%$ in the Gascoyne Coast, $93 \%$ in the West Coast and $96 \%$ in the South Coast.

The estimated recreational harvest range for the top 10 demersal species in the North Coast was lower in 2015/16 (95\% CI 34-47 tonnes compared with 48-69 in 2013/14 and 73-92 in 2011/12). This decrease was consistent with lower estimates of effort by boat-based recreational fishers in the North Coast in 2015/16. Estimated recreational harvests were steady for Blackspot Tuskfish, Coral Trout, Golden Snapper, Grass Emperor, Mangrove Jack, Rankin Cod, Red Emperor and Stripey Snapper. The estimated recreational harvest range for Spangled Emperor was steady in 2015/16 (95\% CI 2-5 tonnes) compared with 2013/14 (39), but lower than 2011/12 (11-18).

The estimated recreational harvest range for the top 10 demersal species in the Gascoyne Coast was steady in 2015/16 (95\% CI 87-118 tonnes) compared with 2013/14 (88-115), but lower than 2011/12 (127-159). The estimated recreational harvest range of: Spangled Emperor was steady in 2015/16 (8-16) compared with 2013/14 (12-22), but lower than 2011/12 (27-45); Grass Emperor was steady in 2015/16 (3-7) compared with 2013/14 (514), but lower than 2011/12 (12-20); and Redthroat Emperor was steady in 2015/16 (1-5) compared with 2013/14 (2-4), but lower than 2011/12 (6-11). Estimated recreational harvests were steady for Baldchin Groper, Goldband Snapper, Goldspotted Rockcod, Pink Snapper, Rankin Cod, Red Emperor and Stripey Snapper.

The estimated recreational harvest range for the top 15 demersal species in the West Coast was higher in 2015/16 (95\% CI 193-230 tonnes compared with 140-169 in 2013/14 and 146-174 in 2011/12). The estimated recreational harvest range of West Australian Dhufish was higher in 2015/16 (97-129 compared with 69-94 in 2013/14 and 64-87 in 2011/12). The estimated recreational harvest range of Baldchin Groper was higher in 2015/16 (28-42) compared with 2013/14 (17-25), but similar to the harvest range in 2011/12 (24-36). The estimated recreational harvest range of Pink Snapper was steady in 2015/16 (30-42 compared with 25-36 in 2013/14 and 27-38 in 2011/12). Estimated recreational harvests were also steady for Baldchin Groper, Bight Redfish, Blue Morwong, Breaksea Cod, Emperor, Foxfish, Pink Snapper, Sea Sweep and Sergeant Baker.

The estimated recreational harvest range for the top 10 demersal species in the South Coast was steady in 2015/16 (95\% CI 38-51 tonnes compared with 30-38 in 2013/14 and 47-63 in 2011/12). Estimated recreational harvests were steady for Bight Redfish, Blue Morwong, Breaksea Cod, Foxfish, Harlequin Fish, Pink Snapper, Sea Sweep, West Australian Dhufish and Swallowtail.

The pelagic resource, as defined by the top 10 pelagic species (or groupings) in the North Coast in 2015/16 represented $99 \%$ of the total catch for the suite (kept by numbers). The estimated recreational harvest range for the top 10 pelagic species was steady in 2015/16 ( $95 \%$ CI 21-31 tonnes) compared with 2013/14 (23-41), but lower than 2011/12 (40-61). The estimated recreational harvest range of Spanish Mackerel was steady in 2015/16 (95\% CI 12-22) compared with 2013/14 (16-32), but lower than 2011/12 (27-47).

Estimated recreational harvest ranges of crab resources in each bioregion were also compared with previous statewide surveys. The estimated recreational harvest of Mud Crab in the North Coast represented $70 \%$ of the statewide catch (kept by numbers) in 2015/16. The estimated recreational harvest range of Mud Crab in the North Coast was lower in 2015/16 (95\% CI 23 tonnes) compared with 2013/14 (5-10) and 2011/12 (6-10).

The estimated recreational harvest of blue swimmer crab in the West Coast represented 92\% of the statewide catch (kept by numbers) in 2015/16. The estimated recreational harvest range for blue swimmer crab in the West Coast was steady in 2015/16 (95\% CI 36-50 tonnes) compared with 2013/14 (50-68), but lower than 2011/12 (75-97). The estimated recreational harvest ranges for Blue Swimmer Crab were steady in 2015/16 in the: North Coast (95\% CI $1-3$ tonnes compared with $2-6$ in 2013/14 and $2-5$ in 2011/12); Gascoyne Coast (1-2 compared with $1-4$ in 2013/14 and 1-8 in 2011/12); and South Coast ( $0-1$ compared with $1-$ 3 in 2013/14 and 1-4 in 2011/12).

There have been significant changes in recreational fishing rules since the previous statewide surveys, including the cessation of harvest tags in Freycinet Estuary and the removal of the maximum size limit for Pink Snapper in Shark Bay, a reduction in the daily bag limit of Australian Herring (from 30 to 12) and an area closure for Southern Garfish (between Lancelin and Myalup).

Data collected from the integrated statewide surveys are extensive, and while this report summarises key findings, further analyses and refinement of analysis methods will continue.

Additional reports will compare estimates of effort and catch from the statewide surveys with previous surveys, as required for management purposes, and investigate the survey design and sample weighting in greater detail to identify any improvements that can be made.

While this report compares estimates from three statewide surveys of boat-based recreational fishing, additional catches from charter-boat recreational fishing (reported in Tour Operator Returns) and shore-based fishing (where available) are used to determine the total catch from the recreational sector. Specific performance indicators, reference levels and catch tolerances will be reported separately, and used to provide trends in total catch to assist in developing, monitoring and refining management arrangements.

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Importance of Recreational Fishing in Western Australia

Recreational fishing is a popular activity in Western Australia, providing important social and economic benefits to the State's population. The estimated number of recreational fishers increased from 315,000 in 1989/90 (Lindner and McLeod 1991) to 752,000 in 2015/16 (Department of Fisheries 2016). The participation rate of Western Australian residents is generally above the national average, with an estimated $26.6 \%$ of the population (aged 15 years or older) fishing in 1989/90 and 28.5\% (aged 5 years or older) fishing in 2000/01 (Lindner and McLeod 1991, Henry and Lyle 2003). The participation rate in recreational fishing was estimated to be $31.1 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI 27.8-34.4\%) in 2015/16, and has remained constant for the last five years (Department of Fisheries 2016). The expenditure attributable to recreational fishing in Western Australia has been estimated at \$55-130 million in 1989/90 and \$338 million in 2000/01, with an average fisher expenditure of $\$ 415$ and $\$ 706$ per year, respectively (Lindner and McLeod 1991, Henry and Lyle 2003), and is likely to be higher now due to CPI and population growth.

Recreational fishers often have important catch-related motives such as fishing to 'obtain a feed' or 'for fresh seafood'. However, there are also significant social benefits from recreational fishing. Recreational fishers in Western Australia also have non-catch related motives (e.g. 'to relax and unwind', 'to be outdoors', 'for solitude', or 'to be with family and friends') as their primary motive for fishing (Henry and Lyle 2003). While most recreational fishers only catch a relatively small number of fish, collectively the recreational catch can be substantial. In 2000/01, the estimated total catch from boat- and shore-based recreational fishing in Western Australia included over 10.4 million finfish (by number) and 3.8 million invertebrates (by number; including crabs, prawns, lobster, and cephalopods) (Henry and Lyle 2003). The estimated total catch from boat-based recreational fishing in 2011/12 included 2.4 million finfish (by number) and 1.4 million invertebrates (Ryan et al. 2013), and in 2013/14 included 2.0 million finfish (by number) and 1.4 million invertebrates (Ryan et al. 2015) This reports provides an update of the estimated recreational catches from boat-based recreational fishing in 2015/16.

### 1.2 Need for Recreational Fishing Information

An understanding of recreational fishing effort and catch is used to inform stock assessments, resource allocation between fishing sectors, and the development, implementation and review of management plans. Effective management of fish resources requires accurate estimates of the catch taken by all sectors; therefore, a high priority has been placed on the collection of data for key recreational fisheries in Western Australia (Wise and Fletcher 2013, Ryan et al. 2016).

Obtaining suitable recreational data in Western Australia is challenging because of the State's large coastline ( $20,781 \mathrm{~km}$ ) and ongoing regional development, which is changing the distribution and intensity of recreational fishing activity. In 2015/16, the proportion of days fished (by recall) from the annual Community Survey was highest in the West Coast bioregion
(74\%), around the capital city (Perth) and several of the State's large regional centres (Bunbury, Busselton and Geraldton; Department of Fisheries 2016). Recreational fishing effort in marine waters was lower elsewhere, such as in the South Coast (10.8\%), Gascoyne Coast (6.0\%) and North Coast (3.5\%; Department of Fisheries 2016).

Estimating the total recreational catch can be logistically difficult and is often relatively costly. These difficulties are especially apparent where there is no licence available to use as a sampling frame to easily identify recreational fishers. Historically, recreational fishers in Western Australia only required a licence for rock lobster, abalone, marron, freshwater angling and netting. Although the Recreational Fishing from Boat Licence (RFBL) was introduced in March 2010, there is still no licence required for shore-based recreational fishing. As a result, there are no contemporary estimates of the total boat- and shore-based catch. Importantly, in 2000/01, $57 \%$ of fishing effort and $54 \%$ of the recreational harvest was attributable to shore-based recreational fishing (Henry and Lyle 2003). It is likely that shore-based recreational fishing still represents a substantial component of the total recreational effort and harvest.
Recreational fishing licence fees raised $\$ 7.5$ million in 2015/16 (Department of Fisheries 2016). This revenue is invested in initiatives with direct benefit to recreational fishers in Western Australia, including recreational fishing surveys. These surveys provide harvest estimates and socio-economic information to inform management and policy, including Marine Stewardship Council certification and Integrated Fisheries Management (IFM), to ensure fish resources are managed sustainably and shared between fishing sectors (Department of Fisheries 2010, Ryan et al. 2016). To date, explicit resource allocations have been developed for: Western Rock Lobster (5\% recreational, 95\% commercial); metropolitan Roes’ Abalone (40t recreational, 36t commercial); and the West Coast Demersal Scalefish Fishery (36\% recreational, 64\% commercial). The implementation of the new Fisheries Act will require all new Aquatic Resource Management Strategies to have explicit sectoral allocations (Department of Fisheries 2010).

Long-term monitoring of recreational fishing will provide a greater understanding of temporal variability and trends in effort and catch that are essential for the assessment of stocks, resource allocation and management settings within the broad context of Ecologically Sustainable Development and Ecosystem Based Fisheries Management (Department of Fisheries 2016, Fletcher and Santoro 2017).

### 1.3 Recreational Fishing Surveys in Australia

The spatial resolution of monitoring recreational fishing needs to be matched to the spatial scale at which fisheries are managed. For many jurisdictions, this requires off-site methods appropriate for sampling large geographical areas, with numerous access points to the fishery and many recreational fishers (Pollock et al. 1994). The sampling frame used to randomly select recreational fishers for an off-site survey can range from a general population list (e.g. White Pages telephone directories) to specific lists (e.g. licence database). Sampling from the White Pages requires contacting many non-fishing households to locate fishing households and does
not include unlisted (silent or mobile) numbers. Sampling from licence databases has a higher probability of contacting fishers and includes fishers with or without a listed telephone; however, effectiveness is determined by exemptions, data availability and non-compliance (Ryan et al. 2009, Hartill et al. 2012).

The National Recreational and Indigenous Fishing Survey (NRFS) provided statewide estimates of boat- and shore-based recreational fishing across Australia from 1 May 2000 to 30 April 2001 (Henry and Lyle 2003). This survey used telephone interviews of fishers who were randomly selected from White Pages telephone directories. This methodology has been employed in subsequent statewide surveys in: South Australia from 1 November 2007 to 31 October 2008 (Jones 2009) and 1 December 2013 to 30 November 2014 (Giri and Hall 2015); Tasmania from 1 December 2007 to 30 November 2008 (Lyle et al. 2009) and 1 November 2012 to 31 October 2013 (Lyle et al. 2014); New South Wales from 1 June 2013 to 31 May 2014 (West et al. 2015); Northern Territory from 1 April 2009 to 31 March 2010 (West et al. 2012); and Queensland from 1 October 2010 to 30 September 2011 (Taylor et al. 2012) and 1 November 2013 to 31 October 2014 (Webley et al. 2015).

Licence databases have been used as sampling frames for surveys designed to estimate the total recreational catch for many specialised, low participation, licensed fisheries (e.g. abalone, rock lobster and scallops) in Australia (e.g. Lyle and Tracey 2016, Ryan et al. 2009, Ryan et al. 2016). The advantages of sampling from a licence database include: reduced costs for the initial screening survey, high response rates (reducing non-response bias), and the ability to use an optimal survey design where avid fishers are oversampled, which can effectively increase the number of fishing events in the sample and improve precision (Ryan et al. 2009).

### 1.4 Recreational Fishing Surveys in Western Australia

This report presents results from the statewide survey for the 12-months from September 2015 to August 2016 and provides comparisons with previous statewide surveys conducted from May 2013 to April 2014 (Ryan et al. 2015) and March 2011 to February 2012 (Ryan et al. 2013). Prior to these three surveys, large scale surveys of boat-based recreational fishing in Western Australia included the statewide component of the National Recreational and Indigenous Fishing Survey (Henry and Lyle 2003), and Boat Ramp Surveys at a bioregion level. These included 12month surveys in the West Coast in 1996/97 and 2005/06 (Sumner and Williamson 1999, Sumner et al. 2008, Wise and Fletcher 2013); Gascoyne Coast in 1998/99 (Sumner et al. 2002, Wise and Fletcher 2013) and 2007/08 (Marriott et al. 2012); North Coast in 1999/00 (Williamson et al. 2006); and South Coast in 2002/03 (Smallwood and Sumner 2007). The introduction of the Recreational Fishing from Boat Licence (RFBL) provided a suitable sampling frame for a comprehensive statewide survey (both spatially and temporally) to estimate catch from boat-based recreational fishing in Western Australia. An integrated system that obtained data from several survey methods, utilising the RFBL as the basis for sampling recreational fishers, was developed to provide the most robust approach for obtaining annual estimates of
catch from boat-based recreational fishing at both statewide and bioregion levels (Wise and Fletcher 2013).

### 1.5 Statewide Survey of boat-based Recreational Fishing

The statewide survey includes three complementary components: (i) off-site Phone Surveys using the RFBL as a sampling frame, with an initial Screening Survey to recruit respondents for the longitudinal Phone-Diary Survey, followed by Post-Enumeration Surveys to detect differences among licence holders (Wash-Up/Attitudinal, Non-Intending Fisher and Benchmark Surveys); (ii) on-site Boat Ramp Surveys to provide biological information; and (iii) a Remote Camera Survey using video cameras mounted at key boat ramps to monitor 24/7 launches and retrievals. The main period of data collection was the 12-months from September 2015 to August 2016, with the Post-Enumeration Surveys occurring from September to November 2016. Validation and analyses of data generated by these surveys commenced in December 2016 with estimates of effort and catch presented in this report.

### 1.6 Survey Objectives

The overall objectives of this survey were to generate estimates of participation (by number of RFBL holders), effort (boat days and hours fished), and catch for all species (total, kept and released, by number) from boat-based recreational fishing for 12 -months at statewide and bioregion levels. These estimates will complement data obtained routinely from the commercial sector. Additional objectives include: estimating recreational fishing effort and reasons for releasing any catch (e.g. size or bag limits, catch and release fishing, or personal preference). Furthermore, the implementation of regular, reliable and cost-effective surveys will provide data that will allow more realistic and rigorous assessments of recreational fisheries.

### 1.7 Report Structure

This report provides statewide and bioregion estimates of effort and catch from boat-based recreational fishing in Western Australia, with complete coverage temporally, spatially and for all recreational fishing methods (including line, pot, net and diving), from September 2015 to August 2016. Where appropriate, comparisons are made with estimates from the previous statewide surveys conducted in 2013/14 and 2011/12.

Each chapter covers specific details or outputs, including:
Chapter 2 (Survey Design and Analysis) outlines the survey design and scope for the Phone, Boat Ramp and Camera Surveys. Methods used for the expansion, weighting and analysis of survey data are discussed, along with measures of uncertainty associated with survey estimates.

Chapter 3 (Participation) presents estimates of the total number of RFBL holders that fished between September 2014 to August 2015 (Screening Survey) and September 2015 to August 2016 (Benchmark Survey). Participation estimates have been summarised by age, gender, bioregion fished and avidity.

Chapter 4 (Fishing Effort) presents estimates of effort from boat-based recreational fishing during the Phone-Diary Survey, including annual effort (boat days and hours fished), statewide and for each bioregion, by habitat, fishing method and month.

Chapter 5 (Statewide Recreational Catch) presents estimates of catch from boat-based recreational fishing during the Phone-Diary Survey, including annual catch (total, kept and released, by number), proportions released (release rates) and reasons for release for all species.

Chapter 6 (Estimates of Catch for Key Species) summarises estimates of catch from boatbased recreational fishing by bioregion, habitat, fishing method and season for key species, including indicator species within the Resource Assessment Framework.

Chapter 7 (Bioregion Fisheries) provides an overview of species composition and estimates of catch from boat-based recreational fishing in each bioregion, including annual catch (total, kept and released, by number) and proportions released for all species.

Chapter 8 (Small-scale estimates) provides an overview of species composition and estimates of catch from boat-based recreational fishing for zones within each bioregion, including annual catch (total, kept and released, by number) and proportions released for species where the sample size and relative standard error was considered acceptable (i.e. sample size $\geq 30$ and relative standard error $\leq 40 \%$.

Chapter 9 (Harvest Weights) provides an overview of the estimated annual boat-based recreational catch (kept, by number), average weight and estimated harvest (by weight) for the most commonly caught demersal and nearshore species/species groupings in each bioregion.

## 2 Survey Design and Analysis

This section outlines the survey design and scope for the Phone, Boat Ramp and Camera Surveys, methods used for weighting and analysis of survey data, and measures of uncertainty associated with survey estimates. Most aspects were consistent with the previous statewide surveys conducted in 2013/14 and 2011/12, with any differences discussed below.

### 2.1 Survey scope

The integrated survey included three complementary components: (i) off-site Phone Surveys (encompassing an initial Screening Survey, a longitudinal Phone-Diary Survey, followed by post-enumeration Wash-Up/Attitudinal, Non-Intending Fisher and Benchmark Surveys); (ii) onsite Boat Ramp Surveys; and (iii) a Remote Camera Survey. Output specifications are listed in Table 1 to identify what was considered in-scope for each survey.

### 2.1.1 Who was included in the survey?

Persons in scope included recreational fishers that held a Recreational Fishing from Boat Licence (RFBL), which is required to undertake any general fishing activity from a motorised vessel in Western Australia. Boat-based recreational fishers are required to have a minimum of one RFBL holder on board, and adhere to boat limits according to the number of RFBL holders, and in practice, the number of fishers generally equals the number of RFBL holders on board. In the Phone Surveys, fishers that held their licence in the 12-months prior to each survey component were in scope. An additional criterion for the Phone-Diary Survey was an intention to fish in the next 12-months (either from a boat or the shore). Commercial fishers were considered in scope if they held a RFBL, but any commercial catches by these fishers were not included. Indigenous fishing was not considered to be in the scope of this survey.

Persons in scope were comparable with previous statewide surveys across all survey components, with the expection of an additional sample for the Screening Survey as described here. Consistent with previous Screening Surveys the sample was randomly selected from the RFBL database. This included any licence holders that concurrently held a Rock Lobster (RL) licence. However, approximately $40 \%$ of RL licence holders do not have a RFBL; consequently previous statewide surveys have underestimated the recreational catch of Western Rock Lobster. For this reason, the Screening Survey in 2015/16 included an additional sample of 600 who only held RL licences, with subsequent recruitment of intending fishers into the Phone-Diary Survey. This sample provided the appropriate data to estimate the recreational catch of Western Rock Lobster by fishers that only held the species-specific licence for rock lobster.

Only the results from the RFBL sample are presented in this report to maintain consistency and comparability with estimates from previous statewide surveys. Results from the RL only sample will be reported separately (in comparison with results from mail and phone-recall surveys).

A minimum age criterion of 5 years was applied to all surveys. In the Phone Surveys, parents were always a proxy for children aged 5-13 years and parent permission was required for
children aged 14-17 years. No further proxies were allowed, except for nominated individuals within a household where there was language difficulty or illness. No substitution of respondents occurred during the Phone Surveys.

### 2.1.2 What fishing activities were covered?

Activities in scope were all boat-based recreational fishing methods, including line fishing, diving, netting, potting and spear fishing, as undertaken from a motorised vessel as per recreational fishing rules. Respondents in the Phone-Diary Survey reported the effort and catch for all fishers on the boat, which were standardised by the number of RFBL holders on each boat. Although fishers in the Phone-Diary survey reported catch information from Charter-boat recreational fishing, this information was excluded from analysis because Charter-boat catches are reported through mandatory Tour Operator Returns (Charter Logbooks). Charter-boat recreational fishing was not included in the Boat Ramp Surveys. Unreported illegal (noncompliant) recreational fishing activity was not included in the surveys. The proportion of RFBL holders that fished from the shore was assessed in the Screening and Benchmark Surveys.

Activities in scope were comparable with previous statewide surveys across all survey components, except for the Phone-Diary Survey. Shore-based recreational fishers, and their attributable catch, were not included in the Phone-Diary Surveys in 2013/14 and 2011/12. For this reason, the Phone-Diary Survey in 2015/16 included both boat- and shore-based recreational fishing, with shore-based recreational fishing events reported on an individual basis. It is not known if the sample of RFBL holders is representative of shore-based recreational fishers that do not hold a RFBL, therefore, shore-based recreational fishing data collected in the Phone-Diary Survey in 2015/16 requires subsequent adjustment.
Only the results from boat-based recreational fishing are presented in this report to maintain consistency and comparability with estimates from the previous statewide surveys. Results from shore-based recreational fishing will be reported separately.

### 2.1.3 What species were covered?

Species in scope included any aquatic (animal) species caught from recreational fishing. This includes both finfish (e.g. scalefish, sharks and rays) and invertebrates (e.g. abalone, cephalopods, crabs, lobsters and prawns). Most catches are reported for individual species, but there are some instances where species have been reported in taxonomic groups (e.g. School Whiting includes Southern School Whiting, Western School Whiting and Yellowfin Whiting, King Snapper includes Pristipomoides spp., Whaler Sharks includes Bronze Whaler and Dusky Sharks). Aggregating species at higher-level reporting groups is particularly relevant for species where misidentification can occur, despite attempts to assist fishers in identifying fish. Where species or taxa groups are represented by few records, catches are reported in broad taxonomic categories (e.g. 'Other scalefish'). Species taxonomy follows the Codes for Australian Aquatic Biota (Rees et al. 2012, www.marine.csiro.au/caab/). Consistent with the management of many of the multi-species fisheries in Western Australia, the results were in some instances also reported at the species suite level.

### 2.1.4 Survey Area

The geographic scope was fishing activity in Western Australia only. Consistent with the bioregion approach to fisheries management, the spatial strata for boat-based recreational fishing were the four marine bioregions off Western Australia (Figure 1). The Phone Surveys provided statewide coverage from all access points, while the Camera Survey provided statewide coverage as accessible from the boat ramps in the survey design, and the Biological Survey included key boat ramps in the West Coast and South Coast Bioregions. Based on Ecosystem Based Fisheries Management policy, bioregions are divided into broad ecological depth based habitats (Department of Fisheries 2016, Fletcher and Santoro 2017). These were pelagic (surface waters across all depths), offshore demersal (greater than 250m), inshore demersal (20-250m), nearshore (to 20m deep), estuarine (saltwater and 'brackish' to river mouth), and freshwater (river, stream, dams) (Figure 2).

### 2.1.5 Survey Duration

The 12-months from September 2015 to August 2016 applied to the Phone-Diary, Boat Ramp and Camera Surveys. The Phone Surveys included an initial Screening Survey during the three months prior to the Phone-Diary Survey, and Post-Enumeration Surveys during the three months following the Phone-Diary Survey. The 12-months from September 2015 to August 2016 were different (start and finish) from previous statewide surveys (May 2013 to April 2014 and March 2011 to February 2012). These adjustments were made to transition the commencement of the Phone-Diary Survey to a month with lower fishing activity.

The 12-months from September to August also provides continuous coverage of peak fishing seasons in the West Coast and South Coast (i.e. summer and autumn) and peak fishing seasons in the North Coast and Gascoyne Coast (i.e. autumn and winter) (Ryan et al. 2013, Ryan et al. 2015). Starting the statewide surveys in September also includes: complete fishing seasons for Western Rock Lobster (south of North West Cape from mid-October to June (i.e. closed season from July to mid-October) and blue swimmer crab in Peel Harvey Estuary from November to August (i.e. closed season from September to October); and most of the fishing season for West Coast Demersal Scalefish (i.e. closed season mid-October to mid-December).

### 2.1.6 Survey Data Elements

Inherent differences between off-site (e.g. phone) and on-site (e.g. face-to-face) sampling were considered to ensure consistency (where possible) in the information collected from each survey component. A key difference between off-site and on-site sampling is whether fishing activity is recorded on an event or trip basis. For the Phone-Diary Survey, fishing information was collected on an 'event' basis, where separate events were recorded for changes in location, habitat, target species and/or fishing method. For example, line fishing and diving during a single trip would be recorded as separate events. Fishing activity in the Boat Ramp Surveys was recorded on a 'trip' or day basis. Where possible, data elements were standardised between surveys, in terms of question wording and responses. Reference tables for data elements (such as boat ramp, species and fishing method) were also standardised among survey components.

Table 1. Output Specifications for each survey component.

| Specification | Item | Phone Surveys |  |  | Boat Ramp Surveys | Camera Survey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Screening | Phone-Diary | Benchmark | Biological |  |
| Persons in scope | Residency status | All, including Western Australian residents and interstate visitors |  |  | All | n/a |
|  | Age | <5 years excluded |  |  | All | n/a |
|  | Sampling frame | RFBL holders |  |  | Spatio-temporal frame |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep } 2014 \text { to } \\ & \text { Aug } 2015 \end{aligned}$ | Sep 2015 to Aug 2016 |  |  |  |
| Activities | Sectors | Recreational fishing only (traditional/indigenous fishing excluded) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Platform | Boat- and shore-based recreational fishing (by RFBL holders only) |  |  | Boat-based recreational fishing only |  |
|  | Boat type | All, including private-boat, for-hire and charter-boat* |  |  | Private-boat and for-hire fishing (charter-boat excluded) | All, according to camera view at each ramp |
|  | Methods | All methods including line fishing, diving, netting, potting and spearing |  |  |  |  |
| Species | Species | All aquatic (animal) species |  |  |  | N/A |
|  | Catch | Kept and Released |  |  | Kept | N/A |
| Geographic scope | Residency status | Western Australian residents, and interstate visitors |  |  | N/A |  |
|  | Fishing activity | Bioregion, marine vs freshwater | $10 \times 10$ nautical mile grids statewide | Bioregion, marine vs freshwater | $10 \times 10$ nautical mile grids statewide | N/A |
|  | Fishing access | N/A | All, boat ramps (public and private), moorings and marinas | N/A | Key public boat ramps statewide |  |
| Temporal scope | Annual coverage | 12-months prior to Screening (by recall) | 12-month longitudinal survey | 12-months as per Phone-Diary (by recall) | Jan-Apr 2016 | 12-months as per Phone-Diary |
|  | Day hours | All |  |  | Daylight hours | All |
|  | Survey dates | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jun-Aug } \\ 2015 \end{gathered}$ | 1 Sep 201531 Aug 2016 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sep-Nov } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | Mid-Jan to Apr 2016 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { Sep 2015- } \\ & 31 \text { Aug } 2016 \end{aligned}$ |

[^0]

Figure 1. Marine bioregions for mangement of fisheries resources in Western Australian.


Figure 2. Habitat groups for mangement of fisheries resources in Western Australian.

### 2.2 Survey Components

### 2.2.1 Phone Surveys

## Survey Overview

The Phone Surveys were the main component of the integrated survey. This off-site survey was based on the telephone/diary methodology, which has been developed and proven to provide cost-effective data over large spatial scales (i.e. statewide and bioregion). Detailed descriptions of the design philosophy and methodology are provided in Lyle et al. (2002) and Henry and Lyle (2003). Key features of this methodology include: (i) tested survey instruments to minimise recall bias (e.g. Diary Card); and (ii) frequent telephone contact by trained interviewers to collect data at consistent standards, reduce potential bias, explain difficult concepts, counter resistance and ensure confidentiality. The combination of the Diary Card and structured interviews is designed to minimise respondent burden, increase response rates and ensure data quality.

Interviews were conducted by Computer-Assisted Telephone Interview (CATI), which provides a cost-effective and flexible means of recording questionnaire data that is entered directly into survey databases during interviews. It also provides an effective system for ensuring data quality as work stations are networked with a supervisor. Electronic survey data is contained within secure computer networks with appropriate management systems. Interviewers were allocated fishers from a variety of Regional Development Commission Boundaries to reduce the potential for interviewer bias between strata. Where possible and practical, the same interviewer maintained repeat contacts with the same respondent. When required, interviewer notes were made available for alternative interviewers on subsequent follow-up calls.

The primary objectives of the Phone Surveys were to estimate participation (by number of RFBL holders), effort (boat days and hours fished), and catch for all species (total, kept and released, by number) for recreational fishing for 12 -months at statewide and bioregion levels.

The Phone Surveys used a multi-phase survey design (Figure 3) with: an initial Screening Survey to recruit fishers to the Phone-Diary Survey; a longitudinal Phone-Diary Survey to provide detailed effort and catch information over 12-months; and Post-Enumeration Surveys (i.e. Wash Up/Attitudinal, Non-Intending Fisher and Benchmark Surveys). These separate PostEnumeration Surveys were conducted concurrently at the end of the 12-month Phone-Diary Survey to determine and adjust for exceptions outside the distribution of behaviours covered by the Phone-Diary Survey, particularly new licence holders and non-respondents, and to enquire about opinions of RFBL holders for various fishing-related matters.


Figure 3. Components for the statewide survey of boat-based recreational fishing in Western Australia 2015/16

## Screening Survey

The Screening Survey (Figure 3) aims to collect profiling information (i.e. avidity, previous and intended fishing activity) from a random sample of RFBL holders and identifies RFBL holders that intended to fish in Western Australia during 2015/16 that were eligible for the Phone-Diary Survey. The Screening Survey was conducted by telephone interview during June to August 2015, therefore, the sampling frame was obtained from a database of fishers who purchased a RFBL between July 2014 and June 2015. The earlier timing of this sample was required to complete the survey before the Phone-Diary Survey (i.e. September 2015), but was considered to represent the population of interest (i.e. September 2014 to August 2015, Figure 4).

## Phone-Diary Survey

The Phone-Diary Survey (Figure 3) was conducted from 1 September 2015 to 31 August 2016 to estimate effort (boat days and hours fished), and catch for all species (total, kept and released, by number) for recreational fishing for 12-months at statewide and bioregion levels. Other information was also obtained in terms of public ramp usage, fishing method, fishing location, target species and reasons for release. The Phone-Diary Survey included all (boat- and shorebased) recreational fishing in Western Australia, using all fishing methods (such as line fishing, diving, nets, traps and spearfishing). Fishing activity was classified in terms of bioregion, habitat and fishing location as defined by unique location name, latitude and longitude co-ordinates, or 10 by 10 nautical mile grid blocks (Department of Fisheries 2011).

Respondents received a Diary Kit containing a Welcome Letter, Diary Card, Species Identification Guide (with clear colour images of common species) and Fishing Location Guide. The Diary Card was similar in format to that used previously in other surveys and is designed to be a 'memory jogger' rather than a traditional fishing logbook. Respondents were encouraged to use the Diary Card to record key fishing data that could easily be forgotten (e.g. start and finish times, number of fish kept and released) and were contacted regularly by survey interviewers, who were responsible for collecting this information. Respondents also received a brief Diary Explanation Interview with the survey interviewer after receiving the Diary Kit.

Species Identification Guides (Department of Fisheries 2017) were developed to help respondents identify common species, and enhance consistent and accurate species identification. Interviewers were trained in species identification (throughout the Phone-Diary Survey) and provided with relevant taxonomic references (Hutchins and Swainston 1999, Jones and Morgan 2002, Allen 2009, Rome and Newman 2010).
Fishing information was collected by monthly telephone interviews, even for fishers who indicated they were unlikely to fish in the subsequent month. More regular telephone interviews were made to the more avid fishers to minimise the potential for recall bias to influence fishing information. Some respondents did not actually fish during the Phone-Diary Survey, despite intending to during the Screening Survey. These fishers 'dropped-out' of the fishery, but this was in the range of expected behaviours for the survey.

## Wash-Up/Attitudinal Surveys

The Wash-Up/Attitudinal Survey was conducted during September to November 2016 to confirm completion of the survey, assess opinions and attitudes for a range of fisheries related issues, and collect boat-profiling information. Other questions were included to assess respondents' perceptions as to whether they fished 'more, less or about the same’ amount of time in the last 12-months, compared with the prior 12-months. Different Wash-Up/Attitudinal Surveys were different (as appropriate) for respondents that fished, or did not fish, during the Phone-Diary Survey (Figure 3). This attitudinal information will be published separately.

## Non-Intending Fisher Survey

The Non-Intending Fisher Survey (Figure 3) was conducted during September to November 2016 to record the incidence of fishing by RFBL holders sampled in the Screening Survey that were not intending to fish in the next 12 -months. These respondents were not eligible for the Phone-Diary Survey, but it was important to identify and account for 'unexpected fishing' that may have occurred during the 12 -months. This 'call-back' survey determined the impact of unexpected 'drop-ins' to the fishery.

## Benchmark Survey

The Benchmark Survey (Figure 3) was conducted during September to November 2016 to identify the impact of additional 'drop-ins' to the fishery, such as RFBL holders who purchased a new licence in 2015/16 after the initial sample was drawn. This survey was essentially a repeat of the Screening Survey, with aims to collect profiling information (i.e. avidity, previous and intended fishing activity) for a random sample of people that purchased a RFBL for 12-months concurrent with the Phone-Diary Survey. Therefore, the sampling frame for the Benchmark Survey was obtained from a database of fishers who purchased a RFBL between September 2015 and August 2016 (Figure 5), but excluding RFBL holders that had been selected for the Screening Survey. Most importantly, the Benchmark Survey provided the necessary information for licence holders from the current RFBL population for calibration and expansion of results from the Phone-Diary Survey.

## Survey Documentation

The Phone Survey methodology utilises survey instruments, including questionnaires and interviewer manuals, to facilitate the collection/recording of survey data. These were initally produced following extensive design and testing (Survey Development Working Group 2000), and have been revised with subsequent statewide surveys (in each state). Highly structured questionnaires, with due consideration to question wording, instructions to interviewers and precoded answer categories were included in accordance with a range of standardised interviewing conventions. An equivalent approach was employed for all Phone Survey components in the present study, including thorough training and monitoring of interviewers, and development of a comprehensive interviewer manual.


Figure 4. Number of RFBL holders within Regional Development Commission Boundaries from September 2014 to August 2015.


Figure 5. Number of RFBL holders within Regional Development Commission Boundaries from September 2015 to August 2016.

## Response Profiles

A summary of response profiles relating to the Screening, Phone-Diary and Benchmark Surveys is given in Table 2. The majority (57\%) of sample loss in the Screening Survey was from disconnected telephone numbers ( $3.4 \%$ of the gross sample) and from mobile phones being switched off ( $3.1 \%$ of gross sample). Sample loss also occurred where the respondent was not known at the number ( $1.3 \%$ of the gross sample), the respondent had moved and was known, but no new contact details were available ( $0.9 \%$ ), the respondent was away for the survey ( $2.1 \%$ ), fax/modem numbers ( $<0.1 \%$ ), language difficulties ( $0.1 \%$ ), duplicate number ( $0.1 \%$ ), or respondent incapacitated or deceased ( $0.4 \%$ ).
There were 3,441 RFBL holders identified as eligible for the Phone-Diary Survey (i.e. having an intention to fish in Western Australia during September 2015 to August 2016). This represented $81 \%$ of the fully responding group from the Screening Survey. Of the eligible RFBL holders, 3,234 (94\%) agreed to participate in the Phone-Diary Survey. Subsequently, 2,931 respondents completed the Phone-Diary Survey, representing 98\% completion rate among uptake, or $92 \%$ among eligible (Table 2). The 303 respondents that failed to complete the Phone-Diary Survey were mainly from sample loss (number no longer connected) and refusals.

The majority ( $75 \%$ ) of sample loss in the Benchmark Survey was from disconnected telephone numbers ( $4.5 \%$ of the gross sample) and mobile never on ( $3.4 \%$ of gross sample). Sample loss also occurred where the respondent was not known at the number ( $0.8 \%$ of the gross sample), the respondent was known but no new contact details were available ( $0.8 \%$ ), or the respondent was away for the survey ( $0.4 \%$ ), language difficulties ( $<0.1 \%$ ), duplicate number ( $0.2 \%$ ), or respondent incapacitated or deceased ( $0.5 \%$ ).
The initial Screening Survey conducted prior to the Phone-Diary Survey was based on a sample of 4,953 RFBL holders, of which $97.1 \%$ were fully responding (i.e. completed all required interview questions) (Table 2). The 127 non-responding RFBL holders were either non-contacts ( $1.5 \%$ of the net sample) or refusals ( $1.4 \%$ of the net sample). Similarly, the Benchmark Survey conducted after the Phone-Diary Survey was based on a sample of 5,195 RFBL holders, of which $96.9 \%$ were fully responding. The 143 non-responding RFBL holders were non-contacts ( $1.6 \%$ of the net sample) or refusals ( $1.5 \%$ of the net sample).

Non-response in the Screening and Benchmark Surveys were minimised by completing a minimum 20 effective calls to each respondent number, over a range of day times and days of the week, during the survey. Refusal rates were low for both surveys, and could be attributable to the use of experienced interviewers and the fact that relevance of the subject matter strongly correlates with response propensity (i.e. an 'interest' in fishing).

Response rates were relatively consistent across all sampling strata, and with previous statewide surveys (see Ryan et al. 2013, Ryan et al. 2015). The response rates achieved in all components of this study were very high, which provides confidence in overall data quality and minimises the impact of non-response bias.

Table 2. Sample size and response profile for Screening, Phone-Diary and Benchmark Surveys by stratum.

| SCREENING <br> SURVEY | Total RFBL <br> Holders | Initial sample | Sample <br> loss | Net sample | Non- <br> response | Full <br> Response | Response rate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Kimberley | 3,612 | 250 | 26 | 224 | 12 | 212 | $94.64 \%$ |
| Pilbara | 6,513 | 250 | 40 | 210 | 8 | 202 | $96.19 \%$ |
| Gascoyne | 2,331 | 250 | 30 | 220 | 8 | 212 | $96.36 \%$ |
| Mid West | 7,578 | 250 | 23 | 227 | 5 | 222 | $97.80 \%$ |
| Wheat Belt | 5,645 | 250 | 32 | 218 | 9 | 209 | $95.87 \%$ |
| Metro | 68,028 | 2,003 | 252 | 1,751 | 45 | 1,706 | $97.43 \%$ |
| Peel | 14,146 | 400 | 41 | 359 | 15 | 344 | $95.82 \%$ |
| South West | 18,682 | 550 | 55 | 495 | 11 | 484 | $97.78 \%$ |
| Great Sth'n | 5,475 | 250 | 23 | 227 | 12 | 215 | $94.71 \%$ |
| Gold fields | 2,399 | 250 | 25 | 225 | 1 | 224 | $99.56 \%$ |
| Interstate | 2,979 | 250 | 18 | 232 | 1 | 231 | $99.57 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 137,388 | 4,953 | 565 | 4,388 | 127 | 4,261 | $97.11 \%$ |


| PHONE- <br> DIARY <br> SURVEY | Full <br> response at <br> screening | Eligible for <br> the Diary <br> Survey | Diary <br> Uptake | Diary <br> Survey <br> Completed | Uptake rate <br> among <br> eligible (\%) | Completion <br> rate among <br> uptake (\%) | Completion <br> rate among <br> eligible (\%) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Kimberley | 212 | 194 | 185 | 163 | $95.36 \%$ | $88.11 \%$ | $84.02 \%$ |
| Pilbara | 202 | 170 | 160 | 145 | $94.12 \%$ | $90.63 \%$ | $85.29 \%$ |
| Gascoyne | 212 | 169 | 152 | 137 | $89.94 \%$ | $90.13 \%$ | $81.07 \%$ |
| Mid West | 222 | 180 | 167 | 149 | $92.78 \%$ | $89.22 \%$ | $82.78 \%$ |
| Wheatbelt | 209 | 162 | 152 | 142 | $93.83 \%$ | $93.42 \%$ | $87.65 \%$ |
| Metro | 1,706 | 1,387 | 1,315 | 1,189 | $94.81 \%$ | $90.42 \%$ | $85.72 \%$ |
| Peel | 344 | 290 | 269 | 243 | $92.76 \%$ | $90.33 \%$ | $83.79 \%$ |
| South West | 484 | 422 | 399 | 363 | $94.55 \%$ | $90.98 \%$ | $86.02 \%$ |
| Great Sth'n | 215 | 193 | 184 | 170 | $95.34 \%$ | $92.39 \%$ | $88.08 \%$ |
| Goldfields | 224 | 183 | 174 | 159 | $95.08 \%$ | $91.38 \%$ | $86.89 \%$ |
| Interstate | 231 | 91 | 77 | 71 | $84.62 \%$ | $92.21 \%$ | $78.02 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 4,261 | 3,441 | 3,234 | 2,931 | $93.98 \%$ | $90.63 \%$ | $85.18 \%$ |


| BENCHMARK <br> SURVEY | Total RFBL <br> Holders | Initial sample | Sample <br> loss | Net sample | Non- <br> response | Full <br> response | Response rate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Kimberley | 3,592 | 261 | 38 | 223 | 4 | 219 | $98.21 \%$ |
| Pilbara | 6,549 | 290 | 36 | 254 | 10 | 244 | $96.06 \%$ |
| Gascoyne | 2,305 | 255 | 31 | 224 | 12 | 212 | $94.64 \%$ |
| Mid West | 7,698 | 307 | 41 | 266 | 7 | 259 | $97.37 \%$ |
| Wheatbelt | 5,798 | 265 | 26 | 239 | 3 | 236 | $98.74 \%$ |
| Metro | 68,946 | 2,038 | 191 | 1,847 | 55 | 1,792 | $97.02 \%$ |
| Peel | 13,940 | 442 | 49 | 393 | 13 | 380 | $96.69 \%$ |
| South West | 18,457 | 558 | 57 | 501 | 23 | 478 | $95.41 \%$ |
| Great Sth'n | 5,500 | 252 | 25 | 227 | 4 | 223 | $98.24 \%$ |
| Goldfields | 2,455 | 263 | 25 | 238 | 8 | 230 | $96.64 \%$ |
| Interstate | 3,010 | 264 | 26 | 238 | 4 | 234 | $98.32 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 138,250 | 5,195 | 545 | 4,650 | 143 | 4,507 | $96.92 \%$ |

### 2.2.2 Boat Ramp Surveys

In 2015/16, on-site surveys were completed at 23 boat ramps from January to April 2016 in the West Coast and South Coast to obtain length and weight information that would allow estimates of catch (by number) from the Phone-Diary Survey to be converted to catch (by weight). This enables direct comparison of recreational harvest estimates to commercial fishery information, which is routinely recorded as weights. Data were collected from 3,068 boat-based recreational fishing parties in the West Coast and South Coast, with over 9,960 fish and other aquatic organisms measured. Due to the limited availability of resources, data were not collected in the Gascoyne Coast and North Coast.

The target population included boat-based recreational fishers who retrieved from the key boat ramps where research staff conducted face-to-face interviews with recreational fishers. The Biological Survey in 2015/16 was based on a targeted design informed by data collected during the Boat Ramp and Remote Camera Surveys in 2011/12 (Ryan et al. 2013) and 2013/14 (Ryan et al. 2015). By targeting key boat ramps at peak times of fishing activity (i.e. season, day type and time of day) the surveys aimed to maximise the collection of biological information. The primary sampling unit was sample day and the secondary sampling unit was fishing party, which could include both RFBL holders and non-licensed fishers.

Spatial stratification for the Biological Survey in 2015/16 included marine bioregions, regions and zones, within which 23 boat ramps were sampled, including: 19 ramps in the West Coast (4 ramps in the North zone, 10 in the Metro zone, 5 ramps in the South zone); and 4 ramps in the South Coast (2 ramps in the Albany region and 2 ramps in the Esperance region).

The temporal stratification of the Biological Survey varied for each bioregion, depending on factors that are known to influence boating activity (Table 3). In the West Coast, the aim was to collect the same number of fish measurements as the previous Biological Surveys in 2013/14 and 2011/12 and, as a result, 1-2 surveys per week were scheduled at each ramp, with equal allocation across month, day type and time of day (Table 3). Sample days were approximately 4 hours duration and confined to daylight hours only. In the South Coast, it was aimed to maximise the number of fish measured and surveys were completed up to 5 days per week.

Prior to the commencement of the surveys in each bioregion, interviewers were provided with training in interview techniques, survey instruments and species identification as well as documentation relating to interviewer guidelines, forms and questionnaires.

Summaries of average weight of species (whole weight) collected from the West Coast and South Coast during the Boat Ramp Surveys in 2015/16 are given in Appendix 1 and includes the number of weight measurements recorded, average weight (measured in grams where >10 measurements were obtained statewide) and standard error. Summaries of average weight are also provided for the North Coast and Gascoyne Coast average weight by aggregating data collected from the Boat Ramp Surveys in 2013/14 and 2011/12. Statewide summaries were determined by aggregating data from Boat Ramp Surveys in 2015/16, 2013/14 and 2011/12.

Additional results from the three Biological Surveys are provided in a separate report (Smallwood et al. 2017).

Table 3: Temporal stratification in each bioregion and zone for the Biological Survey in 2015/16.

| Bioregion | Zone | Months | Key factor/s determining shift time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West Coast | Mid West | Mid-January to April | Day type and time of day |
|  | Metropolitan |  | Day type and time of day |
|  | South West |  | Day type and time of day |
| South Coast | Albany |  | Time of day |
|  | Esperance |  | Time of day |

### 2.2.3 Remote Camera Survey

The Remote Camera Survey monitors recreational boating activity via video cameras at key boat ramps to assist with the corroboration and validation of estimated effort (from the Phone-Diary Survey) and determining levels of boating activity between statewide surveys. Eleven boat ramps were selected for comparison with the Phone-Diary Survey: Dampier in the North Coast; Denham and Monkey Mia in the Gascoyne Coast; Mindarie, Ocean Reef, Hillarys, Leeuwin, Woodman Point (public and private) and Point Peron in the West Coast; and Albany in the South Coast. The position of cameras at each boat ramp was determined by the available infrastructure and logistics of transmitting information (Blight and Smallwood 2015). Camera data was aggregated to provide the number of powerboat launches and retrievals over 24-hours for 12months concurrent with the Phone-Diary Survey.

Although remote cameras are expected to operate continously, outages occurred as a result of technological failure and extreme weather (e.g. power loss and cyclones). Methods have been established to accommodate short-term data loss by extrapolating the temporal distribution of activity for the missing time (see Wise and Fletcher 2013). Extended loss of data can also occur; e.g. data for the camera at Denham were not recorded between July and August 2016. The total activity reported for Denham, therefore, only represents values for available monthly data over the survey (i.e. 10 months) rather than estimates of total activity over 12-months.

Summaries of total launches and retrievals of power boats during 2015/16, by year, month and hourly (within month), are given in Appendix 2, along with the ramp location and any data loss during the 12 -months. Estimates provided in this report are the best that are currently available, but may be revised as a result of refinement of the methods used for reading and analysing camera footage. A framework for integration of Remote Camera Surveys with recreational fishing surveys is provided in a separate report (Steffe et al. 2017).

### 2.3 Phone-Diary Survey Expansion, Weighting and Analysis

The Phone Surveys design incorporate stratified random sampling with samples divided into homogenous units to reduce sampling variance (Cochran 1977, Pollock et al. 1994, Lohr 2010, Särndal et al. 2003). These strata related to Regional Development Commission Boundaries in Western Australia. The number of samples within each stratum were selected proportionally to the size of the stratum. A single residential stratum applied to interstate RFBL holders ( $<2 \%$ of all RFBL holders). Overseas RFBL holders ( $<0.02 \%$ of all RFBL holders) were excluded from the Phone Surveys. Exclusions from the sampling frame occurred before sample selection where currency of address information was invalid or fishers were identified as having multiple licences. All sampling was done without replacement.

Data from Phone Surveys that use the White Pages as a sampling frame can be expanded to the total population using profiles from the Australian Bureau of Statistics, based on household structure, age and gender (Giri and Hall 2015, Lyle et al. 2014, Webley et al. 2015, West et al. 2012, West et al. 2015). However, a different approach is required for surveys that use licence sampling frames, particularly if the database is constantly changing. Analysis of the RFBL database (in 2013/14 and 2011/12 compared with 2015/16) indicates approximately 25\% RFBL holders do not renew their licence (i.e. 'drop-out'), while approximately $25 \%$ RFBL holders take up a new licence (i.e. ‘drop-in’), each year. Samples were taken prior to each Screening Survey for all licence holders eligible to fish in the previous 12 months and the Phone-Diary Survey did not progressively sample and recruit new entrants to the RFBL population during the survey.

The Benchmark and Non-Intending Fisher Surveys were designed to assist in matching respondents from the Phone-Diary Survey (sampled from the RFBL population in 14/15) to the RFBL population in 2015/16 (i.e. people that had a licence) during the Phone-Diary Survey. Calculation of weighting factors requires counter-parting respondents in the Phone-Diary Survey (based on actual days fished) with respondents in the Benchmark Survey (based on recalled days fished). This process accounts for behavioural differences that result from the dynamic nature of the RFBL population. Counter-parting was based on recall and actual effort collected during the Phone-Diary Survey to account for a likely overestimate of recalled effort in the Benchmark Survey. The sample weight (or expansion factor) for a given subsample was determined by the inverse of the fraction it represented in the population, according to the following equation, where $\alpha_{h i}=$ weight for RFBL holder $i$ in stratum $h, N_{h}=$ total number of RFBL holders in stratum $h, n_{h}=$ number of RFBL holders sampled in stratum $h$.

$$
\alpha_{h i}=\frac{N_{h}}{n_{h}}
$$

The total catch of species in each stratum over the Phone-Diary Survey was calculated by multiplying the weighted catch for all respondents in each stratum by the number of RFBL holders in each stratum for the relevant RFBL population, as determined by the Benchmark Survey. This approach accounts for: fishers that unexpectedly 'drop-out' from the Phone-Diary Survey (i.e. respondents that intended to fish, but did not); fishers that unexpectedly 'drop-in'
during the Phone-Diary Survey (i.e. respondents in the Screening Survey that did not intend to fish during the Phone-Diary Survey, but actually did); and additional 'drop-in' fishers (i.e. fishers who were not eligible for sample selection for the Screening Survey, but purchased a RFBL during the Phone-Diary Survey).

Raw data collected from respondents have been initially expanded by the number of RFBL holders in the residential stratum divided by the number of RFBL holders sampled in residential stratum. Future estimates may be based on adjustment of weighting factors to account for avidity bias and non-intending fishing and will be reported separately (as required). Parameter estimates in this report are based on expanded data, scaled-up to represent the appropriate stratum population. Estimates were determined for participation (by number of RFBL holders), effort (boat days and hours fished) and catch for all species (total, kept and released, by number). Estimates of average weight were obtained from Boat Ramp Surveys or Tour Operator Returns. Expansion of Phone Survey data to population estimates was undertaken using the survey package (Lumley 2004, 2010) in the statistical computing language $R$ ( R Core Team 2016). Detailed descriptions of the survey and recsurvey packages are given in Lumley (2010) and Lyle et al. (2010) respectively.

### 2.4 Uncertainty

The integrated surveys provide catch estimates in a cost-effective manner; however, they are still surveys, and as such, cannot be expected to provide the level of precision that would be available from a total census. As such, three measures of uncertainty are used:
i. Standard error indicates the difference between the estimate (obtained from a sample) and the true value (of the population). The standard error of the estimate is calculated from the standard deviation of the sample divided by the sample size.
ii. Relative standard error indicates the uncertainty expressed as a percentage of the estimate (or as decimal values from 0.00 to 1.00 ), allowing comparisons between estimates that accounts for differences in the magnitude of estimates. The relative standard error of the estimate is calculated from the standard error of the sample divided by the estimate.
iii. Confidence intervals represent the range in which the population value is likely to occur as determined by the estimate and associated standard error. The 95\% confidence intervals are equal to the estimate plus or minus 1.96 multiplied by the standard error. This indicates the chance of the population value occurring within approximately two standard errors of the estimate. Confidence intervals are most frequently used to determine statistical significance where the difference between two estimates is considered statistically significant if the probability that they are different is at least $95 \%$.

Interpretation of estimates requires consideration of both the number of fishers that contributed to the estimate and the magnitude of the relative standard error. Where required, estimates in tables have been highlighted to identify sample size $<30$ fishers and relative standard error $>40 \%$ (or 0.40) (Lyle et al. 2014, Ryan et al. 2015, Webley et al. 2015, West et al. 2015). For estimates
of catch, the sample size refers to the number of fishers reporting a catch of that species (either kept or released). These cautions indicate that estimates may not be robust.

### 2.5 Reporting Notes

Estimates include uncertainty, with associated standard errors provided in all tables and figures, although these are not routinely cited in text. The tables also provide an indication of whether estimates are considered robust (i.e. sample size $\geq 30$ and relative standard error $\leq 0.40$ ). Estimates from the current statewide survey are compared with previous statewide surveys in 2013/14 and 2011/12, as appropriate.

Recreational fishers that did not hold a RFBL (including many shore-based only recreational fishers) and RFBL holders that intended to fish only in freshwater were out of scope for the Phone-Diary Survey. Therefore, estimates of catch for inland, estuarine and nearshore species provided in this report, particularly those harvested with high proportions of shore-based effort, will be underestimated. Additionally, catch estimates for Western Rock Lobster, which can be harvested by fishers with only a Rock Lobster licence, will also be underestimated.

This report presents estimates for boat-based recreational fishing to maintain consistency and comparability with estimates from previous statewide surveys. Estimates for shore-based recreational fishing and Rock Lobster will be reported separately.

Confidence intervals are used to determine statistical significance between annual estimates. If the $95 \%$ confidence intervals overlap, then there is no statistical difference, and this is described in this report as "steady". If the $95 \%$ confidence intervals do not overlap, then there is a statistical difference (i.e. the probability that they are different is at least $95 \%$ ), which is described in this report as "increasing" (if the latest estimate is higher than previous) or "decreasing" (if the latest estimate is lower than previous).

While this report compares estimates from three statewide surveys of boat-based recreational fishing, additional catches from charter-boat recreational fishing (reported in Tour Operator Returns) and shore-based fishing (where available) are used to determine the total catch from the recreational sector. Specific performance indicators, reference levels and catch tolerances will be reported separately, and used to provide trends in total catch to assist in developing, monitoring and refining management arrangements.

## 3 Participation

This section presents results from the Screening and Benchmark Surveys. These cross sectional, recall surveys were based on respondents that held a Recreational Fishing from Boat Licence (RFBL) between September 2014 to August 2015 (Screening) and September 2015 to August 2016 (Benchmark). These results are highly comparable to those from the previous statewide surveys conducted in 2013/14 (Ryan et al. 2015) and 2011/12 (Ryan et al. 2013).

### 3.1 Fishing Participation

From the population of 137,388 RFBL holders that held a licence in the 12 months prior to September 2015 (2014/15; Figure 4) an estimated 117,023 (85\%) RFBL holders fished at least once, and an estimated 20,366 (15\%) did not fish (Figure 6a). Similarly, from the population of 138,250 RFBL holders in the 12 months prior to September 2016 (2015/16; Figure 5) an estimated 109,380 (79\%) RFBL holders fished at least once, and an estimated 28,870 (21\%) did not fish.

Higher participation occurred for boat-based recreational fishing compared with both (boat- and shore-based) (Figure 6b) and in marine water compared with freshwater and both (salt- and freshwater) (Figure 6c); however, participation in shore-based and freshwater-only recreational fishing may be lower for RFBL holders than for shore-based fishers (i.e. non-RFBL holders).
Most RFBL holders were male in the 12-months prior to September 2015 ( $85 \%$ of all RFBL holders in 2014/15) and the 12-months prior to September 2016 ( $85 \%$ of all RFBL holders in 2015/16). Females accounted for 15\% of RFBL holders in 2014/15 and 2015/16 (Figure 6d).
The highest numbers of RFBL holders that fished were in the 45 to 59-year age group ( $31 \%$ of all RFBL holders that fished in 2014/15 and $30 \%$ in 2015/16), followed by the 30 to 44-year age group ( $28 \%$ in 2014/15 and 2015/16; Figure 6e), the 15 to 29-year age group ( $15 \%$ in 2014/15 and $14 \%$ in 2015/16), and the 60 to 74 -year age group ( $19 \%$ in $2014 / 15$ and $21 \%$ in 2015/16). The lowest numbers of RFBL holders that fished were in the 5 to 14 -year age group ( $4 \%$ in 2014/15 and $3 \%$ in 2015/16) and the 75 year or older group ( $3 \%$ in 2014/15 and $4 \%$ in 2015/16).

The number of days fished (by recall) in the 12-months prior to each survey is a measure of the fishing avidity. RFBL holders were equally likely to recall fishing 5 to 14 days ( $35 \%$ in 2014/15 and $37 \%$ in $2015 / 16$ ) or 15 days or more ( $36 \%$ in $2014 / 15$ and $34 \%$ in $2015 / 16$; Figure 6 f). Lower proportions of RFBL holders (29\% in 2014/15 and 2015/16) recalled fishing less than 5 days during each 12-months.

RFBL holders were most likely to recall fishing in the West Coast ( $64 \%$ in 2014/15 and $66 \%$ in 2015/16; Figure 6g). Lower proportions of RFBL holders recalled fishing in the South Coast ( $16 \%$ in $2014 / 15$ and $12 \%$ in 2015/16); North Coast ( $11 \%$ in $2014 / 15$ and 2015/16); and Gascoyne Coast ( $9 \%$ in 2014/15 and 11\% in 2015/16).
Similar statewide trends were observed in estimated participation for the 12-months prior to March 2011, March 2012, May 2013 and May 2014 (see Ryan et al. 2013, Ryan et al. 2015).

However, differences in estimated participation occurred according to residence (Perth Metropolitan, Regional Development Commissions, and Interstate), which are discussed in the remainder of this chapter. Notably, RFBL holders were most likely to fish in the bioregion closest to their home residence (e.g. residents from the Kimberley and Pilbara were most likely to fish in the North Coast). However, many RFBL holders travel throughout the state (e.g. residents from the Mid West, Metro and Peel fished in the South Coast, and residents from the Kimberley, Pilbara and Gascoyne fished in the West Coast).


Figure 6. Estimated number of RFBL holders aged five years and older who fished recreationally in the 12-months prior to September 2015 (white bars, SCREEN) and September 2016 (grey bars, BENCH); a) non-fishers and fishers; b) boat-based and both (including shore-based); c) marine and freshwater; d) gender; e) age (years); f) avidity (days fished per year); and g) bioregion fished.

### 3.2 Kimberley

A total of 3,612 residents in the Kimberley RDC held a RFBL in the 12 months prior to September 2015 (Figure 4), with an estimated 3,356 (93\%) fishing at least once in 2014/15; Figure 7a). Similarly, 3,592 residents held a RFBL in the 12 months prior to September 2016 (Figure 5), with an estimated 3,051 (85\%) fishing at least once in 2015/16. Most RFBL holders were male ( $79 \%$ in $2014 / 15$ and $72 \%$ in 2015/16), and higher proportions of females participated in fishing ( $21 \%$ in 2014/15 and 28\% in 2015/16; Figure 7d) compared with statewide estimates. The majority of RFBL holders that fished were in the 30 to 44-year age group ( $35 \%$ in 2014/15) or the 45 to 59-year age group ( $34 \%$ in 2015/16; Figure 7e). Most RFBL holders recalled fishing 15 days or more ( $54 \%$ in 2014/15 and $49 \%$ in 2015/16; Figure 7f) and fished in the North Coast ( $98 \%$ in 2014/15 and 93\% in 2015/16; Figure 7g).


Figure 7. Estimated number of Kimberley RDC residents (RFBL holders aged five years and older) who fished recreationally in the 12-months prior to September 2015 (white bars) and September 2016 (grey bars); a) non-fishers and fishers; b) boat-based and both (including shore-based); c) marine and freshwater; d) gender; e) age (years); f) avidity (days fished per year); and g) bioregion fished.

### 3.3 Pilbara

A total of 6,513 residents in the Pilbara RDC held a RFBL in the 12 months prior to September 2015 (Figure 4), with an estimated 5,739 (88\%) fishing at least once in 2014/15 (Figure 8a). Similarly, 6,549 residents held a RFBL in the 12 months prior to September 2016 (Figure 5), with an estimated 5,717 ( $87 \%$ ) fishing at least once in 2015/16. Males accounted for the majority of RFBL holders ( $79 \%$ in $2014 / 15$ and $84 \%$ in $2015 / 16$ ), and higher proportions of females participated in fishing ( $21 \%$ in $2014 / 15$ and $16 \%$ in $2015 / 16$; Figure $8 d$ ) compared with statewide estimates. Most RFBL holders that fished were in the 30 to 44 -year age group ( $47 \%$ in 2014/15 and 52\% in 2015/16; Figure 8e), recalled fishing 15 days or more ( $47 \%$ in 2014/15 and $44 \%$ in 2015/16; Figure 8f), and fished in the North Coast ( $88 \%$ in $2014 / 15$ and $83 \%$ in 2015/16; Figure 8g).


Figure 8. Estimated number of Pilbara RDC residents (RFBL holders aged five years and older) who fished recreationally in the 12-months prior to September 2015 (white bars) and September 2016 (grey bars); a) non-fishers and fishers; b) boat-based and both (including shore-based); c) marine and freshwater; d) gender; e) age (years); f) avidity (days fished per year); and g) bioregion fished.

### 3.4 Gascoyne

A total of 2,331 residents in the Gascoyne RDC held a RFBL in the 12 months prior to September 2015 (Figure 4), with an estimated 1,979 (85\%) fishing at least once in 2014/15 (Figure 9a). Similarly, 2,305 residents held a RFBL in the 12 months prior to September 2016 (Figure 5), with an estimated 1,914 (83\%) fishing at least once in 2015/16. Males accounted for the majority of RFBL holders ( $80 \%$ in $2014 / 15$ and $77 \%$ in 2015/16), and higher proportions of females participated in fishing ( $20 \%$ in 2014/15 and $23 \%$ in 2015/16; Figure 9d) compared with statewide estimates. Most RFBL holders were in the 30 to 44-year age group ( $34 \%$ in 2014/15 and 2015/16; Figure 9e). RFBL holders were most likely to recall fishing 15 days or more ( $44 \%$ in 2014/15 and $42 \%$ in 2015/16; Figure 9f), and most likely to fish in the Gascoyne Coast ( $92 \%$ in 2014/15 and 91\% in 2015/16; Figure 9g).


Figure 9. Estimated number of Gascoyne RDC residents (RFBL holders aged five years and older) who fished recreationally in the 12-months prior to September 2015 (white bars) and September 2016 (grey bars); a) non-fishers and fishers; b) boat-based and both (including shore-based); c) marine and freshwater; d) gender; e) age (years); f) avidity (days fished per year); and g) bioregion fished.

### 3.5 Mid West

A total of 7,578 residents in the Mid West RDC held a RFBL in the 12 months prior to September 2015 (Figure 4), with an 6,452 (85\%) fishing at least once in 2014/15 (Figure 10a). Similarly, 7,698 residents held a RFBL in the 12 months prior to September 2016 (Figure 5), with an estimated 6,152 ( $80 \%$ ) fishing at least once in 2015/16. Males accounted for the majority of RFBL holders ( $86 \%$ in $2014 / 15$ and $85 \%$ in $2015 / 16$ ), and lower proportions of females participated in fishing ( $14 \%$ in $2014 / 15$ and $15 \%$ in 2015/16; Figure 10d) consistent with statewide estimates. Most RFBL holders were in the 45 to 59-year age group ( $31 \%$ in 2014/15 and $33 \%$ in $2015 / 16$; Figure 10e); recalled fishing 5 to 14 days ( $39 \%$ in 2014/15) or 15 days or more ( $36 \%$ in 2015/16; Figure 10f); and most likely to recall fishing in the West Coast ( $87 \%$ in 2014/15 and $86 \%$ in 2015/16; Figure 10g).


Figure 10. Estimated number of Mid West RDC residents (RFBL holders aged five years and older) who fished recreationally in the 12-months prior to September 2015 (white bars) and September 2016 (grey bars); a) non-fishers and fishers; b) boat-based and both (including shore-based); c) marine and freshwater; d) gender; e) age (years); f) avidity (days fished per year); and g) bioregion fished.

### 3.6 Wheatbelt

A total of 5,645 residents in the Wheatbelt RDC held a RFBL in the 12 months prior to September 2015 (Figure 4), with an estimated 4,646 (82\%) fishing at least once in 2014/15 (Figure 11a). Similarly, 5,798 residents held a RFBL in the 12 months prior to September 2016 (Figure 5), with an estimated 3,857 (67\%) fishing at least once in 2015/16. Most fishers were male ( $79 \%$ in $2014 / 15$ and $80 \%$ in 2015/16), and higher proportions of females fished ( $21 \%$ in 2014/15 and $20 \%$ in 2015/16; Figure 11d) compared with statewide estimates. Most RFBL holders were in the 30 to 44 age group ( $27 \%$ in 2014/15) , or the 45 to 59 -year age group ( $26 \%$ in 2014/15 and 32\% in 2015/16; Figure 11e). Most RFBL holders recalled fishing less than 5 days ( $39 \%$ in $2015 / 16$ ) or 5 to 14 days ( $37 \%$ in 2014/15 and $38 \%$ in 2015/16; Figure 11f), and fished in the West Coast (70\% in 2014/15 and 2015/16; Figure 11g).


Figure 11. Estimated number Wheatbelt RDC residents (RFBL holders aged five years and older) who fished recreationally in the 12-months prior to September 2015 (white bars) and September 2016 (grey bars); a) non-fishers and fishers; b) boat-based and both (including shore-based); c) marine and freshwater; d) gender; e) age (years); f) avidity (days fished per year); and g) bioregion fished.

### 3.7 Perth Metropolitan

A total of 68,028 residents in Perth Metropolitan held a RFBL in the 12 months prior to September 2015 (Figure 4), with an estimated 57,501 (85\%) fishing at least once in 2014/15 (Figure 12a). Similarly, 68,946 residents in Perth Metropolitan held a RFBL in the 12 months prior to September 2016 (Figure 5), with an estimated 55,134 (80\%) fishing at least once in 2015/16. Males accounted for the majority of RFBL holders ( $87 \%$ in $2014 / 15$ and $88 \%$ in 2015/16), and lower proportions of females participated in fishing ( $13 \%$ in 2014/15 and $12 \%$ in 2015/16, Figure 12d) consistent with statewide estimates. Most RFBL holders were in the 45 to 59-year age group ( $31 \%$ in 2014/15 and 2015/16; Figure 12e). RFBL holders were most likely to recall fishing 5 to 14 days ( $35 \%$ in $2014 / 15$ and $38 \%$ in 2015/16) or 15 days or more ( $35 \%$ in 2014/15; Figure 12f). RFBL holders were most likely to recall fishing in the West Coast ( $82 \%$ in 2014/15 and $80 \%$ in 2015/16; Figure 12g).


Figure 12. Estimated number of Perth Metropolitan residents (RFBL holders aged five years and older) who fished recreationally in the 12-months prior to September 2015 (white bars) and September 2016 (grey bars); a) non-fishers and fishers; b) boat-based and both (including shore-based); c) marine and freshwater; d) gender; e) age (years); f) avidity (days fished per year); and g) bioregion fished.

### 3.8 Peel

A total of 14,146 residents in the Peel RDC held a RFBL in the 12 months prior to September 2015 (Figure 4), with an estimated 11,596 (82\%) fishing at least once in 2014/15 (Figure 13a). Similarly, 13,940 residents held a RFBL in the 12 months prior to September 2016 (Figure 5), with an estimated 10,785 ( $77 \%$ ) fishing at least once in $2015 / 16$. Most RFBL holders were male ( $81 \%$ in 2014/15 and $83 \%$ in 2015/16), and higher proportions of females participated in fishing ( $19 \%$ in $2014 / 15$ and $17 \%$ in 2015/16; Figure 13d) compared with statewide estimates. Most RFBL holders were in the 60 to 74-year age group ( $32 \%$ in 2014/15 and $30 \%$ in 2015/16; Figure 13e). RFBL holders were most likely to recall fishing 5 to 14 days ( $34 \%$ in 2014/15) or 15 days or more ( $34 \%$ in $2014 / 15$ and $36 \%$ in 2015/16; Figure 13f), and most likely to recall fishing in the West Coast ( $75 \%$ in 2014/15 and $82 \%$ in 2015/16; Figure 13g).


Figure 13. Estimated number of Peel RDC residents (RFBL holders aged five years and older) who fished recreationally in the 12-months prior to September 2015 (white bars) and September 2016 (grey bars); a) non-fishers and fishers; b) boat-based and both (including shore-based); c) marine and freshwater; d) gender; e) age (years); f) avidity (days fished per year); and g) bioregion fished.

### 3.9 South West

A total of 18,682 residents in the South West RDC held a RFBL in the 12 months prior to September 2015 (Figure 4), with an estimated 16,675 (89\%) fishing at least once in 2014/15 (Figure 14a). Similarly, 18,457 residents held a RFBL in the 12 months prior to September 2016 (Figure 5), with an estimated 14,596 ( $79 \%$ ) fishing at least once in 2015/16. Males accounted for the majority of RFBL holders ( $81 \%$ in $2014 / 15$ and $84 \%$ in 2015/16), and higher proportions of females participated in fishing ( $19 \%$ in 2014/15 and $16 \%$ in 2015/16; Figure 14d) compared with statewide estimates. Most RFBL holders were in the 45 to 59-year age group ( $32 \%$ in 2014/15 and $28 \%$ in 2015/16; Figure 14e). RFBL holders were most likely to recall fishing 5 to 14 days ( $37 \%$ in $2014 / 15$ and $38 \%$ in 2015/16) or 15 days or more ( $41 \%$ in $2014 / 15$ and $38 \%$ in 2015/16; Figure 14f), and fished in the West Coast ( $51 \%$ in 2014/15 and $67 \%$ in 2015/16), followed by the South Coast ( $39 \%$ in 2014/15 and 21\% in 2015/16; Figure 14g).


Figure 14. Estimated number of South West RDC residents (RFBL holders aged five years and older) who fished recreationally in the 12-months prior to September 2015 (white bars) and September 2016 (grey bars); a) non-fishers and fishers; b) boat-based and both (including shore-based); c) marine and freshwater; d) gender; e) age (years); f) avidity (days fished per year); and g) bioregion fished.

### 3.10 Great Southern

A total of 5,475 residents in the Great Southern RDC held a RFBL in the 12 months prior to September 2015 (Figure 4), with an estimated 4,686 (86\%) fishing at least once in 2014/15 (Figure 15a). Similarly, 5,500 residents held a RFBL in the 12 months prior to September 2016 (Figure 5), with an estimated 4,144 ( $75 \%$ ) fishing at least once in 2015/16. Males accounted for the majority of RFBL holders ( $89 \%$ in $2014 / 15$ and $85 \%$ in 2015/16), and lower proportions of females participated in fishing ( $11 \%$ in 2014/15 and $15 \%$ in 2015/16; Figure 15d) consistent with statewide estimates. Most RFBL holders were in the 45 to 59-year age group ( $31 \%$ in 2014/15 and $35 \%$ in 2015/16; Figure 15e). RFBL holders were most likely to recall fishing 15 days or more ( $40 \%$ in $2014 / 15$ and $35 \%$ in 2015/16; Figure 15f), and most likely to recall fishing in the South Coast ( 91 \% in 2014/15 and 80\% in 2015/16; Figure 15g).


Figure 15. Estimated number of Great Southern RDC residents (RFBL holders aged five years and older) who fished recreationally in the 12-months prior to September 2015 (white bars) and September 2016 (grey bars); a) non-fishers and fishers; b) boat-based and both (including shore-based); c) marine and freshwater; d) gender; e) age (years); f) avidity (days fished per year); and g) bioregion fished.

### 3.11 Goldfields-Esperance

A total of 2,399 residents in the Goldfields-Esperance RDC held a RFBL in the 12 months prior to September 2015 (Figure 4), with an estimated 1,981 (83\%) fishing at least once in 2014/15 (Figure 16a). Similarly, 2,455 residents held a RFBL in the 12 months prior to September 2016 (Figure 5), with an estimated 1,921 (78\%) fishing at least once in 2015/16. Males accounted for the majority of RFBL holders ( $89 \%$ in 2014/15 and 2015/16), and lower proportions of females participated in fishing ( $11 \%$ in $2014 / 15$ and 2015/16; Figure 16d) compared with statewide estimates. Most RFBL holders were in the 45 to 59-year age group ( $36 \%$ in 2014/15 and 29\% in 2015/16; Figure 16e). RFBL holders most likely to recall fishing less than 5 days ( $35 \%$ in $2014 / 15$ and $39 \%$ in 2015/16) or 5 to 14 days ( $36 \%$ in $2014 / 15$ and $34 \%$ in 2015/16; Figure 16 f). RFBL holders were most likely to recall fishing in the South Coast (84\% in 2014/15 and 2015/16; Figure 16g).


Figure 16. Estimated number of Goldfields-Esperance RDC residents (RFBL holders aged five years and older) who fished recreationally in the 12-months prior to September 2015 (white bars) and September 2016 (grey bars); a) non-fishers and fishers; b) boat-based and both (including shore-based); c) marine and freshwater; d) gender; e) age (years); f) avidity (days fished per year); and g) bioregion fished.

### 3.12 Interstate

A total of 2,979 interstate visitors held a RFBL in the 12 months prior to September 2015 (Figure 4), with an estimated 2,412 (81\%) fishing at least once in 2014/15 (Figure 17a). Similarly, 3,010 Interstate visitors held a RFBL in the 12 months prior to September 2016 (Figure 5), with an estimated 2,110 (70\%) fishing at least once in 2015/16. Males accounted for the majority of RFBL holders in 2014/15 and 2015/16 (89\% and 86\% respectively), and lower proportions of females participated in fishing in 2014/15 and 2015/16 (11\% and 14\% respectively; Figure 17d) compared with statewide estimates. Most RFBL holders were in the 45 to 59 -year age group ( $35 \%$ in 2014/15), or the 60 to 74 -year age group ( $38 \%$ in $2015 / 16$; Figure 17e). Interstate RFBL holders were most likely to recall fishing 5 to 14 days in 2014/15 and 2015/16 (47\% and 42\% respectively; Figure 17f), and most likely to recall fishing in the North Coast (46\% in 2014/15 and 42\% in 2015/16; (Figure 17g).


Figure 17. Estimated number of Interstate residents (RFBL holders aged five years and older) who fished recreationally in the 12-months prior to September 2015 (white bars) and September 2016 (grey bars); a) non-fishers and fishers; b) boat-based and both (including shore-based); c) marine and freshwater; d) gender; e) age (years); f) avidity (days fished per year); and g) bioregion fished.

## 4 Fishing Effort

This section presents estimates of effort from boat-based recreational fishing for the 12-months from September 2015 to August 2016. Estimates are summarised by habitat, fishing method and month, statewide (Figure 18) and for each bioregion: North Coast (Figure 19), Gascoyne Coast (Figure 20), West Coast (Figure 21) and South Coast (Figure 22).

Estimates of effort are measured in boat days (separate days in which fishing occurred on a 'boat party' basis, regardless of the number of fishers or RFBL holders on board) and number of fishing events, which accounts for multiple events during a boat day (i.e. events where fishing method or location changed during the boat day).

The boat-based recreational fishing effort for the 12-months from September 2015 to August 2016 was estimated to be 370,368 boat days, with 387,707 separate fishing events (Table 4). Fishers undertook an average of 1.05 events per fisher day statewide. The estimated total time spent boatbased recreational fishing was $1,112,579$ hours. Approximately three quarters of the statewide estimated total effort (in boat days, fishing events and hours fished) was reported from the West Coast. While statewide effort has declined again in 2015/16, there was an increase in proportion of fishing effort in the West Coast which was consistent with results from the annual Community Survey (Department of Fisheries 2016), where the proportion of days fished in 2015/16 (74\%) was higher than 2013/14 (62\%).

Table 4. Annual fishing effort, expressed as boat days and fishing events, for boat-based recreational fishing in Western Australia during 2011/12, 2013/14 and 2015/16 (se=standard error).

| Bioregion | Boat Days | se | Fishing Events | se | Hours Fished | se |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2011/12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Coast | 47,721 | 3,778 | 51,175 | 4,306 | 187,112 | 14,105 |
| Gascoyne Coast | 58,123 | 3,672 | 61,616 | 3,895 | 253,930 | 17,245 |
| West Coast | 293,112 | 10,688 | 317,543 | 11,972 | 820,693 | 31,111 |
| South Coast | 40,073 | 3,354 | 41,897 | 3,556 | 136,771 | 12,505 |
| State-wide Total | $\mathbf{4 3 9 , 0 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 1 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 2 , 2 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 5 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 0 0 , 1 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 , 7 0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 / 1 4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Coast | 45,604 | 3,603 | 47,836 | 3,757 | 188,744 | 15,536 |
| Gascoyne Coast | 53,832 | 3,603 | 56,334 | 3,849 | 211,967 | 15,671 |
| West Coast | 249,719 | 10,563 | 267,664 | 11,561 | 716,722 | 31,145 |
| South Coast | 28,277 | 2,323 | 29,831 | 2,497 | 91,640 | 7,447 |
| State-wide Total | $\mathbf{3 8 3 , 1 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 3 8 5}$ | 401,730 | $\mathbf{1 3 , 1 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 0 9 , 2 6 3}$ | 40,279 |
| 2015/16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Coast | 31,375 | 2,414 | 33,046 | 2,520 | 122,192 | 9,748 |
| Gascoyne Coast | 43,237 | 3,152 | 44,407 | 3,234 | 169,312 | 12,914 |
| West Coast | 271,311 | 11,032 | 285,157 | 11,672 | 740,815 | 28,047 |
| South Coast | 24,444 | 2,042 | 25,097 | 2,100 | 80,260 | 6,762 |
| Statewide Total | $\mathbf{3 7 0 , 3 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 5 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 7 , 7 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 1 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 1 2 , 5 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 , 7 3 1}$ |

### 4.1 Statewide effort

At a statewide level, most boat-based recreational fishing effort (boat days) during 2015/16 occurred in the West Coast (74\%), with lower proportions in the North Coast (8\%), Gascoyne Coast (12\%) and South Coast (6\%; Figure 18b). Most boat-based recreational fishing effort occurred in nearshore habitat (60\%), followed by inshore demersal (25\%) and estuary (11\%), with lowest proportions in pelagic (2\%), offshore demersal (1\%) and freshwater (1\%; Figure 18a). Most boat-based recreational fishing effort was attributed to line fishing (62\%) and pots (32\%), with lower proportions from diving (4\%), nets (1\%) and other (<1\%; Figure 18c). Most boat-based recreational fishing effort occurred during summer (39\%) and autumn (26\%), with effort highest in December 2015 (16\%) and lowest in August 2016 (4\%; Figure 18d). Estimated boat-based recreational fishing effort in 2015/16 was higher in the West Coast compared with previous statewide surveys, but lower in the North Coast, Gascoyne Coast and South Coast.


Figure 18. Boat-based recreational fishing effort (boat days $\times 1000 \pm$ standard errors) in Western Australia during 2015/16 (white bars) compared with mean from 2011/12 and 2013/14 (grey bars); a) effort by habitat; b) map of the proportion (\%, 15/16 only) of the effort by fishing bioregion; c) effort by fishing method; and d) effort by month.

### 4.2 North Coast

The majority of boat-based recreational fishing effort (boat days) during September 2015 to August 2016 in the North Coast occurred in nearshore habitat (50\%), followed by inshore demersal (25\%) and estuary (15\%), with lower proportions of fishing effort in pelagic (5\%), freshwater (4\%) and offshore demersal (1\%; Figure 19a). The majority of boat-based recreational fishing effort was attributed to line fishing (88\%), with lower proportions of fishing effort from pots (7\%), diving ( $4 \%$ ), nets ( $<1 \%$ ) and other ( $<1 \%$; Figure 19b). The majority of boat-based recreational fishing effort occurred during winter (36\%), followed by spring (25\%), autumn (24\%) and summer (15\%). In 2015/16, fishing effort was highest in July 2016 (14\%) and lowest in December 2015 (5\%; Figure 19c). Estimated boat-based recreational fishing effort was lower in the North Coast in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, notably for line fishing, inshore and nearshore habitats, and from March to August.



Figure 19. Boat-based recreational fishing effort (boat days $\times 1000 \pm$ standard errors) in the North Coast during 2015/16 (white bars) compared with mean from 2011/12 and 2013/14 (grey bars); a) effort by habitat; b) map of the bioregion; c) effort by fishing method; and d) effort by month.

### 4.3 Gascoyne Coast

The majority of boat-based recreational fishing effort (boat days) during September 2015 to August 2016 in the Gascoyne Coast occurred in nearshore (53\%) and inshore demersal (38\%) habitats, with lower proportions of fishing effort in pelagic (6\%), offshore demersal (1\%), estuary ( $<1 \%$ ) and freshwater ( $<1 \%$; Figure 20a). The majority of boat-based recreational fishing effort was attributed to line fishing (94\%), with lower proportions of fishing effort from diving ( $4 \%$ ), pots ( $2 \%$ ), nets ( $<1 \%$ ) and other ( $<1 \%$; Figure 20b). The majority of boat-based recreational fishing effort occurred during autumn (43\%) and winter (35\%) and was lowest in spring (15\%) and summer (7\%). In 2015/16, fishing effort was highest in May 2016 (20\%) and lowest in February 2016 (1\%; Figure 20c). Estimated boat-based recreational fishing effort was lower in the Gascoyne Coast in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, notably for line fishing, inshore habitat, from April to August.


Figure 20. Boat-based recreational fishing effort (boat days $\times 1000 \pm$ standard errors) in the Gascoyne Coast during 2015/16 (white bars) compared with mean from 2011/12 and 2013/14 (grey bars); a) effort by habitat; b) map of the bioregion; c) effort by fishing method; and d) effort by month.

### 4.4 West Coast

The majority of boat-based recreational fishing effort (boat days) during September 2015 to August 2016 in the West Coast occurred in nearshore habitat (62\%), followed by inshore demersal (23\%) and estuary (12\%), with lower proportions of fishing effort in offshore demersal (1\%), pelagic ( $<1 \%$ ) and freshwater ( $<1 \%$; Figure 21a). The majority of boat-based recreational fishing effort was attributed to line fishing (52\%) and pots (42\%), with lower proportions of fishing effort from diving (4\%), nets (1\%) and other ( $<1 \%$; Figure 21b). The majority of boatbased recreational fishing effort occurred during summer (46\%), autumn (23\%) and spring (23\%) and was lowest in winter (8\%). In 2015/16, fishing effort was highest in December 2015 (20\%) and lowest in August 2016 (2\%; Figure 21c). Estimated boat-based recreational fishing effort in the West Coast in 2015/16 was generally consistent with previous statewide surveys, with higher fishing effort for potting, nearshore habitat, and from November to December.


Figure 21. Boat-based recreational fishing effort (boat days $\times 1000 \pm$ standard errors) in the West Coast during 2015/16 (white bars) compared with mean from 2011/12 and 2013/14 (grey bars); a) effort by habitat; b) map of the bioregion; c) effort by fishing method; and d) effort by month.

### 4.5 South Coast

The majority of boat-based recreational fishing effort (boat days) during September 2015 to August 2016 in the South Coast occurred in nearshore habitat (51\%), followed by inshore demersal (28\%) and estuary (18\%), with lower proportions of fishing effort in freshwater (2\%), offshore demersal (1\%) and pelagic ( $<1 \%$; Figure 22a). The majority of boat-based recreational fishing effort was attributed to line fishing (94\%), with lower proportions of fishing effort from pots (3\%), diving ( $2 \%$ ), nets ( $<1 \%$ ) and other ( $<1 \%$; Figure 22b). The majority of boat-based recreational fishing effort occurred during summer (42\%), followed by autumn (26\%) and spring (22\%) and was lowest in winter (10\%). In 2015/16, fishing effort was highest in January 2016 (16\%) and lowest in July 2016 (2\%; Figure 22c). Estimated boat-based recreational fishing effort was lower in the South Coast in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, notably for line fishing, inshore and nearshore habitats, and throughout the year.


Figure 22. Boat-based recreational fishing effort (boat days $\times 1000 \pm$ standard errors) in the South Coast during 2015/16 (white bars) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14) (grey bars); a) effort by habitat; b) map of the bioregion; c) effort by fishing method; and d) effort by month.

## 5 Statewide Estimates of Recreational Catch

This section presents estimates of boat-based recreational catch for the 12-months from September 2015 to August 2016. Estimates presented for all species include: annual catch (total, kept and released, by number), proportions released (\% released) and reasons for release.

### 5.1 Annual Catch (total, kept and released numbers)

The estimated annual catch (total, kept and released numbers) and proportion released for the 12months from September 2015 to August 2016 is given in Table 5. A diverse range of species/taxa were caught, including scalefish (182 species/taxa), elasmobranchs (18), crustaceans (seven) and molluscs (five). A total of 2.54 million individual species/taxa were caught. A similar proportion of the catch was either kept (approx. 1.18 million or $46 \%$ ) or released (approx. 1.36 million or $54 \%$ ). Approximately 55\% of the recreational catch comprised finfish (1.39 million) in comparison to invertebrates ( 1.15 million). A similar proportion of finfish (53\%) and invertebrates (55\%) were released.

School Whiting (Sillago bassensis, S. vittata and S. schomburgkii) were the most commonly caught finfish species statewide with ( 230,052 kept or released statewide by number, or $17 \%$ of the finfish catch), followed by Australian Herring (Arripis georgianus) (132,844 or 10\%), Pink Snapper (Chrysophrys auratus) (117,482 or 8\%), West Australian Dhufish (Glaucosoma hebraicum) (74,981 or 5\%), Silver Trevally (Pseudocaranx spp. complex) (60,887 or 4\%), Black Bream (Acanthopagrus butcheri) (61,044 or 4\%), King George Whiting (Sillaginodes punctata) ( 47,563 or $3 \%$ ), Western King Wrasse (Coris auricularis) ( 36,235 or $3 \%$ ), Breaksea Cod (Epinephelides armatus) (28,733 or 2\%) and Baldchin Groper (Choerodon rubescens) (28,780 or 2\%). High release rates were observed for many of these species, including Western King Wrasse (83\%), Pink Snapper (76\%), Black Bream (74\%) and West Australian Dhufish (68\%). Release rates were lower for Silver Trevally (46\%), Baldchin Groper (42\%), Breaksea Cod (41\%), King George Whiting (25\%), School Whiting (24\%) and Australian Herring (21\%).
Blue Swimmer Crab (Portunus armatus) was the most commonly caught invertebrate species (678,269 kept or released statewide by number, or $59 \%$ of the invertebrate catch), followed by Western Rock Lobster (Panulirus cygnus) ( 387,458 or $34 \%$ ), Squid (Order Teuthoidea) ( 65,025 or 6\%) and Mud Crab (Scylla olivacea and S. serrata) (11,581 or 1\%). High release rates were observed for Blue Swimmer Crab (71\%) and Mud Crab (58\%) compared with Western Rock Lobster (35\%) and Squid (4\%).

### 5.2 Release Rates

A summary of release rates for species released by fishers during 2015/16 by RFBL holders aged five years or older is given Table 6. Lowest release rates were observed for Squid (4\%), Blue Morwong (15\%), Octopus (16\%), Harlequin Fish (19\%), Southern Bluefin Tuna (21\%), Australian Herring (21\%), Goldband Snapper (21\%) and School Whiting (24\%).

Highest release rates were observed for Tarwhine (81\%), Southern Maori Wrasse (82\%), Yellowtail Flathead (82\%), Western King Wrasse (83\%), Leatherjacket (84\%), Samsonfish (84\%), Dusky Whaler (84\%), Blacktip Reef Shark (85\%), Southern Bluespotted Flathead (86\%), Bighead Gurnard Perch (86\%), Queenfish (89\%), Western Striped Grunter (91\%), Gurnard (92\%), Sea Trumpeter (92\%), Port Jackson Shark (96\%) and Giant Sea Catfish (98\%).

### 5.3 Reasons for Release

A summary of the proportions for common reasons for release during 2015/16 is given in Table 7. The most common reasons for release were: "Too Small" (personal preference), "Undersize" (below legal limit), "Too Many" (personal preference), "Over Limit" (Above legal bag limit), "Catch Release" (sport fishing) and "Other", which includes protected females and species.
"Too Small" includes catches that are too small in terms of personal preference, not related to regulations. This reason for release occurred in proportions of $40 \%$ or more for Australian Herring, Bluespotted Emperor, Goldband Snapper, Golden Snapper, Saddletail Snapper, School Mackerel, School Whiting, Southern Garfish and Squid.
"Under Size" includes catches below the legal size. This reason for release occurred in proportions of $60 \%$ or more for Baldchin Groper, Blue Swimmer Crab, Blue Tuskfish, Breaksea Cod, Brown Mud Crab, Grass Emperor, Green Mud Crab, King George Whiting, Painted Sweetlips, Pink Snapper, Roe's Abalone, Southern Bluespotted Flathead, West Australian Dhufish and Western Rock Lobster.
"Too Many" includes catches the fisher did not want/need anymore/any, had enough, not wanted, not targeted, no preference. This reason for release occurred in proportions of $40 \%$ for more for Bludger Trevally, Cuttlefish, Shark Mackerel, Snook, Yellowspotted Rockcod and Yellowtail Flathead.
"Over Limit" includes catches above the legal bag limit. This reason for release did not occur in proportions greater than $20 \%$, except Chinaman Rockcod (29\%) and King Threadfin (35\%). "Over Limit" catches generally occurred in proportions of 10-20\% for Bight Redfish, Blue Morwong, Blue Tuskfish, Coral Trout, Foxfish, Grass Emperor, Harlequin Fish, Redthroat Emperor, Spangled Emperor and West Australian Dhufish.
"Catch Release" fishing includes sport fishing, where fish are not tagged before release. This reason for release only occurred in proportions of $30 \%$ or more for Western Australian Salmon (46\%). "Catch Release" catches generally occurred in proportions of $20-30 \%$ for Black Bream, Coral Trout, Giant Trevally, Golden Trevally, Mangrove Jack, Mulloway, Queenfish and Samsonfish.
"Other" reasons for release included greater than legal limit, too big, too few (not enough for a meal/dinner/all of us), tag \& release, conservation (other than legally protected species), sick (fish has signs of disease), damaged, deformed (not sick or damaged), dangerous, female (berried, eggs, setose, tar spot), poor eating quality (don't taste good, not nice to eat, slimy, hard to clean, many bones, too much effort to cook, perceived or known), species unknown (not sure
about species, eating quality or taste), poisonous (flesh or spines), did not have tag to keep (e.g. Pink Snapper), protected species (e.g. sawfish), mistake (caught but got away, nothing to store fish in) or depredated (taken or damaged by another animal either below or at the surface). Collectively, these "Other" reasons for release occurred in proportions of $60 \%$ or more for Bighead Gurnard Perch, Dusky Whaler, Giant Sea Catfish, Leatherjacket, Lizardfish Grinners, Sea Trumpeter and Western King Wrasse.

Table 5. Estimated annual catch (total, kept and released numbers) and proportion released during 2015/16 by RFBL holders aged five years or Table 5. se is standard error; values in bold indicate relative standard error $>40 \%$ (i.e. se $>40 \%$ of estimate); values in italics indicate $<30$ respondents recorded catches of the species).

| Reporting Group | Common Name | Scientific Name | Kept | se | Released | se | Total | se | \% Rel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abalone | Roe's Abalone | Haliotis roei | 4,074 | 1,519 | 12 | 12 | 4,087 | 1,519 | 0\% |
| Abalone | Greenlip Abalone | Haliotis laevigata | 904 | 593 | 0 | 0 | 904 | 593 | 0\% |
| Cephalopod | Cuttlefish | Sepia spp. | 1,963 | 338 | 704 | 198 | 2,667 | 401 | 26\% |
| Cephalopod | Octopus | Octopodidae - undifferentiated | 1,159 | 264 | 220 | 67 | 1,379 | 278 | 16\% |
| Cephalopod | Squid | Order Teuthoidea - undifferentiated | 62,173 | 6,102 | 2,852 | 854 | 65,025 | 6,374 | 4\% |
| Lobster | Western Rock Lobster | Panulirus cygnus | 250,337 | 20,217 | 137,121 | 15,985 | 387,458 | 33,348 | 35\% |
| Lobster | Southern Rock Lobster | Jasus edwardsii | 608 | 330 | 44 | 37 | 652 | 341 | 7\% |
| Lobster | Painted Rock Lobster | Panulirus versicolor | 795 | 239 | 197 | 110 | 992 | 289 | 20\% |
| Lobster | Ornate Rock Lobster | Panulirus ornatus | 140 | 68 | 9 | 8 | 149 | 68 | 6\% |
| Crab | Blue Swimmer Crab | Portunus armatus | 197,050 | 15,197 | 481,219 | 39,583 | 678,269 | 51,693 | 71\% |
| Crab | Green Mud Crab | Scylla serrata | 2,232 | 753 | 4,022 | 1,778 | 6,254 | 2,314 | 64\% |
| Crab | Brown Mud Crab | Scylla olivacea | 2,644 | 656 | 2,683 | 817 | 5,327 | 1,379 | 50\% |
| Sharks | Blacktip Reef Shark | Carcharhinus melanopterus | 207 | 77 | 1,212 | 251 | 1,419 | 273 | 85\% |
| Sharks | Bronze Whaler | Carcharhinus brachyurus | 354 | 87 | 881 | 232 | 1,235 | 251 | 71\% |
| Sharks | Dusky Whaler | Carcharhinus obscurus | 230 | 94 | 1,237 | 555 | 1,467 | 573 | 84\% |
| Sharks | Greynurse Shark | Carcharias taurus | 0 | 0 | 27 | 20 | 27 | 20 | 100\% |
| Sharks | Gummy Sharks | Mustelus antarcticus \& stevensi | 521 | 129 | 413 | 154 | 934 | 204 | 44\% |
| Sharks | Hammerhead Shark | Sphyrnidae - undifferentiated | 53 | 33 | 161 | 47 | 214 | 57 | 75\% |
| Sharks | Lemon Shark | Negaprion acutidens | 0 | 0 | 146 | 61 | 146 | 61 | 100\% |
| Sharks | Port Jackson Shark | Heterodontus portusjacksoni | 37 | 36 | 1,011 | 207 | 1,047 | 210 | 96\% |
| Sharks | Sandbar Shark | Carcharhinus plumbeus | 0 | 0 | 108 | 54 | 108 | 54 | 100\% |
| Sharks | Tiger Shark | Galeocerdo cuvier | 0 | 0 | 199 | 75 | 199 | 75 | 100\% |
| Sharks | Whiskery Shark | Furgaleus macki | 180 | 62 | 199 | 100 | 379 | 143 | 52\% |
| Sharks | Whitetip Reef Shark | Triaenodon obesus | 43 | 25 | 496 | 235 | 539 | 240 | 92\% |
| Sharks | Wobbegong | Orectolobidae - undifferentiated | 99 | 37 | 561 | 163 | 660 | 167 | 85\% |
| Sharks | Other Whaler | Carcharhinidae, Hemigaleidae - undiff | 65 | 34 | 300 | 186 | 366 | 192 | 82\% |
| Sharks | Other Shark | Sharks - undifferentiated | 389 | 153 | 2,350 | 480 | 2,739 | 519 | 86\% |
| Rays | Sawfishes | Pristidae - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 90 | 42 | 90 | 42 | 100\% |
| Rays | Western Shovelnose Ray | Aptychotrema vincentiana | 0 | 0 | 288 | 76 | 288 | 76 | 100\% |
| Rays | Other Rays Skates | Order Rajiformes - undifferentiated | 38 | 37 | 2,203 | 362 | 2,241 | 364 | 98\% |
| Billfish | Black Marlin | Makaira indica | 57 | 40 | 709 | 254 | 765 | 257 | 93\% |


| T | Reporting Group | Common Name | Scientific Name | Kept | se | Released | se | Total | se | \% Rel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{5}{6}$ | Billfish | Blue Marlin | Makaira nigricans | 0 | 0 | 102 | 42 | 102 | 42 | 100\% |
| D. | Billfish | Sailfish | Istiophorus platypterus | 78 | 42 | 314 | 92 | 392 | 122 | 80\% |
| 8 | Billfish | Striped Marlin | Tetrapturus audax | 0 | 0 | 30 | 21 | 30 | 21 | 100\% |
| T | Bonito | Bonito | Sarda australis \& Cybiosarda elegans | 351 | 104 | 412 | 150 | 763 | 217 | 54\% |
| D | Bonito | Oriental Bonito | Sarda orientalis | 218 | 90 | 125 | 80 | 343 | 122 | 36\% |
| 2 | Bream | Black Bream | Acanthopagrus butcheri | 15,979 | 5,160 | 45,065 | 8,028 | 61,044 | 12,005 | 74\% |
| $\checkmark$ | Bream | Frypan Bream | Argyrops spinifer | 50 | 26 | 135 | 67 | 185 | 81 | 73\% |
| D | Bream | Northwest Black Bream | Acanthopagrus palmaris | 77 | 33 | 884 | 284 | 962 | 299 | 92\% |
| O | Bream | Pink Snapper | Chrysophrys auratus | 28,030 | 2,340 | 89,453 | 8,780 | 117,482 | 10,286 | 76\% |
| - | Bream | Tarwhine | Rhabdosargus sarba | 1,624 | 489 | 7,149 | 1,887 | 8,772 | 2,030 | 81\% |
| $\sum_{0}$ | Bream | Western Yellowfin Bream | Acanthopagrus morrisoni | 265 | 151 | 1,478 | 549 | 1,744 | 578 | 85\% |
| $\sim$ | Bream | Other Bream | Sparidae - undifferentiated | 25 | 23 | 176 | 90 | 201 | 103 | 88\% |
| \% | Catfish | Eeltail Catfishes | Plotosidae - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 249 | 113 | 249 | 113 | 100\% |
| D | Catfish | Estuary Cobbler | Cnidoglanis macrocephalus | 681 | 375 | 73 | 56 | 754 | 380 | 10\% |
| 5 | Catfish | Giant Sea Catfish | Netuma thalassina | 130 | 49 | 5,457 | 1,072 | 5,587 | 1,076 | 98\% |
| 0 | Catfish | Silver Cobbler | Neoarius midgleyi | 0 | 0 | 941 | 513 | 941 | 513 | 100\% |
| ص. | Catfish | Other Catfish | Ariidae - undifferentiated | 201 | 186 | 2,008 | 511 | 2,209 | 543 | 91\% |
| Z | Cobia | Cobia | Rachycentron canadum | 1,644 | 284 | 716 | 231 | 2,360 | 417 | 30\% |
| $\bigcirc$ | Cod | Barramundi Cod | Chromileptes altivelis | 80 | 60 | 76 | 39 | 156 | 91 | 49\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ | Cod | Blackspotted Rockcod | Epinephelus malabaricus | 1,537 | 294 | 4,930 | 1,056 | 6,468 | 1,131 | 76\% |
| ) | Cod | Blacktip Rockcod | Epinephelus fasciatus | 16 | 14 | 206 | 186 | 222 | 187 | 93\% |
|  | Cod | Breaksea Cod | Epinephelides armatus | 16,963 | 1,481 | 11,769 | 1,398 | 28,733 | 2,493 | 41\% |
|  | Cod | Chinaman Rockcod | Epinephelus rivulatus | 6,092 | 2,051 | 13,193 | 4,588 | 19,285 | 6,262 | 68\% |
|  | Cod | Eightbar Grouper | Hyporthodus octofasciatus | 617 | 224 | 75 | 36 | 692 | 231 | 11\% |
|  | Cod | Frostback Rockcod | Epinephelus bilobatus | 11 | 9 | 95 | 49 | 106 | 50 | 90\% |
|  | Cod | Goldspotted Rockcod | Epinephelus coioides | 2,697 | 629 | 2,885 | 488 | 5,582 | 870 | 52\% |
|  | Cod | Harlequin Fish | Othos dentex | 2,246 | 280 | 510 | 119 | 2,757 | 320 | 19\% |
|  | Cod | Potato Rockcod | Epinephelus tukula | 46 | 37 | 84 | 37 | 130 | 56 | 65\% |
|  | Cod | Queensland Grouper | Epinephelus lanceolatus | 55 | 53 | 66 | 33 | 121 | 63 | 55\% |
|  | Cod | Rankin Cod | Epinephelus multinotatus | 4,479 | 540 | 2,351 | 427 | 6,831 | 788 | 34\% |
|  | Cod | Temperate Basses \& Rockcods | Percichthyidae, Serranidae - undiff | 691 | 154 | 3,005 | 715 | 3,696 | 743 | 81\% |
|  | Cod | Tomato Rockcod | Cephalopholis sonnerati | 0 | 0 | 47 | 31 | 47 | 31 | 100\% |
|  | Cod | Yellowspotted Rockcod | Epinephelus areolatus | 461 | 145 | 1,832 | 728 | 2,293 | 750 | 80\% |
|  | Coral Trout | Coral Trout | Plectropomus maculatus \& leopardus | 4,827 | 580 | 4,501 | 1,293 | 9,329 | 1,562 | 48\% |
| $\stackrel{\wedge}{\square}$ | Coral Trout | Yellowedge Coronation Trout | Variola louti | 141 | 52 | 245 | 133 | 386 | 148 | 63\% |


| $\infty$ | Reporting Group | Common Name | Scientific Name | Kept | se | Released | se | Total | se | \% Rel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Emperor | Bluespotted Emperor | Lethrinus punctulatus | 1,711 | 447 | 1,826 | 505 | 3,537 | 883 | 52\% |
|  | Emperor | Grass Emperor | Lethrinus laticaudis | 9,659 | 1,547 | 15,725 | 3,356 | 25,384 | 4,311 | 62\% |
|  | Emperor | Longnose Emperor | Lethrinus olivaceus | 411 | 257 | 132 | 70 | 543 | 323 | 24\% |
|  | Emperor | Redspot Emperor | Lethrinus lentjan | 12 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 15 | 12 | 18\% |
|  | Emperor | Redthroat Emperor | Lethrinus miniatus | 5,412 | 1,191 | 10,443 | 2,360 | 15,855 | 3,316 | 66\% |
|  | Emperor | Robinsons' Seabream | Gymnocranius grandoculis | 702 | 189 | 240 | 186 | 942 | 291 | 25\% |
|  | Emperor | Spangled Emperor | Lethrinus nebulosus | 8,310 | 1,092 | 13,231 | 2,044 | 21,541 | 2,697 | 61\% |
|  | Emperor | Yellowtail Emperor | Lethrinus atkinsoni | 129 | 80 | 430 | 191 | 559 | 245 | 77\% |
|  | Emperor | Other Emperor | Lethrinidae - undifferentiated | 593 | 180 | 1,305 | 514 | 1,897 | 619 | 69\% |
|  | Flathead | Northern Sand Flathead | Platycephalus endrachtensis | 156 | 104 | 105 | 51 | 261 | 116 | 40\% |
|  | Flathead | Southern Bluespotted Flathead | Platycephalus speculator | 4,718 | 1,115 | 27,942 | 8,212 | 32,661 | 8,663 | 86\% |
|  | Flathead | Yellowtail Flathead | Platycephalus westraliae | 1,459 | 465 | 6,678 | 2,202 | 8,138 | 2,359 | 82\% |
|  | Flounder | Smalltooth Flounder | Pseudorhombus jenynsii | 342 | 91 | 230 | 82 | 572 | 124 | 40\% |
| T. | Flounder | Other Flatfish | Bothidae, Psettodidae \& Pleuronectidae | 116 | 52 | 44 | 29 | 160 | 60 | 27\% |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ | Garfish | Southern Garfish | Hyporhamphus melanochir | 2,267 | 1,005 | 229 | 125 | 2,497 | 1,021 | 9\% |
| $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | Garfish | Three-by-two Garfish | Hemiramphus robustus | 33 | 28 | 58 | 56 | 90 | 62 | 64\% |
| D | Garfish | Other Garfish | Hemiramphidae - undifferentiated | 250 | 208 | 158 | 108 | 408 | 248 | 39\% |
| Dod | Giant Perch | Barramundi | Lates calcarifer | 1,425 | 294 | 3,412 | 1,462 | 4,837 | 1,651 | 71\% |
| D | Giant Perch | Sand Bass | Psammoperca waigiensis | 0 | 0 | 77 | 52 | 77 | 52 | 100\% |
| $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | Goatfish | Bluespotted Goatfish | Upeneichthys vlamingii | 478 | 202 | 1,688 | 478 | 2,167 | 577 | 78\% |
| $\checkmark$ | Grunter | Sea Trumpeter | Pelsartia humeralis | 700 | 434 | 8,322 | 3,370 | 9,022 | 3,405 | 92\% |
| T | Grunter | Western Sooty Grunter | Hephaestus jenkinsi | 197 | 178 | 1,134 | 800 | 1,331 | 839 | 85\% |
| $\bigcirc$ | Grunter | Western Striped Grunter | Pelates octolineatus | 634 | 392 | 6,634 | 1,814 | 7,268 | 1,922 | 91\% |
| $\cdots$ | Grunter | Striped Grunter | Terapontidae - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 585 | 236 | 585 | 236 | 100\% |
| $\underset{D}{B}$ | Grunter Bream | Painted Sweetlips | Diagramma labiosum | 733 | 176 | 1,017 | 280 | 1,749 | 365 | 58\% |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ | Grunter Bream | Barred Javelin | Pomadasys kaakan | 276 | 95 | 743 | 293 | 1,019 | 360 | 73\% |
| $\square$ | Grunter Bream | Blotched Javelin | Pomadasys maculatus | 60 | 40 | 210 | 147 | 270 | 154 | 78\% |
| D | Grunter Bream | Grunter Bream | Haemulidae - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 53 | 37 | 53 | 37 | 100\% |
| 0 | Gurnard | Bighead Gurnard Perch | Neosebastes pandus | 401 | 172 | 2,465 | 623 | 2,866 | 656 | 86\% |
| 0. | Gurnard | Gurnard | Neosebastidae - undifferentiated | 320 | 101 | 3,715 | 776 | 4,035 | 790 | 92\% |
| ص | Jewfish | Black Jewfish | Protonibea diacanthus | 227 | 62 | 769 | 293 | 996 | 312 | 77\% |
| Z | Jewfish | Mulloway | Argyrosomus japonicus | 709 | 223 | 1,164 | 352 | 1,873 | 507 | 62\% |
| $N$ | King Snapper | Goldband Snapper | Pristipomoides multidens | 3,716 | 973 | 1,014 | 745 | 4,729 | 1,566 | 21\% |
| - | King Snapper | Rosy Snapper | Pristipomoides filamentosus | 253 | 158 | 13 | 12 | 266 | 169 | 5\% |
|  | King Snapper | Sharptooth Snapper | Pristipomoides typus | 282 | 242 | 66 | 63 | 348 | 250 | 19\% |


| Reporting Group | Common Name |
| :--- | :--- |
| Leatherjacket | Horseshoe Leatherjacket |
| Leatherjacket | Sixspine Leatherjacket |
| Leatherjacket | Leatherjacket |
| Lizardfish | Lizardfish Grinners |
| Longtom | Longtom |
| Mackerel | Blue Mackerel |
| Mackerel | Grey Mackerel |
| Mackerel | School Mackerel |
| Mackerel | Shark Mackerel |
| Mackerel | Spanish Mackerel |
| Mackerel | Spotted Mackerel |
| Mackerel | Wahoo |
| Mackerel | Other Mackerel \& Tuna |
| Mahi Mahi | Mahi Mahi |
| Morwong | Blue Morwong |
| Morwong | Dusky Morwong |
| Mullet | Bluetail Mullet |
| Mullet | Greenback Mullet |
| Mullet | Sea Mullet |
| Mullet | Yelloweye Mullet |
| Mullet | Other Mullet |
| Pearl Perch | Sergeant Baker |
| Pearl Perch | Nusthern Pearl Perch |
| Pike | West Australian Dhufish |
| Pike | Great Barracuda |
| Pike | Snook |
| Pike | Yellowtail Barracuda |
| Queenfish | Quer Pike |
| Redfish | Sight Redfish |
| Redfish | Sedloweye Redfish |
| Redfish | Salmon Herring |


| Scientific Name | Kept | se | Released | se | Total | se | \% Rel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meuschenia hippocrepis | 415 | 141 | 869 | 254 | 1,284 | 321 | 68\% |
| Meuschenia freycineti | 66 | 42 | 186 | 61 | 252 | 83 | 74\% |
| Monacanthidae - undifferentiated | 538 | 156 | 2,759 | 657 | 3,297 | 680 | 84\% |
| Bathysauridae, Synodontidae - undiff | 449 | 214 | 1,181 | 728 | 1,629 | 823 | 72\% |
| Belonidae - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 210 | 119 | 210 | 119 | 100\% |
| Scomber australasicus | 77 | 74 | 810 | 708 | 887 | 782 | 91\% |
| Scomberomorus semifasciatus | 98 | 68 | 132 | 64 | 230 | 118 | 57\% |
| Scomberomorus queenslandicus | 1,853 | 569 | 2,597 | 1,598 | 4,449 | 2,104 | 58\% |
| Grammatorcynus bicarinatus | 470 | 98 | 998 | 335 | 1,468 | 358 | 68\% |
| Scomberomorus commerson | 4,788 | 503 | 3,515 | 654 | 8,303 | 1,000 | 42\% |
| Scomberomorus munroi | 351 | 124 | 303 | 111 | 654 | 190 | 46\% |
| Acanthocybium solandri | 226 | 94 | 60 | 40 | 287 | 109 | 21\% |
| Scombridae - undifferentiated | 500 | 127 | 258 | 115 | 758 | 182 | 34\% |
| Coryphaena spp. | 463 | 141 | 72 | 40 | 535 | 158 | 13\% |
| Nemadactylus valenciennesi | 5,308 | 769 | 907 | 241 | 6,214 | 826 | 15\% |
| Dactylophora nigricans | 49 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 34 | 0\% |
| Valamugil buchanani | 208 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 208 | 167 | 0\% |
| Liza subviridis | 68 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 58 | 0\% |
| Mugil cephalus | 3,969 | 1,479 | 245 | 150 | 4,214 | 1,487 | 6\% |
| Aldrichetta forsteri | 7,292 | 6,061 | 0 | 0 | 7,292 | 6,061 | 0\% |
| Mugilidae - undifferentiated | 2,801 | 2,163 | 378 | 201 | 3,179 | 2,202 | 12\% |
| Glaucosoma buergeri | 681 | 207 | 540 | 179 | 1,221 | 296 | 44\% |
| Glaucosoma hebraicum | 23,818 | 1,640 | 51,164 | 4,159 | 74,981 | 5,563 | 68\% |
| Sphyraena barracuda | 8 | 7 | 708 | 235 | 716 | 235 | 99\% |
| Sphyraena novaehollandiae | 1,820 | 574 | 1,381 | 638 | 3,200 | 947 | 43\% |
| Sphyraena obtusata | 774 | 257 | 584 | 196 | 1,358 | 328 | 43\% |
| Sphyraenidae - undifferentiated | 335 | 106 | 38 | 37 | 374 | 113 | 10\% |
| Scomberoides spp. | 202 | 73 | 1,653 | 501 | 1,855 | 546 | 89\% |
| Centroberyx gerrardi | 11,592 | 1,535 | 6,634 | 1,655 | 18,226 | 2,809 | 36\% |
| Centroberyx lineatus | 2,402 | 693 | 1,274 | 359 | 3,675 | 1,010 | 35\% |
| Centroberyx australis | 0 | 0 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 100\% |
| Arripis georgianus | 104,468 | 12,573 | 28,376 | 5,141 | 132,844 | 15,309 | 21\% |
| Arripis truttaceus | 4,568 | 733 | 17,287 | 3,767 | 21,855 | 4,167 | 79\% |
| Latropiscis purpurissatus | 2,784 | 684 | 5,364 | 708 | 8,148 | 1,135 | 66\% |
| Clupeidae, Pristigasteridae - undiff | 793 | 634 | 303 | 156 | 1,097 | 653 | 28\% |


| 딩 | Reporting Group | Common Name | Scientific Name | Kept | se | Released | se | Total | se | \% Rel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sweep | Banded Sweep | Scorpis georgiana | 803 | 268 | 1,252 | 280 | 2,055 | 403 | 61\% |
|  | Sweep | Sea Sweep | Scorpis aequipinnis | 2,069 | 356 | 1,089 | 345 | 3,157 | 509 | 34\% |
|  | Tailor | Tailor | Pomatomus saltatrix | 8,215 | 1,626 | 5,668 | 1,223 | 13,883 | 2,498 | 41\% |
|  | Threadfin | Blue Threadfin | Eleutheronema tetradactylum | 2,061 | 424 | 1,228 | 334 | 3,289 | 632 | 37\% |
|  | Threadfin | King Threadfin | Polydactylus macrochir | 1,501 | 525 | 876 | 284 | 2,376 | 785 | 37\% |
|  | Threadfin Bream | Rosy Threadfin Bream | Nemipterus furcosus | 96 | 49 | 7 | 7 | 103 | 50 | 7\% |
|  | Threadfin Bream | Western Butterfish | Pentapodus vitta | 6,660 | 1,775 | 21,454 | 5,799 | 28,114 | 6,593 | 76\% |
|  | Trevalla | Blue-Eye Trevalla | Hyperoglyphe antarctica | 163 | 94 | 4 | 4 | 168 | 94 | 3\% |
|  | Trevally | Amberjack | Seriola dumerili | 102 | 73 | 516 | 266 | 618 | 285 | 83\% |
|  | Trevally | Bludger Trevally | Carangoides gymnostethus | 602 | 185 | 1,976 | 561 | 2,579 | 663 | 77\% |
|  | Trevally | Common Dart | Trachinotus botla | 39 | 26 | 96 | 56 | 135 | 72 | 71\% |
|  | Trevally | Giant Trevally | Caranx ignobilis | 571 | 249 | 1,842 | 438 | 2,413 | 511 | 76\% |
|  | Trevally | Golden Trevally | Gnathanodon speciosus | 1,205 | 186 | 2,825 | 498 | 4,030 | 588 | 70\% |
| T! | Trevally | Rainbow Runner | Elagatis bipinnulata | 27 | 25 | 91 | 59 | 118 | 73 | 77\% |
| $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | Trevally | Samsonfish | Seriola hippos | 1,962 | 258 | 10,533 | 1,876 | 12,495 | 1,971 | 84\% |
| O. | Trevally | Silver Trevally | Pseudocaranx spp. complex | 32,776 | 3,850 | 28,111 | 6,539 | 60,887 | 9,288 | 46\% |
| \% | Trevally | Turrum | Carangoides fulvoguttatus | 77 | 55 | 129 | 74 | 206 | 93 | 63\% |
| \% | Trevally | Yellowtail Kingfish | Seriola lalandi | 1,167 | 261 | 938 | 266 | 2,105 | 454 | 45\% |
| ¢ | Trevally | Yellowtail Scad | Trachurus novaezelandiae | 1,176 | 614 | 822 | 347 | 1,998 | 923 | 41\% |
| 0 | Trevally | Other Trevally | Carangidae - undifferentiated | 1,464 | 757 | 1,964 | 912 | 3,428 | 1,628 | 57\% |
| $\stackrel{\square}{5}$ | Tripletail | Tripletail | Lobotes surinamensis | 101 | 36 | 45 | 29 | 146 | 51 | 31\% |
| , | Tropical Snapper | Brownstripe Snapper | Lutjanus vitta | 27 | 17 | 410 | 356 | 437 | 370 | 94\% |
| $\bigcirc$ | Tropical Snapper | Chinamanfish | Symphorus nematophorus | 301 | 101 | 572 | 279 | 873 | 301 | 66\% |
| $\stackrel{7}{8}$ | Tropical Snapper | Crimson Snapper | Lutjanus erythropterus | 1,065 | 203 | 1,743 | 455 | 2,808 | 586 | 62\% |
| $\sum_{0}$ | Tropical Snapper | Darktail Snapper | Lutjanus lemniscatus | 94 | 47 | 98 | 55 | 192 | 80 | 51\% |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ | Tropical Snapper | Flame Snapper | Etelis coruscans | 0 | 0 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 100\% |
| 9 | Tropical Snapper | Golden Snapper | Lutjanus johnii | 2,133 | 575 | 2,403 | 699 | 4,535 | 1,221 | 53\% |
| D | Tropical Snapper | Mangrove Jack | Lutjanus argentimaculatus | 2,336 | 437 | 2,754 | 620 | 5,090 | 929 | 54\% |
| 尔 | Tropical Snapper | Moses' Snapper | Lutjanus russellii | 344 | 91 | 962 | 513 | 1,307 | 551 | 74\% |
| \% | Tropical Snapper | Red Emperor | Lutjanus sebae | 5,831 | 908 | 6,098 | 1,310 | 11,929 | 2,023 | 51\% |
| $\because$ | Tropical Snapper | Ruby Snapper | Etelis carbunculus | 1,067 | 322 | 93 | 43 | 1,159 | 357 | 8\% |
| 3 | Tropical Snapper | Saddletail Snapper | Lutjanus malabaricus | 781 | 210 | 886 | 550 | 1,667 | 641 | 53\% |
| N | Tropical Snapper | Stripey Snapper | Lutjanus carponotatus | 4,965 | 1,321 | 12,063 | 2,297 | 17,028 | 3,329 | 71\% |
| $\bigcirc$ | Tropical Snapper | Other Snapper | Lutjanidae - undifferentiated | 264 | 106 | 357 | 117 | 621 | 176 | 57\% |
|  | Tuna | Dogtooth Tuna | Gymnosarda unicolor | 0 | 0 | 30 | 19 | 30 | 19 | 100\% |


| Reporting Group | Common Name |
| :--- | :--- |
| Tuna | Longtail Tuna |
| Tuna | Mackerel Tuna |
| Tuna | Skipjack Tuna |
| Tuna | Southern Bluefin Tuna |
| Tuna | Yellowfin Tuna |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Baldchin Groper |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Blackspot Tuskfish |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Blue Tuskfish |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Bluebarred Parrotfish |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Bluespotted Tuskfish |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Brownspotted Wrasse |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Foxfish |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Goldspot Pigfish |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Humphead Maori Wrasse |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Purple Tuskfish |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Southern Maori Wrasse |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Western Blue Groper |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Western King Wrasse |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Other Parrotfish |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Other Tuskfish |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Other Wrasse |
| Western Blue Devil | Western Blue Devil |
| Whiting | Goldenline Whiting |
| Whiting | King George Whiting |
| Whiting | School Whiting |
| Whiting | Western Trumpeter Whiting |
| Whiting | Other Whiting |
| Wreckfish | Bass Groper |
| Wreckfish | Hapuku |
| Finfish Other | Archerfishes |
| Finfish Other | Butterfish |
| Finfish Other | Dory |
| Finfish Other | Conger Eel |
| Finfish Other | Other Eel |
| Finfish Other | Moonfish Batfish |


| Scientific Name | Kept | se | Released | se | Total | se | \% Rel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thunnus tonggol | 484 | 137 | 482 | 177 | 966 | 235 | 50\% |
| Euthynnus affinis | 583 | 139 | 858 | 245 | 1,441 | 307 | 60\% |
| Katsuwonus pelamis | 629 | 280 | 192 | 108 | 822 | 305 | 23\% |
| Thunnus maccoyii | 2,009 | 344 | 524 | 159 | 2,533 | 442 | 21\% |
| Thunnus albacares | 442 | 101 | 714 | 504 | 1,156 | 566 | 62\% |
| Choerodon rubescens | 16,612 | 1,537 | 12,167 | 1,831 | 28,780 | 2,893 | 42\% |
| Choerodon schoenleinii | 1,584 | 313 | 2,585 | 689 | 4,170 | 827 | 62\% |
| Choerodon cyanodus | 1,563 | 321 | 2,815 | 756 | 4,378 | 934 | 64\% |
| Scarus ghobban spp. complex | 675 | 318 | 1,875 | 507 | 2,551 | 620 | 74\% |
| Choerodon cauteroma | 6 | 6 | 83 | 53 | 89 | 53 | 93\% |
| Notolabrus parilus | 4,431 | 1,180 | 14,269 | 1,688 | 18,700 | 2,183 | 76\% |
| Bodianus frenchii | 1,777 | 300 | 1,303 | 341 | 3,080 | 586 | 42\% |
| Bodianus perditio | 42 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 21 | 0\% |
| Cheilinus undulatus | 37 | 36 | 18 | 18 | 55 | 53 | 33\% |
| Choerodon cephalotes | 183 | 74 | 939 | 607 | 1,122 | 618 | 84\% |
| Ophthalmolepis lineolatus | 1,110 | 394 | 4,994 | 992 | 6,104 | 1,142 | 82\% |
| Achoerodus gouldii | 590 | 186 | 53 | 22 | 644 | 190 | 8\% |
| Coris auricularis | 6,317 | 1,300 | 29,917 | 3,385 | 36,235 | 3,820 | 83\% |
| Scaridae - undifferentiated | 778 | 552 | 1,752 | 637 | 2,531 | 844 | 69\% |
| Choerodon spp. | 167 | 61 | 5 | 5 | 173 | 61 | 3\% |
| Labridae - undifferentiated | 725 | 484 | 2,777 | 768 | 3,502 | 913 | 79\% |
| Paraplesiops sinclairi | 101 | 59 | 669 | 212 | 770 | 234 | 87\% |
| Sillago analis | 178 | 106 | 199 | 144 | 377 | 243 | 53\% |
| Sillaginodes punctata | 35,820 | 4,665 | 11,743 | 2,477 | 47,563 | 6,367 | 25\% |
| Sillago schomburgkii, bassensis \& vittata | 173,989 | 24,811 | 56,063 | 18,445 | 230,052 | 39,348 | 24\% |
| Sillago burrus | 500 | 250 | 3,555 | 1,025 | 4,055 | 1,064 | 88\% |
| Sillaginidae - undifferentiated | 74 | 72 | 141 | 105 | 215 | 143 | 65\% |
| Polyprion americanus | 26 | 15 | 17 | 11 | 43 | 21 | 40\% |
| Polyprion oxygeneios | 310 | 113 | 37 | 34 | 347 | 124 | 11\% |
| Toxotidae - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 25 | 21 | 25 | 21 | 100\% |
| Stromateidae - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 611 | 281 | 611 | 281 | 100\% |
| Zeidae - undifferentiated | 32 | 22 | 13 | 12 | 45 | 25 | 29\% |
| Congridae, Colocongridae - undiff | 0 | 0 | 96 | 77 | 96 | 77 | 100\% |
| Order Anguilliformes - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 196 | 86 | 196 | 86 | 100\% |
| Ephippidae, Drepaneidae - undifferentiated | 40 | 28 | 147 | 70 | 187 | 75 | 79\% |


| Reporting Group | Common Name |
| :--- | :--- |
| Finfish Other | Silver Toadfish |
| Finfish Other | Weeping Toadfish |
| Finfish Other | Other Toadfish |
| Finfish Other | Other Boxfish |
| Finfish Other | Other Boarfish |

Scientific Name
Lagocephalus sceleratus
Torquigener pleurogramma
Tetraodontidae - undifferentiated Ostraciidae - undifferentiated

Pentacerotidae - undifferentiated

Kept
Released
2,940
1,798

1,798
10,656
3,108
37
0

| Total | se | \% Rel |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2,940 | 594 | $100 \%$ |
| 1,798 | 473 | $100 \%$ |
| 10,694 | 3,110 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4 7}$ | 38 | $82 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $0 \%$ |

Table 6. Summary of release rates for selected species during 2015/16 by RFBL holders aged five years or older.

| 0 to 24\% | 25 to 39\% | 40 to 59\% | 60 to 74\% | 75 to 89\% | 90 to 100\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Squid | King George Whiting | Tailor | Mackerel Tuna | Pink Snapper | Western Striped Grunter |
| Blue Morwong | Cuttlefish | Breaksea Cod | Banded Sweep | Blackspotted Rockcod | Gurnard |
| Octopus | Cobia | Baldchin Groper | Spangled Emperor | Brownspotted Wrasse | Sea Trumpeter |
| Harlequin Fish | Rankin Cod | Foxfish | Yellowfin Tuna | Western Butterfish | Port Jackson Shark |
| Southern Bluefin Tuna | Sea Sweep | Spanish Mackerel | Grass Emperor | Giant Trevally | Giant Sea Catfish |
| Australian Herring | Swallowtail | Yellowtail Barracuda | Blackspot Tuskfish | Bludger Trevally |  |
| Goldband Snapper | Western Rock Lobster | Snook | Crimson Snapper | Bluespotted Goatfish |  |
| School Whiting | Bight Redfish | Northern Pearl Perch | Mulloway | Western Australian Salmon |  |
|  | King Threadfin | Gummy Sharks | Blue Tuskfish | Tarwhine |  |
|  | Blue Threadfin | Yellowtail Kingfish | Green Mud Crab | Southern Maori Wrasse |  |
|  |  | Silver Trevally | Sergeant Baker | Yellowtail Flathead |  |
|  |  | Coral Trout | Redthroat Emperor | Western King Wrasse |  |
|  |  | Brown Mud Crab | Shark Mackerel | Leatherjacket |  |
|  |  | Red Emperor | West Australian Dhufish | Samsonfish |  |
|  |  | Goldspotted Rockcod | Chinaman Rockcod | Dusky Whaler |  |
|  |  | Golden Snapper | Golden Trevally | Blacktip Reef Shark |  |
|  |  | Mangrove Jack | Barramundi | Sthn Bluespotted Flathead |  |
|  |  | Painted Sweetlips | Stripey Snapper | Bighead Gurnard Perch |  |
|  |  | School Mackerel | Blue Swimmer Crab | Queenfish |  |
|  |  |  | Bronze Whaler |  |  |
|  |  |  | Bluebarred Parrottish |  |  |
|  |  |  | Black Bream |  |  |

Table 7. Proportion released by specified reasons during 2015/16 by RFBL holders aged five years or older (values in bold indicate relative standard error $>40 \%$ (i.e. se $>40 \%$ of estimate); only species where $>30$ respondents recorded catches of the species are reported).

| Reporting Group | Common Name | Scientific Name | Too Small | Under Size | Too Many | Over Limit | Catch Release | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abalone | Roe's Abalone | Haliotis roei | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Cephalopod | Cuttlefish | Sepia spp. | 18\% | 5\% | 41\% | 0\% | 0\% | 36\% |
| Cephalopod | Octopus | Octopodidae - undifferentiated | 19\% | 7\% | 38\% | 0\% | 0\% | 37\% |
| Cephalopod | Squid | Order Teuthoidea - undifferentiated | 54\% | 9\% | 24\% | 7\% | 3\% | 2\% |
| Lobster | Western Rock Lobster | Panulirus cygnus | 1\% | 67\% | 2\% | 9\% | 0\% | 21\% |
| Lobster | Southern Rock Lobster | Jasus edwardsii | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Lobster | Painted Rock Lobster | Panulirus versicolor | 2\% | 27\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 68\% |
| Lobster | Ornate Rock Lobster | Panulirus ornatus | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| Crab | Blue Swimmer Crab | Portunus armatus | 3\% | 88\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 9\% |
| Crab | Green Mud Crab | Scylla serrata | 11\% | 83\% | 5\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% |
| Crab | Brown Mud Crab | Scylla olivacea | 1\% | 89\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 9\% |
| Sharks | Blacktip Reef Shark | Carcharhinus melanopterus | 5\% | 8\% | 39\% | 0\% | 15\% | 34\% |
| Sharks | Bronze Whaler | Carcharhinus brachyurus | 2\% | 2\% | 35\% | 6\% | 28\% | 27\% |
| Sharks | Dusky Whaler | Carcharhinus obscurus | 0\% | 0\% | 23\% | 0\% | 14\% | 62\% |
| Sharks | Greynurse Shark | Carcharias taurus | 0\% | 0\% | 70\% | 0\% | 0\% | 30\% |
| Sharks | Gummy Sharks | Mustelus antarcticus \& stevensi | 36\% | 0\% | 24\% | 0\% | 26\% | 15\% |
| Sharks | Hammerhead Shark | Sphyrnidae - undifferentiated | 0\% | 12\% | 60\% | 0\% | 8\% | 20\% |
| Sharks | Lemon Shark | Negaprion acutidens | 0\% | 0\% | 31\% | 0\% | 47\% | 22\% |
| Sharks | Port Jackson Shark | Heterodontus portusjacksoni | 0\% | 0\% | 49\% | 0\% | 12\% | 39\% |
| Sharks | Sandbar Shark | Carcharhinus plumbeus | 0\% | 0\% | 88\% | 0\% | 12\% | 0\% |
| Sharks | Tiger Shark | Galeocerdo cuvier | 0\% | 0\% | 34\% | 0\% | 0\% | 66\% |
| Sharks | Whiskery Shark | Furgaleus macki | 0\% | 0\% | 71\% | 0\% | 10\% | 19\% |
| Sharks | Whitetip Reef Shark | Triaenodon obesus | 48\% | 0\% | 32\% | 0\% | 3\% | 17\% |
| Sharks | Wobbegong | Orectolobidae - undifferentiated | 9\% | 5\% | 43\% | 0\% | 0\% | 43\% |
| Sharks | Other Whaler | Carcharhinidae, Hemigaleidae - undiff | 9\% | 0\% | 91\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Sharks | Other Shark | Sharks - undifferentiated | 4\% | 2\% | 24\% | 0\% | 14\% | 56\% |
| Rays | Sawfishes | Pristidae - undifferentiated | 0\% | 0\% | 18\% | 0\% | 0\% | 82\% |
| Rays | Western Shovelnose Ray | Aptychotrema vincentiana | 0\% | 0\% | 68\% | 0\% | 0\% | 32\% |
| Rays | Other Rays Skates | Order Rajiformes - undifferentiated | 4\% | 3\% | 27\% | 0\% | 1\% | 65\% |
| Billfish | Black Marlin | Makaira indica | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 59\% | 41\% |
| Billfish | Blue Marlin | Makaira nigricans | 0\% | 36\% | 0\% | 0\% | 24\% | 40\% |
| Billfish | Sailfish | Istiophorus platypterus | 12\% | 20\% | 2\% | 0\% | 38\% | 28\% |


| Reporting Group | Common Name |
| :---: | :---: |
| Billfish | Striped Marlin |
| Bonito | Bonito |
| Bonito | Oriental Bonito |
| Bream | Black Bream |
| Bream | Frypan Bream |
| Bream | Northwest Black Bream |
| Bream | Pink Snapper |
| Bream | Tarwhine |
| Bream | Western Yellowfin Bream |
| Bream | Other Bream |
| Catfish | Eeltail Catfishes |
| Catfish | Estuary Cobbler |
| Catfish | Giant Sea Catfish |
| Catfish | Silver Cobbler |
| Catfish | Other Catfish |
| Cobia | Cobia |
| Cod | Barramundi Cod |
| Cod | Blackspotted Rockcod |
| Cod | Blacktip Rockcod |
| Cod | Breaksea Cod |
| Cod | Chinaman Rockcod |
| Cod | Eightbar Grouper |
| Cod | Frostback Rockcod |
| Cod | Goldspotted Rockcod |
| Cod | Harlequin Fish |
| Cod | Potato Rockcod |
| Cod | Queensland Grouper |
| Cod | Rankin Cod |
| Cod | Temperate Basses \& Rockcods |
| Cod | Tomato Rockcod |
| Cod | Yellowspotted Rockcod |
| Coral Trout | Coral Trout |
| Coral Trout | Yellowedge Coronation Trout |
| Emperor | Bluespotted Emperor |


| Scientific Name | Too | Under | Too | Over |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Limit |  |  |  |  | | Catch |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Release |$\quad$ Other


| תু | Reporting Group | Common Name | Scientific Name | Too Small | Under Size | Too Many | Over Limit | Catch Release | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Emperor | Grass Emperor | Lethrinus laticaudis | 9\％ | 68\％ | 6\％ | 12\％ | 2\％ | 4\％ |
|  | Emperor | Longnose Emperor | Lethrinus olivaceus | 44\％ | 37\％ | 15\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 4\％ |
|  | Emperor | Redspot Emperor | Lethrinus lentjan | 0\％ | 100\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ |
|  | Emperor | Redthroat Emperor | Lethrinus miniatus | 22\％ | 30\％ | 17\％ | 15\％ | 3\％ | 13\％ |
|  | Emperor | Robinsons＇Seabream | Gymnocranius grandoculis | 6\％ | 86\％ | 8\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ |
|  | Emperor | Spangled Emperor | Lethrinus nebulosus | 10\％ | 56\％ | 11\％ | 12\％ | 2\％ | 8\％ |
|  | Emperor | Yellowtail Emperor | Lethrinus atkinsoni | 0\％ | 70\％ | 22\％ | 0\％ | 9\％ | 0\％ |
|  | Emperor | Other Emperor | Lethrinidae－undifferentiated | 5\％ | 67\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 1\％ | 27\％ |
|  | Flathead | Northern Sand Flathead | Platycephalus endrachtensis | 0\％ | 59\％ | 4\％ | 0\％ | 37\％ | 0\％ |
|  | Flathead | Southern Bluespotted Flathead | Platycephalus speculator | 16\％ | 61\％ | 14\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 8\％ |
|  | Flathead | Yellowtail Flathead | Platycephalus westraliae | 7\％ | 31\％ | 56\％ | 0\％ | 3\％ | 4\％ |
|  | Flounder | Smalltooth Flounder | Pseudorhombus jenynsii | 0\％ | 34\％ | 39\％ | 0\％ | 27\％ | 0\％ |
| T | Flounder | Other Flatfish | Bothidae，Psettodidae \＆Pleuronectidae | 0\％ | 44\％ | 56\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ |
| $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | Garfish | Southern Garfish | Hyporhamphus melanochir | 92\％ | 4\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 4\％ |
| 合． | Garfish | Three－by－two Garfish | Hemiramphus robustus | 0\％ | 100\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ |
| 冎 | Garfish | Other Garfish | Hemiramphidae－undifferentiated | 0\％ | 0\％ | 39\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 61\％ |
| 完 | Giant Perch | Barramundi | Lates calcarifer | 4\％ | 35\％ | 10\％ | 6\％ | 14\％ | 33\％ |
| D | Giant Perch | Sand Bass | Psammoperca waigiensis | 0\％ | 0\％ | 100\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ |
| 登 | Goatfish | Bluespotted Goatfish | Upeneichthys vlamingii | 28\％ | 7\％ | 31\％ | 3\％ | 4\％ | 28\％ |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | Grunter | Sea Trumpeter | Pelsartia humeralis | 3\％ | 2\％ | 6\％ | 0\％ | 2\％ | 87\％ |
| To | Grunter | Western Sooty Grunter | Hephaestus jenkinsi | 20\％ | 66\％ | 1\％ | 0\％ | 5\％ | 8\％ |
| $\bigcirc$ | Grunter | Western Striped Grunter | Pelates octolineatus | 0\％ | 15\％ | 31\％ | 0\％ | 5\％ | 49\％ |
| $\cdots$ | Grunter | Striped Grunter | Terapontidae－undifferentiated | 20\％ | 0\％ | 7\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 74\％ |
| $\sum_{0}^{\infty}$ | Grunter Bream | Painted Sweetlips | Diagramma labiosum | 10\％ | 60\％ | 18\％ | 0\％ | 1\％ | 11\％ |
| $0$ | Grunter Bream | Barred Javelin | Pomadasys kaakan | 14\％ | 61\％ | 23\％ | 0\％ | 2\％ | 0\％ |
| $\stackrel{0}{\square}$ | Grunter Bream | Blotched Javelin | Pomadasys maculatus | 13\％ | 0\％ | 17\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 70\％ |
| D | Grunter Bream | Grunter Bream | Haemulidae－undifferentiated | 46\％ | 54\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ |
| \％ | Gurnard | Bighead Gurnard Perch | Neosebastes pandus | 5\％ | 14\％ | 12\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 69\％ |
| E | Gurnard | Gurnard | Neosebastidae－undifferentiated | 4\％ | 24\％ | 15\％ | 0\％ | 1\％ | 55\％ |
| 気 | Jewfish | Black Jewfish | Protonibea diacanthus | 15\％ | 37\％ | 44\％ | 0\％ | 3\％ | 1\％ |
| Z | Jewfish | Mulloway | Argyrosomus japonicus | 6\％ | 54\％ | 12\％ | 0\％ | 25\％ | 4\％ |
| $\bigcirc$ | King Snapper | Goldband Snapper | Pristipomoides multidens | 80\％ | 5\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 6\％ | 7\％ |
| $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ | King Snapper | Rosy Snapper | Pristipomoides filamentosus | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 100\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ |
|  | King Snapper | Sharptooth Snapper | Pristipomoides typus | 0\％ | 100\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ |


| ત | Reporting Group | Common Name | Scientific Name | Too Small | Under Size | Too Many | Over <br> Limit | Catch Release | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Threadfin | Blue Threadfin | Eleutheronema tetradactylum | 14\％ | 39\％ | 37\％ | 3\％ | 0\％ | 7\％ |
|  | Threadfin | King Threadfin | Polydactylus macrochir | 11\％ | 33\％ | 9\％ | 35\％ | 0\％ | 13\％ |
|  | Threadfin Bream | Rosy Threadfin Bream | Nemipterus furcosus | 0\％ | 100\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ |
|  | Threadfin Bream | Western Butterfish | Pentapodus vitta | 15\％ | 6\％ | 35\％ | 0\％ | 6\％ | 37\％ |
|  | Trevalla | Blue－Eye Trevalla | Hyperoglyphe antarctica | 0\％ | 0\％ | 100\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ |
|  | Trevally | Amberjack | Seriola dumerili | 57\％ | 18\％ | 14\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 10\％ |
|  | Trevally | Bludger Trevally | Carangoides gymnostethus | 16\％ | 21\％ | 40\％ | 0\％ | 3\％ | 20\％ |
|  | Trevally | Common Dart | Trachinotus botla | 0\％ | 60\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 40\％ |
|  | Trevally | Giant Trevally | Caranx ignobilis | 18\％ | 36\％ | 5\％ | 3\％ | 27\％ | 12\％ |
|  | Trevally | Golden Trevally | Gnathanodon speciosus | 11\％ | 24\％ | 25\％ | 0\％ | 29\％ | 10\％ |
|  | Trevally | Rainbow Runner | Elagatis bipinnulata | 0\％ | 6\％ | 0\％ | 30\％ | 0\％ | 65\％ |
|  | Trevally | Samsonfish | Seriola hippos | 2\％ | 16\％ | 18\％ | 5\％ | 24\％ | 34\％ |
| T | Trevally | Silver Trevally | Pseudocaranx spp．complex | 21\％ | 34\％ | 35\％ | 2\％ | 5\％ | 3\％ |
| $\stackrel{1}{6}$ | Trevally | Turrum | Carangoides fulvoguttatus | 18\％ | 25\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 56\％ |
| 合． | Trevally | Yellowtail Kingfish | Seriola lalandi | 10\％ | 41\％ | 35\％ | 0\％ | 12\％ | 3\％ |
| B | Trevally | Yellowtail Scad | Trachurus novaezelandiae | 33\％ | 0\％ | 39\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 28\％ |
| \％ | Trevally | Other Trevally | Carangidae－undifferentiated | 6\％ | 16\％ | 44\％ | 13\％ | 0\％ | 21\％ |
| D | Tripletail | Tripletail | Lobotes surinamensis | 43\％ | 0\％ | 57\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ |
| 禁 | Tropical Snapper | Brownstripe Snapper | Lutjanus vitta | 0\％ | 80\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 20\％ |
| － | Tropical Snapper | Chinamanfish | Symphorus nematophorus | 18\％ | 8\％ | 56\％ | 9\％ | 9\％ | 0\％ |
| \％ | Tropical Snapper | Crimson Snapper | Lutjanus erythropterus | 21\％ | 42\％ | 23\％ | 2\％ | 3\％ | 8\％ |
| $\bigcirc$ | Tropical Snapper | Darktail Snapper | Lutjanus lemniscatus | 45\％ | 0\％ | 55\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ |
| $\stackrel{7}{7}$ | Tropical Snapper | Flame Snapper | Etelis coruscans | 0\％ | 100\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ |
| $\sum$ | Tropical Snapper | Golden Snapper | Lutjanus johnii | 41\％ | 33\％ | 18\％ | 4\％ | 3\％ | 1\％ |
| O | Tropical Snapper | Mangrove Jack | Lutjanus argentimaculatus | 5\％ | 45\％ | 13\％ | 5\％ | 27\％ | 5\％ |
| 辺 | Tropical Snapper | Moses＇Snapper | Lutjanus russellii | 28\％ | 29\％ | 40\％ | 0\％ | 2\％ | 0\％ |
| D | Tropical Snapper | Red Emperor | Lutjanus sebae | 18\％ | 60\％ | 13\％ | 6\％ | 2\％ | 1\％ |
| 5 | Tropical Snapper | Ruby Snapper | Etelis carbunculus | 0\％ | 32\％ | 0\％ | 26\％ | 0\％ | 41\％ |
| \％ | Tropical Snapper | Saddletail Snapper | Lutjanus malabaricus | 64\％ | 31\％ | 0\％ | 3\％ | 2\％ | 0\％ |
| 気 | Tropical Snapper | Stripey Snapper | Lutjanus carponotatus | 10\％ | 52\％ | 14\％ | 1\％ | 9\％ | 13\％ |
| Z | Tropical Snapper | Other Snapper | Lutjanidae－undifferentiated | 23\％ | 49\％ | 21\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 8\％ |
| － | Tuna | Dogtooth Tuna | Gymnosarda unicolor | 0\％ | 0\％ | 55\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 45\％ |
| N | Tuna | Longtail Tuna | Thunnus tonggol | 8\％ | 28\％ | 16\％ | 0\％ | 48\％ | 0\％ |
| $\checkmark$ | Tuna | Mackerel Tuna | Euthynnus affinis | 4\％ | 5\％ | 19\％ | 17\％ | 21\％ | 33\％ |


| Reporting Group | Common Name |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tuna | Skipjack Tuna |
| Tuna | Southern Bluefin Tuna |
| Tuna | Yellowfin Tuna |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Baldchin Groper |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Blackspot Tuskfish |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Blue Tuskfish |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Bluebarred Parrotfish |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Bluespotted Tuskfish |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Brownspotted Wrasse |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Foxfish |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Humphead Maori Wrasse |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Purple Tuskfish |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Southern Maori Wrasse |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Western Blue Groper |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Western King Wrasse |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Other Parrotfish |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Other Tuskfish |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Other Wrasse |
| Western Blue Devil | Western Blue Devil |
| Whiting | Goldenline Whiting |
| Whiting | King George Whiting |
| Whiting | School Whiting |
| Whiting | Western Trumpeter Whiting |
| Whiting | Other Whiting |
| Wreckfish | Bass Groper |
| Wreckfish | Hapuku |
| Finfish Other | Archerfishes |
| Finfish Other | Butterfish |
| Finfish Other | Dory |
| Finfish Other | Conger Eel |
| Finfish Other | Other Eel |
| Finfish Other | Moonfish Batfish |
| Finfish Other | Silver Toadfish |
| Finfish Other | Weeping Toadfish |

Scientific Name
Katsuwonus pelamis
Thunnus maccoyii
Thunnus albacares
Choerodon rubescens
Choerodon schoenleinii
Choerodon cyanodus
Scarus ghobban spp. complex
Choerodon cauteroma
Notolabrus parilus
Bodianus frenchii
Cheilinus undulatus
Choerodon cephalotes
Ophthalmolepis lineolatus
Achoerodus gouldii
Coris auricularis
Scaridae - undifferentiated
Choerodon spp.
Labridae - undifferentiated
Paraplesiops sinclairi
Sillago analis
Sillaginodes punctata
Sillago schomburgkii, bassensis \& vittata
Sillago burrus
Sillaginidae - undifferentiated
Polyprion americanus
Polyprion oxygeneios
Toxotidae - undifferentiated
Stromateidae - undifferentiated
Congridae, Colocongridae - undiff
Ephippidae, Drepaneidae - undifferentiated
Lagocephalus sceleratus pleurogramma
Torquigerentiated
Chillormes - undifferentiated
Cha
Cher

| Too Small | Under Size | Too Many | Over <br> Limit | Catch Release | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0\% | 50\% | 10\% | 0\% | 0\% | 40\% |
| 38\% | 28\% | 14\% | 4\% | 14\% | 2\% |
| 7\% | 34\% | 44\% | 0\% | 12\% | 3\% |
| 10\% | 76\% | 6\% | 7\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| 28\% | 52\% | 15\% | 4\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| 8\% | 67\% | 5\% | 17\% | 0\% | 3\% |
| 7\% | 15\% | 31\% | 0\% | 0\% | 47\% |
| 40\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 57\% | 0\% |
| 11\% | 6\% | 20\% | 0\% | 4\% | 59\% |
| 10\% | 36\% | 24\% | 17\% | 1\% | 13\% |
| 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| 10\% | 79\% | 4\% | 0\% | 3\% | 5\% |
| 7\% | 21\% | 14\% | 0\% | 3\% | 55\% |
| 41\% | 44\% | 0\% | 15\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 8\% | 4\% | 24\% | 0\% | 4\% | 60\% |
| 0\% | 0\% | 10\% | 0\% | 0\% | 90\% |
| 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 2\% | 6\% | 14\% | 0\% | 0\% | 79\% |
| 6\% | 3\% | 22\% | 0\% | 20\% | 50\% |
| 74\% | 0\% | 26\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 19\% | 66\% | 8\% | 3\% | 4\% | 0\% |
| 44\% | 44\% | 8\% | 0\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| 14\% | 47\% | 2\% | 0\% | 11\% | 26\% |
| 5\% | 21\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 74\% |
| 39\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 61\% |
| 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 98\% |
| 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 7\% | 0\% | 20\% | 0\% | 0\% | 74\% |
| 39\% | 7\% | 54\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 98\% |
| 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% |


| Reporting Group | Common Name | Scientific Name | Too Small | Under Size | Too Many | Over Limit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catch } \\ & \text { Release } \end{aligned}$ | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Finfish Other | Other Toadfish | Tetraodontidae - undifferentiated | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 4\% | 94\% |
| Finfish Other | Other Boxfish | Ostraciidae - undifferentiated | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% |

## 6 Estimates of Catch for Key Species

This section presents estimates of boat-based recreational catch (kept, released and total, by number) for the 12-months from September 2015 to August 2016. Estimates are summarised by habitat, fishing method and month for key species.

Summaries are provided by bioregion, habitat, fishing method and season for priority species identified on the basis of reported catches and importance for management. Key Species have been allocated to habitat types according to the Resource Assessment Framework (RAF) (Department of Fisheries 2011). However, the following RAF indicator species were caught in low numbers and are not included in this section: Blue-eye Trevalla (Hyperoglyphe antarctica); Perth Herring (Nematalosa vlaminghi); Pilchard (Sardinops sagax); Sandbar Shark (Carcharhinus plumbeus); Western Blue Groper (Achoerodus gouldii); Whiskery Shark (Furgaleus macki); Whitebait (Hyperlophus vittatus); and Silver Cobbler (Neoarius midgleyi).

Estimates of recreational catch for key species are presented by habitat type. This includes:

- 5 species/taxa for estuarine; Barramundi (Lates calcarifer), Black Bream (Acanthopagrus butcheri), Estuary Cobbler (Cnidoglanis macrocephalus), Yellowtail Flathead (Platycephalus westraliae), and Southern Bluespotted Flathead (Platycephalus speculator).
- 17 species/taxa for nearshore; Australian Herring (Arripis georgianus), Western Australian Salmon (Arripis truttaceus), Garfish (Hyporhamphus melanochir and Hemiramphus robustus), Sea Mullet (Mugil cephalus), Tailor (Pomatomus saltatrix), Blue Threadfin (Eleutheronema tetradactylum), King Threadfin (Polydactylus macrochir), King George Whiting (Sillaginodes punctata), School Whiting (Sillago bassensis, vittata and schomburgkii), Western Trumpeter Whiting (Sillago burrus), Mangrove Jack (Lutjanus argentimaculatus), Silver Trevally (Pseudocaranx spp. complex), Western Butterfish (Pentapodus vitta), Western Yellowfin Bream (Acanthopagrus morrisoni), Western King Wrasse (Coris auricularis), Brownspotted Wrasse (Notolabrus parilus) and Yellowtail Scad (Trachurus novaezelandiae).
- 17 species/taxa for inshore demersal; Baldchin Groper (Choerodon rubescens), Bight Redfish (Centroberyx gerrardi), Blue Morwong (Nemadactylus valenciennesi), Bluespotted Emperor (Lethrinus punctulatus), Brownstripe Snapper (Lutjanus vitta), Goldband Snapper (Pristipomoides multidens), Pink Snapper (Chrysophrys auratus), Rankin Cod (Epinephelus multinotatus), Red Emperor (Lutjanus sebae), Spangled Emperor (Lethrinus nebulosus), West Australian Dhufish (Glaucosoma hebraicum), Barcheek Coral Trout (Plectropomus maculatus), Common Coral Trout (Plectropomus leopardus), Breaksea Cod (Epinephelides armatus), Grass Emperor (Lethrinus laticaudis), Redthroat Emperor (Lethrinus miniatus) and Stripey Snapper (Lutjanus carponotatus).
- 3 species/taxa for offshore demersal; Eightbar Grouper (Hyporthodus octofasciatus), Hapuku (Polyprion oxygeneios) and Ruby Snapper (Etelis carbunculus)
- 6 species/taxa for pelagic; Spanish Mackerel (Scomberomorus commerson), Samsonfish (Seriola hippos), Grey Mackerel (Scomberomorus semifasciatus), Blue Mackerel (Scomber australasicus), Billfish and Southern Bluefin Tuna (Thunnus maccoyii).
- 4 species/taxa for sharks; Whaler Sharks (Family Carcharhinidae), Gummy Sharks (Mustelus antarcticus and M. stevensi), Port Jackson Shark (Heterodontus portusjacksoni) and Wobbegong (Family Orectolobidae).
- 3 species/taxa for crustaceans; Western Rock Lobster (Panulirus cygnus), Mud Crab (Scylla olivacea and S. serrata) and Blue Swimmer Crab (Portunus armatus).
- 1 species/taxa for molluscs; Abalone (Haliotis spp.).
- 3 species/taxa for cephalopods; Cuttlefish (Order Sepiidae), Squid (Order Teuthoidea) and Octopus (Order Octopodidae).


### 6.1 Estuarine

Estimates of catches for estuarine species will be underestimated as shore-based recreational fishers were out of scope of the survey.

### 6.1.1 Barramundi (Lates calcarifer)

Barramundi is an indicator species in the North Coast bioregion. All boat-based recreational catches of Barramundi occurred in the North Coast (kept only, Figure 23b and c). The majority of catches were released (71\%; Table 5, Figure 23a) and attributed to "Too Many" and "Other" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from estuary habitat (38\%), nearshore (30\%; Figure 23d) and freshwater ( $29 \%$, not shown). Barramundi were harvested throughout the year, with highest catches in spring (38\%), followed by winter (28\%) and summer (23\%; Figure 23f). All catches were taken by line fishing (Figure 23e). The estimated kept recreational catch of Barramundi in 2015/16 was similar with previous statewide surveys (Figure 23a, Table 5).


Figure 23. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Barramundi in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and $13 / 14)$; b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.1.2 Black Bream (Acanthopagrus butcheri)

Black Bream is an indicator species in the West Coast and South Coast bioregions. Most boatbased recreational catches of Black Bream occurred in the South Coast, followed by the West Coast (kept only, Figure 24b and c). The majority of catches were released (74\%; Table 5, Figure 24a) and attributed to "Under Size" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from estuary habitat ( $66 \%$ ), nearshore ( $27 \%$; Figure 24d) and freshwater ( $8 \%$, not shown). Black Bream were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in spring (31\%) and summer (35\%) compared with autumn (23\%) and winter (11\%; Figure 24f). Most catches were taken by line fishing (99\%; Figure 24e). The estimated kept recreational catch of Black Bream in 2015/16 was similar with previous statewide surveys, although the estimated released recreational catch was lower in 2015/16 (Figure 24a, Table 5).


Figure 24. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Black Bream in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.1.3 Estuary Cobbler (Cnidoglanis macrocephalus)

Estuary Cobbler is an indicator species in the West Coast and South Coast bioregions. Most boat-based recreational recreational catches of Estuary Cobbler occurred in the South Coast, with some catches in the West Coast (kept only, Figure 25b and c). The majority of catches were catches were retained ( $10 \%$ released; Table 5, Figure 25 a) with most releases attributed to "Other" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from estuary habitat (67\%) and nearshore (29\%; Figure 25d). Estuary Cobbler were harvested in summer (51\%) and autumn (49\%; Figure 25f). Most catches were taken by line fishing (58\%), with some fishing from diving (32\%) and nets ( $10 \%$; Figure 25e). The estimated kept recreational catch of Estuary Cobbler was higher in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, although the uncertainty for this species is high (Figure 25a, Table 5).


Figure 25. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times$ 1000) of Estuary Cobbler in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and $13 / 14)$; b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.1.4 Yellowtail Flathead (Platycephalus westraliae)

Most boat-based recreational recreational catches of Yellowtail Flathead occurred in the West Coast, with some catches in the North Coast and Gascoyne Coast (kept only, Figure 26b and c). The majority of catches were released (82\%; Table 5, Figure 26a) and attributed to "Too Many" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from nearshore (49\%; Figure 26d). Yellowtail Flathead were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in spring (34\%) and summer (49\%) compared with autumn (14\%) and winter (2\%; Figure 26f). All catches were taken by line fishing (Figure 26e). The estimated kept and released recreational catches of Yellowtail Flathead in 2015/16 were similar with previous statewide surveys (Figure 26a, Table 5).


Figure 26. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Yellowtail Flathead in Western Australia during 2015/16 a) kept and released; b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.1.5 Southern Bluespotted Flathead (Platycephalus speculator)

Most boat-based recreational catches of Southern Bluespotted Flathead occurred in the West Coast, followed by the South Coast (kept only, Figure 27b and c). The majority of catches were released ( $86 \%$; Table 5, Figure 27a) and attributed to "Under Size" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from nearshore and inshore demersal (Figure 27d). Southern Bluespotted Flathead were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in summer (51\%) compared with spring (21\%), autumn (20\%) and winter (8\%; Figure 27f). All catches were taken by line fishing (Figure 27e). The estimated kept and released recreational catches of Southern Bluespotted Flathead in 2015/16 were similar with previous statewide surveys (Figure 27a, Table 5).


Figure 27. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Southern Bluespotted Flathead in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.2 Nearshore

Estimates of catch for nearshore species provided in this report, particularly those harvested with high proportions of shore-based effort, will be underestimated.

### 6.2.1 Australian Herring (Arripis georgianus)

Australian Herring is an indicator species in the West Coast and South Coast bioregions. Most boatbased recreational catches of Australian Herring occurred in the West Coast, followed by the South Coast (kept only, Figure 28b and c). The majority of catches were retained ( $21 \%$ released; Table 5, Figure 28a) with most releases attributed to "Too Small" and "Too Many" (Table 7). Catches were taken from nearshore (85\%; Figure 28d) by line fishing (Figure 28e). Australian Herring were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in summer (36\%) and autumn (36\%; Figure $28 f)$. The estimated kept recreational catch was lower in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, although the released recreational catches were similar (Figure 28a, Table 5).


Figure 28. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Australian Herring in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and $13 / 14$ ); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.2.2 Western Australian Salmon (Arripis truttaceus)

Western Australian Salmon is an indicator species in the South Coast bioregion. Most boat-based recreational catches of Western Australian Salmon occurred in the West Coast, followed by the South Coast (kept only, Figure 29b and c). The majority of catches were released (79\%; Table 5, Figure 29a) and attributed to "Catch and Release" and "Too Many" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from nearshore ( $86 \%$; Figure 29d). The majority of catches were in autumn ( $90 \%$; Figure 29f). All catches were taken by line fishing (Figure 29e). The estimated kept recreational catch of Western Australian Salmon was similar in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, although the estimated released recreational catch was higher (Figure 29a, Table 5).


Figure 29. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Western Australian Salmon in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.2.3 Garfish (Hyporhamphus melanochir and Hemiramphus robustus)

Garfish species include Southern Garfish (Hyporhamphus melanochir), three-by-two Garfish (Hemiramphus robustus) and Other Garfish (Hemiramphidae - undifferentiated). Garfish is an indicator species in the West Coast bioregion. Most boat-based recreational catches of Garfish occurred in the West Coast, with some catches in the Gascoyne Coast and South Coast (kept only, Figure 30b and c). The majority of catches of Southern Garfish were retained ( $9 \%$ released; Table 5, Figure 30a) with most releases attributed to "Too Small" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from nearshore (95\%; Figure 30d). Garfish were mostly harvested in autumn ( $76 \%$; Figure 30f). All catches were taken by line fishing (Figure 30e). The estimated recreational catches of Garfish were lower in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, although the uncertainty for this species is high (Figure 30a, Table 5).


Figure 30. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Garfish in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.2.4 Sea Mullet (Mugil cephalus)

Sea Mullet is an indicator species in the Gascoyne, West and South Coast bioregions. Most boatbased recreational catches of Sea Mullet occurred in the West Coast, with some catches in the North Coast, Gascoyne Coast and South Coast (kept only, Figure 31b and c). The majority of catches were retained ( $6 \%$ released; Table 5, Figure 31a) with most releases attributed to "Under Size" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from nearshore (74\%; Figure 31d). Sea Mullet were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in autumn (51\%) compared with summer ( $27 \%$ ), winter (10\%) and spring (12\%; Figure 31f). Catches were mostly taken by netting ( $77 \%$ ), followed by line fishing ( $21 \%$; Figure 31e). The estimated recreational catches of Sea Mullet were lower in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, although the uncertainty for this species is high (Figure 31a, Table 5).


Figure 31. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times$ 1000) of Sea Mullet in Western Australia during 2015/16 a) kept and released; b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.2.5 Tailor (Pomatomus saltatrix)

Tailor is an indicator species in the Gascoyne Coast and West Coast bioregions. Most boat-based recreational catches of Tailor occurred in the West Coast, with some catches in the Gascoyne Coast and South Coast (kept only, Figure 32b and c). The majority of catches were retained ( $41 \%$ released; Table 5, Figure 32a) with most releases attributed to "Under Size" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from nearshore ( $52 \%$; Figure 32d). Tailor were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in summer (48\%) compared with spring (27\%), autumn (20\%) and winter (5\%; Figure 32f). Catches were mostly taken by line fishing ( $96 \%$; Figure 32e). The estimated kept and released recreational catches of Tailor were lower in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys (Figure 32a, Table 5).


Figure 32. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Tailor in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.2.6 Blue Threadfin (Eleutheronema tetradactylum)

Blue Threadfin is an indicator species in the North Coast bioregion. Most boat-based recreational catches of Blue Threadfin occurred in the North Coast (kept only, Figure 33b and c). The majority of catches were retained ( $37 \%$ released; Table 5, Figure 33a) with most releases attributed to "Under Size" and "Over Limit" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from nearshore (42\%) and estuary (30\%; Figure 33d). Blue Threadfin were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in winter (37\%) compared with spring (28\%), autumn (23\%) and summer (12\%; Figure 33f). All catches were taken by line fishing (Figure 33e). The estimated kept and released recreational catches of Blue Threadfin were similar in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys (Figure 33a, Table 5).


Figure 33. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Blue Threadfin in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.2.7 King Threadfin (Polydactylus macrochir)

King Threadfin is an indicator species in the North Coast bioregion. All boat-based recreational catches of King Threadfin occurred in the North Coast (kept only, Figure 34b and c). The majority of catches were retained ( $37 \%$ released; Table 5, Figure 34a) with most releases attributed to "Under Size" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from nearshore (79\%; Figure 34d). King Threadfin were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in spring (30\%) and summer (36\%) compared with autumn (18\%) and winter (16\%; Figure 34f). All catches were taken by line fishing (Figure 34e). The estimated kept and released recreational catches of King Threadfin were higher in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys (Figure 34a, Table 5).




Figure 34. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of King Threadfin in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.2.8 King George Whiting (Sillaginodes punctata)

Whiting species, including King George Whiting, are indicator species in the Gascoyne Coast, South Coast and West Coast bioregions. Most boat-based recreational catches of King George Whiting occurred in the West Coast, followed by the South Coast (kept only, Figure 35b and c). The majority of catches were retained ( $25 \%$ released; Table 5, Figure 35a) with most releases attributed to "Under Size" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from nearshore (74\%; Figure 35d). King George Whiting were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in spring (42\%) and summer (31\%) compared with autumn (13\%) and winter (14\% Figure 35f). All catches were taken by line fishing (Figure 35e). The estimated kept and released recreational catches of King George Whiting were lower in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys (Figure 35a, Table 5).


Figure 35. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of King George Whiting in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.2.9 School Whiting (Sillago bassensis, vittata and schomburgkii)

Whiting species, including School and Yellowfin Whiting, are indicator species in the Gascoyne Coast, South Coast and West Coast bioregions. School Whiting includes Southern School Whiting (Sillago bassensis), Western School Whiting (S. vittata) and Yellowfin Whiting (S. schomburgkii). Most boat-based recreational catches of School Whiting occurred in the West Coast, with some catches in the Gascoyne Coast and South Coast (kept only, Figure 36b and c). The majority of catches were retained ( $24 \%$ released; Table 5, Figure 36a) with most releases attributed to "Too Small" and "Under Size" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from nearshore (68\%; Figure 36d). School Whiting were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in spring (29\%), summer (32\%) and autumn (25\%) compared with winter (14\%; Figure 36f). All catches were taken by line fishing (Figure 36e). The estimated kept recreational catch of School Whiting was lower in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, although the estimated released recreational catches were similar (Figure 36a, Table 5).


Figure 36. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of School Whiting in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.2.10 Western Trumpeter Whiting (Sillago burrus)

Most boat-based recreational catches of Western Trumpeter Whiting occurred in the West Coast (kept only, Figure 37b and c). The majority of catches of were released (88\%; Table 5, Figure 37a) with most releases attributed to "Under Size" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from nearshore (69\%; Figure 37d). Western Trumpeter Whiting were mostly harvested in summer (47\%), followed by spring (32\%) and autumn (20\%; Figure 37f). All catches were taken by line fishing (Figure 37e). The estimated kept and released recreational catches of Western Trumpeter Whiting were similar in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, although the uncertainty for this species is high (Figure 37a, Table 5).


Figure 37. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers x 1000) of Western Trumpeter Whiting in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and $13 / 14$ ); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.2.11 Mangrove Jack (Lutjanus argentimaculatus)

Mangrove Jack is an indicator species in the North Coast bioregion. Most boat-based recreational catches of Mangrove Jack occurred in the North Coast, with some catches in the Gascoyne Coast (kept only, Figure 38b and c). Almost half of all catches were retained (54\% released; Table 5, Figure 38a) with most releases attributed to "Under Size" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from nearshore ( $39 \%$ ) and estuary ( $30 \%$; Figure 38d). Mangrove Jack were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in autumn (29\%), winter (29\%) and spring ( $23 \%$ ) compared with summer ( $19 \%$; Figure 38f). Most catches were taken by line fishing (99\%; Figure 38e). The estimated kept and released recreational catches of Mangrove Jack were lower in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys (Figure 38a, Table 5).




Figure 38. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Mangrove Jack in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and $13 / 14$ ); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.2.12 Silver Trevally (Pseudocaranx spp. complex)

Most boat-based recreational catches of Silver Trevally occurred in the West Coast, with some catches in the South Coast (kept only, Figure 39b and c). More than half of all catches were catches were retained ( $46 \%$ released; Table 5, Figure 39a) with most releases attributed to "Too Many" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from nearshore (67\%; Figure 39d). Silver Trevally were harvested throughout the year, with similar catches in spring (29\%), summer (23\%), autumn (24\%) and winter (24\%; Figure 39f). Catches were mostly taken by line fishing (99\%; Figure 39e). The estimated kept and released recreational catches of Silver Trevally were lower in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys (Figure 39a, Table 5).


Figure 39. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Silver Trevally in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and $13 / 14)$; b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.2.13 Western Butterfish (Pentapodus vitta)

Most boat-based recreational catches of Western Butterfish occurred in the West Coast, with some catches in the Gascoyne Coast (kept only, Figure 40b and c). The majority of catches were released ( $76 \%$; Table 5, Figure 40a) with most releases attributed to "Other" and "Too Many" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from nearshore (86\%; Figure 40d). Western Butterfish were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in spring (32\%), summer (24\%) and autumn (31\%) compared with winter (13\%; Figure 40f). All catches were taken by line fishing (Figure 40e). The estimated kept and released recreational catches of Western Butterfish were similar in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys (Figure 40a, Table 5).


Figure 40. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Western Butterfish in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and $13 / 14$ ); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.2.14 Western Yellowfin Bream (Acanthopagrus morrisoni)

Most boat-based recreational catches of Western Yellowfin Bream occurred in the Gascoyne Coast, with some catches in the North Coast (kept only, Figure 41b and c). The majority of catches were released (85\%; Table 5, Figure 41a) with most releases attributed to "Under Size" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from nearshore (67\%; Figure 41d). Western Yellowfin Bream were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in winter (45\%) compared with autumn (27\%), spring (19\%) and summer (9\%; Figure 41f). Most catches were taken by line fishing (98\%; Figure 41e). The estimated kept and released recreational catches of Western Yellowfin Bream were lower in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, although the uncertainty for this species is high (Figure 41a, Table 5).


Figure 41. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Western Yellowfin Bream in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and $13 / 14$ ); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.2.15 Western King Wrasse (Coris auricularis)

Most boat-based recreational catches of Western King Wrasse occurred in the West Coast, with some catches in the South Coast (kept only, Figure 42b and c). The majority of catches were released (83\%; Table 5, Figure 42a) with most releases attributed to "Other" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from nearshore (54\%) and inshore demersal (46\%; Figure 42d). Western King Wrasse were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in spring (35\%), summer (37\%) and autumn (23\%) compared with winter (6\%; Figure 42f). All catches were taken by line fishing (Figure 42e). The estimated kept recreational catch of Western King Wrasse was similar in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, although the estimated released recreational catch was lower (Figure 42a, Table 5).


Figure 42. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Western King Wrasse in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.2.16 Brownspotted Wrasse (Notolabrus parilus)

Most boat-based recreational catches of Brownspotted Wrasse occurred in the West Coast, with some catches in the Gascoyne Coast and South Coast (kept only, Figure 43b and c). The majority of catches were released ( $76 \%$; Table 5, Figure 43a) with most releases attributed to "Other" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from nearshore ( $70 \%$; Figure 43d). Brownspotted Wrasse were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in spring (36\%) and summer (37\%) compared with autumn (19\%) and winter (8\%; Figure 43f). The estimated kept recreational catch of Brownspotted Wrasse was similar in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, although the estimated released recreational catch was lower (Figure 43a, Table 5).


Figure 43. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Brownspotted Wrasse in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.3 Inshore Demersal

### 6.3.1 Baldchin Groper (Choerodon rubescens)

Baldchin Groper is an indicator species in the West Coast bioregion. Most boat-based recreational catches of Baldchin Groper occurred in the West Coast, with some catches in the Gascoyne Coast (kept only, Figure 44b and c). The majority of catches were retained ( $42 \%$ released; Table 5, Figure 44a) with most releases attributed to "Under Size" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from inshore demersal ( $68 \%$; Figure 44d). Baldchin Groper were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in summer (41\%) and autumn (44\%) compared with spring ( $10 \%$ ) and winter ( $6 \%$; Figure 44 f ). Most catches were taken by line fishing ( $97 \%$; Figure 44e). The estimated kept and released recreational catches of Baldchin Groper were similar in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys (Figure 44a, Table 5).


Figure 44. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Baldchin Groper in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.3.2 Bight Redfish (Centroberyx gerrardi)

Bight Redfish is an indicator species in the West and South Coast bioregions. Most boat-based recreational catches of Bight Redfish occurred in the South Coast, with some catches in the West Coast (kept only, Figure 45b and c). The majority of catches were retained ( $36 \%$ released; Table 5, Figure 45a) with most releases attributed to "Too Small" and "Under Size" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from inshore demersal (82\%; Figure 45d). Bight Redfish were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in summer (36\%) and autumn (32\%) compared with winter (11\%) and spring ( $21 \%$; Figure 45f). All catches were taken by line fishing (Figure 45e). The estimated kept and released recreational catches of Bight Redfish were similar in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys (Figure 45a, Table 5).


Figure 45. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Bight Redfish in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.3.3 Blue Morwong (Nemadactylus valenciennesi)

Blue Morwong is an indicator species in the South Coast bioregion. Most boat-based recreational catches of Blue Morwong occurred in the South Coast, followed by the West Coast (kept only, Figure 46b and c). The majority of catches were retained ( $15 \%$ released; Table 5, Figure 46a) with most releases attributed to "Under Size" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from inshore demersal (74\%; Figure 46d). Blue Morwong were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches summer (45\%) compared with spring (25\%), autumn (23\%) and winter (7\%; Figure 46 f ). Most catches were taken by line fishing ( $87 \%$ ), with some fishing from diving (13\%; Figure 46e). The estimated kept and released recreational catches of Blue Morwong were similar in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys (Figure 46a, Table 5).


Figure 46. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Blue Morwong in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and $13 / 14$ ); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.3.4 Bluespotted Emperor (Lethrinus punctulatus)

Bluespotted Emperor is an indicator species in the North Coast bioregion. Most boat-based recreational catches of Bluespotted Emperor occurred in the North Coast, followed by the Gascoyne Coast (kept only, Figure 47b and c). Almost half of all catches were retained (52\% released; Table 5, Figure 47a) with most releases attributed to "Too Small" and "Under Size" (Table 7). Catches were taken from inshore demersal (44\%) and nearshore (44\%; Figure 47d). Bluespotted Emperor were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in autumn (35\%) and winter (34\%) compared with spring ( $23 \%$ ) and summer ( $8 \%$; Figure 47 f ). All catches were taken by line fishing (Figure 47e). The estimated kept recreational catch of Bluespotted Emperor was similar in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, although the estimated released recreational catch was lower (Figure 47a, Table 5).


Figure 47. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Bluespotted Emperor in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.3.5 Brownstripe Snapper (Lutjanus vitta)

Brownstripe Snapper is an indicator species in the North Coast bioregion. Most boat-based recreational catches of Brownstripe Snapper occurred in the North Coast, followed by the Gascoyne Coast (kept only, Figure 48b and c). The majority of catches were released (94\%; Table 5, Figure 48a) with most releases attributed to "Too Small" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from nearshore (98\%; Figure 48d). Brownstripe Snapper were harvested mostly in autumn (98\%; Figure 48f). All catches were taken by line fishing (Figure 48e). The estimated kept and released recreational catches of Brownstripe Snapper were similar in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, although the uncertainty for this species is high (Figure 48a, Table 5).


Figure 48. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Brownstripe Snapper in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and $13 / 14$ ); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.3.6 Goldband Snapper (Pristipomoides multidens)

Goldband Snapper is an indicator species in the North Coast and Gascoyne Coast bioregions. Most boat-based recreational catches of Goldband Snapper occurred in the Gascoyne Coast, with some catches in the North Coast (kept only, Figure 49b and c). The majority of catches were released (94\%; Table 5, Figure 49a) with most releases attributed to "Too Small" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from inshore demersal (86\%; Figure 49d). Goldband Snapper were harvested mostly in spring (38\%) and autumn (34\%) compared with winter (27\%) and summer ( $<1 \%$; Figure 49f). All catches were taken by line fishing (Figure 49e). The estimated kept and released recreational catches of Goldband Snapper were similar in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys (Figure 49a, Table 5).


Figure 49. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Goldband Snapper in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and $13 / 14$ ); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.3.7 Pink Snapper (Chrysophrys auratus)

Pink Snapper is an inshore demersal indicator species in the Gascoyne Coast, West Coast and South Coast bioregions. It is also a nearshore indicator species in the Gascoyne Coast bioregion. Most boat-based recreational catches of Pink Snapper occurred in the West Coast and Gascoyne Coast, with some catches in the South Coast (kept only, Figure 50b and c). The majority of catches were released (76\%; Table 5, Figure 50a) with most releases attributed to "Under Size" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from nearshore (55\%) and inshore (37\%; Figure 50d). Pink Snapper were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in autumn (32\%) and winter (32\%) compared with spring (20\%) and summer ( $16 \%$; Figure 50f). All catches were taken by line fishing (Figure 50e). The estimated kept and released recreational catches of Pink Snapper were similar in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys (Figure 50a, Table 5).


Figure 50. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Pink Snapper in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and $13 / 14$ ); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.3.8 Rankin Cod (Epinephelus multinotatus)

Rankin Cod is an indicator species in the North Coast bioregion. Most boat-based recreational catches of Rankin Cod occurred in the Gascoyne Coast, followed by the North Coast and West Coast (kept only, Figure 51b and c). The majority of catches were retained ( $34 \%$ released; Table 5, Figure 51a) with most releases attributed to "Too Small" and "Under Size" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from inshore demersal (61\%) and nearshore (34\%; Figure 51d). Rankin Cod were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in autumn (39\%) and spring (31\%) compared with winter (23\%) and summer (7\%; Figure 51f). Most catches were taken by line fishing (97\%; Figure 51e). The estimated kept recreational catch of Rankin Cod was similar in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, although the estimated released recreational catch was lower (Figure 51a, Table 5).


Figure 51. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Rankin Cod in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.3.9 Red Emperor (Lutjanus sebae)

Red Emperor is an indicator species in the Gascoyne Coast and North Coast bioregions. Most boat-based recreational catches of Red Emperor occurred in the Gascoyne Coast, followed by the North Coast and West Coast (kept only, Figure 52b and c). Similar proportions of the boat-based recreational catch were kept and released ( $52 \%$ released; Table 5, Figure 52a) with most releases attributed to "Under Size" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from inshore demersal (83\%; Figure 52d). Red Emperor were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in autumn (44\%) and winter (33\%) compared with spring (16\%) and summer (7\%; Figure 52f). All catches were taken by line fishing (Figure 52e). The estimated kept and released recreational catches of Red Emperor were similar in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys (Figure 52a, Table 5).


Figure 52. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Red Emperor in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and $13 / 14$ ); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.3.10 Spangled Emperor (Lethrinus nebulosus)

Spangled Emperor is an indicator species in the Gascoyne Coast bioregion. Most boat-based recreational catches of Spangled Emperor occurred in the Gascoyne Coast, followed by the North Coast and West Coast (kept only, Figure 53b and c). The majority of catches were released (61\%; Table 5, Figure 53a) with most releases attributed to "Under Size" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from inshore demersal (55\%) and nearshore (41\%; Figure 53d). Spangled Emperor were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in autumn (36\%) and winter (30\%) compared with spring (24\%) and summer (10\%; Figure 53f). Most catches were taken by line fishing (98\%; Figure 53e). The estimated kept and released recreational catches of Spangled Emperor were lower in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys (Figure 53a, Table 5).


Figure 53. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Spangled Emperor in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.3.11 West Australian Dhufish (Glaucosoma hebraicum)

West Australian Dhufish is an indicator species in the West Coast bioregion. Most boat-based recreational catches of West Australian Dhufish occurred in the West Coast, with some catches in the South Coast (kept only, Figure 54b and c). The majority of catches were released ( $68 \%$; Table 5, Figure 54a) with most releases attributed to "Under Size" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from inshore demersal (68\%) and nearshore (27\%; Figure 54d). West Australian Dhufish were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in summer ( $40 \%$ ) compared with spring (23\%), autumn (23\%) and winter (14\%; Figure 54f). Catches were mostly taken by line fishing (99\%; Figure 54e). The estimated kept and released recreational catches of West Australian Dhufish were higher in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys (Figure 54a, Table 5).


Figure 54. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times$ 1000) of West Australian Dhufish in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.3.12 Coral Trout (Plectropomus maculatus and P. leopardus)

Barcheek Coral Trout is an indicator in the North Coast bioregion. Reporting for this species includes catches for Common Coral Trout (Plectropomus leopardus). Most boat-based recreational catches of Barcheek Coral Trout occurred in the North Coast, followed by the West Coast and Gascoyne Coast (kept only, Figure 55b and c). Similar proportions of the boat-based recreational catch were kept and released ( $48 \%$ released; Table 5, Figure 55a) with most releases attributed to "Under Size" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from inshore demersal (51\%) and nearshore (42\%; Figure 55d). Coral Trout were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in autumn (36\%) compared with winter (25\%), spring ( $23 \%$ ) and summer ( $16 \%$; Figure 55 f ). Catches were mostly taken by line fishing (85\%), with some fishing from diving ( $15 \%$; Figure 55e). The estimated kept and released recreational catches of Coral Trout were lower in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys (Figure 55a, Table 5).


Figure 55. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Barcheek Coral Trout in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and $13 / 14$ ); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.3.13 Breaksea Cod (Epinephelides armatus)

Most boat-based recreational catches of Breaksea Cod occurred in the West Coast, followed by the South Coast and Gascoyne Coast (kept only, Figure 56b and c). The majority of catches were retained ( $41 \%$ released; Table 5, Figure 56a) with most releases attributed to "Under Size" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from inshore demersal (66\%) and nearshore (30\%; Figure 56d). Breaksea Cod were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in summer (37\%) and autumn (30\%) compared with spring (24\%) and winter (8\%; Figure 56f). Catches were mostly taken by line fishing ( $99 \%$; Figure 56 e). The estimated kept and released recreational catches of Breaksea Cod were similar in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys (Figure 56a, Table 5).


Figure 56. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Breaksea Cod in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and $13 / 14$ ); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.3.14 Grass Emperor (Lethrinus laticaudis)

Most boat-based recreational catches of Grass Emperor occurred in the Gascoyne Coast, followed by the North Coast (kept only, Figure 57b and c). The majority of catches were released (62\%; Table 5, Figure 57a) with most releases attributed to "Under Size" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from nearshore (67\%) and inshore demersal (35\%; Figure 57d). Grass Emperor were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in winter (42\%) compared with autumn (29\%), spring (16\%) and summer (13\%; Figure 57f). All catches were taken by line fishing (Figure 57e). The estimated kept and released recreational catches of Grass Emperor were lower in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys (Figure 57a, Table 5).


Figure 57. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Grass Emperor in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and $13 / 14$ ); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.3.15 Redthroat Emperor (Lethrinus miniatus)

Redthroat Emperor is an indicator in the West Coast bioregion. Most boat-based recreational catches of Redthroat Emperor occurred in the Gascoyne Coast, followed by the West Coast and North Coast (kept only, Figure 58b and c). The majority of catches were released ( $66 \%$; Table 5, Figure 58a) with most releases attributed to "Under Size" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from inshore demersal (73\%) and nearshore (19\%; Figure 58d). Redthroat Emperor were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in autumn (34\%), winter (29\%) and spring (25\%) compared with summer (12\%; Figure 58f). Catches were mostly taken by line fishing (99\%; Figure 58e). The estimated kept recreational catch of Redthroat Emperor was similar in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, although the estimated released recreational catch was lower (Figure 58a, Table 5).


Figure 58. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Redthroat Emperor in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.3.16 Stripey Snapper (Lutjanus carponotatus)

Most boat-based recreational catches of Stripey Snapper occurred in the North Coast, followed by the Gascoyne Coast (kept only, Figure 59b and c). The majority of catches were released (71\%; Table 5, Figure 59a) with most releases attributed to "Under Size" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from nearshore (61\%) and inshore demersal (35\%; Figure 59d). Stripey Snapper were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in winter (45\%) followed by autumn (31\%), spring (17\%) and summer (7\%; Figure 59f). All catches were taken by line fishing (Figure 59e). The estimated kept recreational catch of Stripey Snapper was similar in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, although the estimated released recreational catch was lower (Figure 59a, Table 5).


Figure 59. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Stripey Snapper in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and $13 / 14$ ); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.4 Offshore Demersal

### 6.4.1 Eightbar Grouper (Hyporthodus octofasciatus)

Eightbar Grouper is an indicator species in the North Coast, Gascoyne Coast, West Coast bioregions. Most boat-based recreational catches of Eightbar Grouper occurred in the West Coast and Gascoyne Coast, with some catches in the South Coast (kept only, Figure 60b and c). The majority of catches were retained ( $11 \%$ released; Table 5, Figure 60a) with most releases attributed to "Too Small" and "Under Size" (Table 7). Eightbar Grouper were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in autumn (52\%) compared with spring (27\%), winter ( $15 \%$ ) and summer (6\%; Figure 60f). All catches were taken by line fishing (Figure 60e). The estimated kept recreational catch of Eightbar Grouper was higher in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, although the estimated released recreational catch was similar; however, the uncertainty for this species is high (Figure 60a, Table 5).


Figure 60. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Eightbar Grouper in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and $13 / 14)$; b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.4.2 Hapuku (Polyprion oxygeneios)

Hapuku is an indicator species in the West Coast and South Coast bioregions. Most boat-based recreational catches of Hapuku occurred in the West Coast, followed by the South Coast (kept only, Figure 61b and c). The majority of catches were retained ( $11 \%$ released; Table 5, Figure 61a) with all releases attributed to "Under Size" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from offshore demersal (58\%; Figure 61d). Hapuku were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in autumn (50\%) compared with summer (23\%), spring (20\%) and winter (7\%; Figure 61f). All catches were taken by line fishing (Figure 61e). The estimated kept recreational catch of Hapuku was higher in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, although the estimated released recreational catch was similar; however, the uncertainty for this species is high (Figure 61a, Table 5).


Figure 61. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Hapuku in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.4.3 Ruby Snapper (Etelis carbunculus)

Ruby Snapper is an indicator species in the North Coast and Gascoyne Coast bioregions. Most boat-based recreational catches of Ruby Snapper occurred in the Gascoyne Coast, with some catches in the North Coast and West Coast (kept only, Figure 62b and c). The majority of catches were retained ( $8 \%$ released; Table 5, Figure 62a) with most releases attributed to "Other" and "Under Size" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from offshore demersal (54\%; Figure 62d). Ruby Snapper were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in autumn (46\%) compared with winter (35\%), summer (11\%) and spring (8\%; Figure 62f). All catches were taken by line fishing (Figure 62e). The estimated kept and released recreational catches of Ruby Snapper were similar in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, although the uncertainty for this species is high (Figure 62a, Table 5).




Figure 62. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Ruby Snapper in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.5 Pelagic

### 6.5.1 Spanish Mackerel (Scomberomorus commerson)

Spanish Mackerel is an indicator species in the North Coast and Gascoyne Coast bioregions. Most boat-based recreational catches of Spanish Mackerel occurred in the Gascoyne Coast and North Coast, with some catches in the West Coast (kept only, Figure 63b and c). The majority of catches were retained ( $42 \%$ released; Table 5, Figure 63a) with most releases attributed to "Under Size" and "Too Many" (Table 7). Catches were taken from nearshore (47\%) and inshore demersal (40\%; Figure 63d). Spanish Mackerel were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in autumn (36\%) and winter (34\%) compared with spring (19\%) and summer ( $10 \%$; Figure 63f). Catches were mostly taken by line fishing ( $98 \%$; Figure 63e). The estimated kept and released recreational catches of Spanish Mackerel were lower in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys (Figure 63a, Table 5).


Figure 63. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Spanish Mackerel in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.5.2 Samsonfish (Seriola hippos)

Samsonfish is an indicator species in the West Coast bioregion. Most boat-based recreational catches of Samsonfish occurred in the West Coast, followed by the South Coast (kept only, Figure 64b and c). The majority of catches were released ( $84 \%$; Table 5, Figure 64a) and attributed to "Other" and "Catch and Release" (Table 7). Catches were taken from inshore demersal (66\%; Figure 64d). Samsonfish were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in spring (37\%) compared with summer (28\%), winter (20\%) and autumn (16\%; Figure $64 \mathrm{f})$. Catches were mostly taken by line fishing ( $99 \%$; Figure 64e). The estimated kept and released recreational catches of Samsonfish were similar in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys (Figure 64a, Table 5).


Figure 64. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Samsonfish in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and $13 / 14$ ); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.5.3 Grey Mackerel (Scomberomorus semifasciatus)

Grey Mackerel is an indicator species in the North Coast and Gascoyne Coast bioregions. Most boat-based recreational catches of Grey Mackerel occurred in the Gascoyne Coast, with some catches in the West Coast and North Coast (kept only, Figure 65b and c). The majority of catches were released (57\%; Table 5, Figure 65a) and attributed to "Under Size" and "Too Many" (Table 7). Grey Mackerel were mostly harvested in spring (52\%) and autumn (42\%; Figure 65f). All catches were taken by line fishing (Figure 65e). The estimated kept recreational catch of Grey Mackerel was lower in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, although the uncertainty for this species is high (Figure 65a, Table 5).


Figure 65. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Grey Mackerel in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.5.4 Blue Mackerel (Scomber australasicus)

Most boat-based recreational catches of Blue Mackerel occurred in the Gascoyne Coast (kept only, Figure 66b and c). The majority of catches were released (91\%; Table 5, Figure 66a) and attributed to "Too Many" (Table 7). Blue Mackerel were mostly harvested in autumn (95\%; Figure 66f). All catches were taken by line fishing (Figure 66e). The estimated kept recreational catch of Blue Mackerel was lower in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, although the estimated released recreational catch was similar; however, the uncertainty for this species is high (Figure 66a, Table 5).


Figure 66. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers x 1000) of Blue Mackerel in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.5.5 Yellowtail Scad (Trachurus novaezelandiae)

Yellowtail Scad is an indicator species in the South Coast bioregion. Most boat-based recreational catches of Yellowtail Scad occurred in the West Coast and South Coast (kept only, Figure 67b and c). The majority of catches were released (91\%; Table 5, Figure 67a) and attributed to "Too Small" and "Too Many" (Table 7). Yellowtail Scad were mostly harvested in spring (64\%) and summer (30\%; Figure 67f). All catches were taken by line fishing (Figure 67e). The estimated kept recreational catch of Yellowtail Scad was similar in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, although the estimated released recreational catch was lower; however, the uncertainty for this species is high (Figure 67a, Table 5).


Figure 67. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Yellowtail Scad in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.5.6 Billfish

Billfish include Black Marlin (Makaira indica), Blue Marlin (M. nigricans), Striped Marlin (Tetrapturus audax) and Sailfish (Istiophorus platypterus). Most boat-based recreational catches of Billfish occurred in the Gascoyne Coast, followed by the North Coast (kept only, Figure 68b and c). The majority of catches were released ( $80 \%$ or higher; Table 5, Figure 68a) and attributed to "Catch and Release" and "Other" (Table 7). Billfish were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in autumn (34\%) and winter (34\%) compared with sprin $\mathrm{g}(19 \%$ ) and summer (12\%; Figure 68f). All catches were taken by line fishing (Figure 68e). The estimated kept and released recreational catches of Billfish were similar in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, although the estimated released recreational catch was lower; however, the uncertainty for this species group is high (Figure 68a, Table 5).


Figure 68. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Billfish in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.5.7 Southern Bluefin Tuna (Thunnus maccoyii)

Most boat-based recreational catches of Southern Bluefin Tuna occurred in the West Coast and South Coast, with some catches in the North Coast and Gascoyne Coast (kept only, Figure 69b and c). The majority of catches were retained ( $21 \%$ released; Table 5, Figure 69a) with most releases attributed to "Too Small" and "Under Size" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from inshore demersal (58\%; Figure 69d). Southern Bluefin Tuna were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in summer (39\%) and autumn (29\%) compared with spring ( $21 \%$ ) and winter (11\%; Figure 69f). All catches were taken by line fishing (Figure 69e). The estimated kept recreational catch of Southern Bluefin Tuna was higher in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, although the estimated released recreational catch was similar (Figure 69a, Table 5).


Figure 69. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Southern Bluefin Tuna in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean ( $11 / 12$ and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.6 Sharks

### 6.6.1 Whaler Sharks (Family Carcharhinidae)

Whaler Sharks are a statewide indicator species. Whaler Sharks (Family Carcharhinidae) include Bronze Whaler (Carcharhinus brachyurus), Dusky Whaler (Carcharhinus obscurus) and Other Whaler Sharks (Carcharhinidae and Hemigaleidae - undifferentiated). Most boat-based recreational catches occurred in the West Coast (kept only, Figure 70b and c). The majority of catches were released ( $71 \%$ or higher; Table 5, Figure 70a) and attributed to "Too Many" and "Other" (Table 7). Catches were mostly taken from inshore demersal (65\%; Figure 70d) throughout the year, with higher catches in spring (40\%) compared with autumn (25\%), summer (19\%) and winter (16\%; Figure 70 f ). All catches were taken by line fishing (Figure 70e). The estimated kept recreational catch of Whaler Sharks was similar in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, although the estimated released recreational catch was lower (Figure 70a, Table 5).


Figure 70. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Whaler Sharks in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.6.2 Gummy Sharks (Mustelus antarcticus and M. stevensi)

Gummy Sharks includes Gummy Shark (Mustelus antarcticus), which occurs in southern waters to Geraldton, and Western Spotted Gummy Shark (M. stevensi), which occurs from Shark Bay to the Kimberley. M. antarticus is found nearshore to about 80 m , although sometimes on the continental slope to 350 m while $M$. stevensi is found at depths of $120-400 \mathrm{~m}$, possibly 735 m (Last and Stevens 2009). Most boat-based recreational catches of Gummy Sharks occurred in the West Coast, with some catches in the South Coast (kept only, Figure 71b and c). The majority of catches were retained ( $44 \%$ released; Table 5, Figure 71a) with most releases attributed to "Too Small" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from inshore demersal (74\%; Figure 71d). Gummy Sharks were harvested throughout the year with higher catches in summer (34\%) and autumn ( $36 \%$; Figure 71f). All catches were taken by line fishing (Figure 71e). The estimated kept and released recreational catches of Gummy Sharks were lower in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, although uncertainty for this species is high (Figure 71a, Table 5).


Figure 71. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Gummy Sharks in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.6.3 Port Jackson Shark (Heterodontus portusjacksoni)

Most boat-based recreational catches of Port Jackson Shark occurred in the West Coast (kept only, Figure 72b and c). The majority of catches were released ( $96 \%$; Table 5, Figure 72a) and attributed to "Too Many" and "Other" (Table 7). Catches were taken from inshore demersal (52\%) and nearshore (47\%; Figure 72d). Port Jackson Shark were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in spring (57\%) compared with autumn (17\%), summer ( $15 \%$ ) and winter ( $11 \%$; Figure 72f). Catches were mostly taken by line fishing ( $99 \%$; Figure 72e). The estimated kept recreational catch of Port Jackson Shark was similar in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, althought the uncertainty for this species is high (Figure 72a, Table 5).


Figure 72. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Port Jackson Shark in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and $13 / 14$ ); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.6.4 Wobbegong (Family Orectolobidae)

Most boat-based recreational catches of Wobbegong occurred in the West Coast, with some catches in the South Coast (kept only, Figure 73b and c). The majority of catches were released (85\%; Table 5, Figure 73a) and attributed to "Too Many" and "Other" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from nearshore (77\%) and inshore demersal (20\%; Figure 73d). Wobbegong were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches autumn (45\%) compared with summer ( $24 \%$ ), winter ( $20 \%$ ) and spring ( $11 \%$; Figure 73 ). Catches were mostly taken by line fishing (74\%), with some catches from potting (25\%; Figure 73e). The estimated kept recreational catch of Wobbegong was similar in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, although the uncertainty for this species is high (Figure 73a, Table 5).


Figure 73. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times$ 1000) of Wobbegong in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and $13 / 14$ ); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.7 Crustaceans

### 6.7.1 Western Rock Lobster (Panulirus cygnus)

The estimated catch from this survey does not account for catches from fishers that only have a Rock Lobster licence. Approximately $40 \%$ of Rock Lobster licence holders do not have a RFBL; therefore, these results underestimate the catch of Western Rock Lobster. Most boat-based recreational catches occurred in the West Coast (kept only, Figure 74b and c). The majority of catches were retained ( $35 \%$ released; Table 5, Figure 74a) with most releases attributed to "Under Size" and "Other" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from nearshore (89\%; Figure 74d). Harvests occurred in summer (56\%), spring (25\%) and autumn (17\%; Figure 74f). Catches were mostly taken by potting (83\%), followed by diving ( $16 \%$; Figure 74 e ). The estimated kept recreational catch was higher in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, and the estimated released recreational catch was similar (Figure 74a, Table 5).


Figure 74. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Western Rock Lobster in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.7.2 Mud Crab (Scylla olivacea and S. serrata).

Mud Crabs include Brown Mud Crab (Scylla olivacea) and Green Mud Crab (S. serrata). Most boat-based recreational catches of Mud Crab occurred in the North Coast, with some catches in the West Coast and Gascoyne Coast (kept only, Figure 75b and c). There were different release rates for the two species ( $50 \%$ for Brown Mud Crab and $64 \%$ for Green Mud Crab; Table 5, Figure 75a) with most releases attributed to "Under Size" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from nearshore (47\%) and estuary (43\%; Figure 75d). Mud Crab were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in autumn (48\%) compared with summer (21\%), winter ( $16 \%$ ) and spring ( $15 \%$; Figure $75 f$ ). Most catches were taken by pots ( $92 \%$; Figure 75 e ). The estimated kept and released recreational catches of Mud Crab were lower in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys (Figure 75a, Table 5). Although the proportion of catch in the West Coast was higher in 2015/16 compared with previous years, catches in the West Coast have been stable across all years, while catch in the North Coast was lower in 15/16.


Figure 75. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Mud Crab in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and $13 / 14$ ); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.7.3 Blue Swimmer Crab (Portunus armatus)

Blue Swimmer Crab, previously known as Portunus pelagicus, but now classified as Portunus armatus, is harvested statewide. Most boat-based recreational catches of Blue Swimmer Crab occurred in the West Coast, with some catches in the North Coast, Gascoyne Coast and South Coast (kept only, Figure 76b and c). The majority of catches were released (71\%; Table 5, Figure 76a) and attributed to "Under Size" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from estuary (67\%) and nearshore habitats ( $31 \%$; Figure 76d). Blue Swimmer Crab were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in summer (68\%) compared with autumn (16\%) and spring (13\%; Figure 76f). Most catches were taken by pots (including drop nets) (92\%; Figure 76e). The estimated kept recreational catch of Blue Swimmer Crab was lower in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, although the estimated released recreational catch was similar (Figure 76a, Table 5).


Figure 76. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times$ 1000) of Blue Swimmer Crab in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.8 Molluscs

### 6.8.1 Abalone (Haliotis spp.)

Abalone includes Roe's Abalone (Haliotis roei), Greenlip Abalone (H. laevigata) and Brownlip Abalone (H. conicopora). Most boat-based recreational catches of Abalone occurred in the West Coast, with some catches in the South Coast (kept only, Figure 77b and c). All boat-based recreational catches of Abalone were kept (Table 5, Figure 77a). Most catches were taken from nearshore (99\%; Figure 77d). Abalone were mostly harvested in summer (63\%) compared with spring ( $22 \%$ ) and autumn ( $15 \%$; Figure 77 f). Catches were mostly taken by diving ( $86 \%$; Figure 77e). The estimated kept and released recreational catches of Abalone were lower in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, although the uncertainty for this species is high (Figure 77a, Table 5). These estimates do not include catches from shore-based recreational fishing.


Figure 77. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Abalone in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.9 Cephalopods

### 6.9.1 Cuttlefish (Order Sepiidae)

Most boat-based recreational catches of Cuttlefish occurred in the West Coast, with some catches in the South Coast (kept only, Figure 78b and c). The majority of catches were retained ( $26 \%$ released; Table 5, Figure 63a) with most releases attributed to "Too Many" and "Other" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from nearshore (65\%) and inshore demersal (33\%; Figure 78d). Cuttlefish were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in autumn (33\%) and winter (34\%) compared with spring (20\%) and summer (14\%; Figure 78f). Catches were mostly taken by line fishing ( $94 \%$; Figure 78e). The estimated kept recreational catch of Cuttlefish was similar in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, and the estimated released recreational catch was lower (Figure 78a, Table 5).


Figure 78. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Cuttlefish in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.9.2 Squid (Order Teuthoidea)

Most boat-based recreational catches of Squid occurred in the West Coast, with some catches in the North Coast, Gascoyne Coast and South Coast (kept only, Figure 79b and c). The majority of catches were retained ( $4 \%$ released; Table 5, Figure 79a) with most releases attributed to "Too Small" and "Too Many" (Table 7). Catches were taken predominantly from nearshore (87\%) and inshore demersal (11\%; Figure 79d). Squid were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in autumn (34\%) followed by winter (29\%), spring (25\%) and summer (12\%; Figure 79f). Catches were mostly taken by line fishing ( $99 \%$; Figure 79e). The estimated kept recreational catch of Squid was lower in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, although the estimated released recreational catch was similar (Figure 79a, Table 5).


Figure 79. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times 1000$ ) of Squid in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

### 6.9.3 Octopus (Order Octopodidae)

Most boat-based recreational catches of Octopus occurred in the West Coast, with some catches in the Gascoyne Coast and South Coast (kept only, Figure 80b and c). The majority of catches were retained ( $16 \%$ released; Table 5, Figure 80a) with most releases attributed to "Too Many" and "Other" (Table 7).Catches were taken predominantly from nearshore (88\%; Figure 80d). Octopus were harvested throughout the year, with higher catches in summer (44\%) and autumn (32\%) compared with spring (14\%) and winter (9\%; Figure 80f). Catches were mostly taken by potting (61\%), followed by line fishing (29\%) and diving (10\%; Figure 80e). The estimated kept and released recreational catches of Octopus were lower in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys, although the uncertainty for this species is high (Figure 80a, Table 5, Table 5).


Figure 80. Boat-based kept (grey bars) and released (white bars) recreational catch (numbers $\times$ 1000) of Octopus in Western Australia during 2015/16: a) compared with mean (11/12 and 13/14); b) catch by bioregion; c) map of the proportion (\%) of kept catch by bioregion; d) catch by habitat; e) catch by method; and f) catch by season.

## 7 Estimates of Catch by Bioregion

This section presents estimates of boat-based recreational catch by bioregion for the 12-months from September 2015 to August 2016. Estimates are presented for annual catch (total, kept and released, by number) and proportions released (\% released) for all species in each bioregion: North Coast (Table 8), Gascoyne Coast (Table 9), West Coast (Table 10) and South Coast (Table 11).

### 7.1 North Coast

A total of 130 species/taxa were reported in the North Coast in 2015/16, which represented $6.1 \%$ of the statewide total catch (by numbers). The most common finfish species were Stripey Snapper (7\% of the bioregion total catch), Grass Emperor (6\%), Spangled Emperor (4\%), Giant Sea Catfish (3\%), Coral Trout (3\%), Red Emperor (3\%), Barramundi (3\%), Golden Snapper (3\%), Mangrove Jack (3\%), Blackspotted Rockcod (3\%), Blue Tuskfish (2\%), Spanish Mackerel (2\%), Blue Threadfin (2\%), Rankin Cod (2\%), Blackspot Tuskfish (2\%), Golden Trevally (2\%), Other Trevally (2\%), Crimson Snapper (2\%), Goldspotted Rockcod (2\%), King Threadfin (2\%) and Redthroat Emperor (2\%). The most common invertebrate species were Blue Swimmer Crab (8\%), Mud Crab (4\%) and Squid (2\%). These 25 species accounted for $74 \%$ of the total catch (by numbers) in the North Coast in 2015/16.

### 7.2 Gascoyne Coast

A total of 152 species/taxa were reported in the Gascoyne Coast in 2015/16, which represented $8.4 \%$ of the statewide total catch (by numbers). The most common finfish species were Pink Snapper (25\% of the bioregion total catch), Chinaman Rockcod (8\%), Grass Emperor (7\%), Spangled Emperor (6\%), Redthroat Emperor (4\%), Red Emperor (3\%), Stripey Snapper (2\%), Goldband Snapper (2\%), Baldchin Groper (2\%), Spanish Mackerel (2\%), Rankin Cod (2\%), School Mackerel (2\%), School Whiting (2\%) and Western Butterfish (2\%). The most common invertebrate species were Blue Swimmer Crab (5\%) and Squid (3\%). These 16 species accounted for $76 \%$ of the total catch (by numbers) in the Gascoyne Coast in 2015/16.

### 7.3 West Coast

A total of 155 species/taxa were reported in the West Coast in 2015/16, which represented 77.5\% of the statewide total catch (by numbers). The most common finfish species were School Whiting ( $11 \%$ of the bioregion total catch), Australian Herring (5\%), West Australian Dhufish (4\%), Silver Trevally (3\%), Pink Snapper (3\%), Western King Wrasse (2\%) and Southern Bluespotted Flathead (2\%). The most common invertebrate species were Blue Swimmer Crab (33\%), Western Rock Lobster (19\%) and Squid (3\%). These ten species accounted for $83 \%$ of the total catch (by numbers) in the West Coast in 2015/16.

### 7.4 South Coast

A total of 92 species/taxa were reported in the South Coast in 2015/16, which represented $8.0 \%$ of the statewide total catch (by numbers). The most common finfish species were Black Bream
(17\% of the bioregion total catch), Australian Herring (14\%), King George Whiting (10\%), School Whiting (8\%), Bight Redfish (8\%), Pink Snapper (6\%), Breaksea Cod (5\%), Silver Trevally (4\%), Blue Morwong (2\%), Brownspotted Wrasse (2\%), Swallowtail (2\%) and West Australian Dhufish (2\%). The most common invertebrate species were Blue Swimmer Crab (2\%) and Squid (2\%). These 14 species accounted for $83 \%$ of the total catch (by numbers) in the South Coast in 2015/16.

Table 8. Estimated annual catch (total, kept and released numbers) and proportion released in the North Coast bioregion during 2015/16 by RFBL holders aged five years or older (se is standard error; values in bold indicate relative standard error $>40 \%$ (i.e. se $>40 \%$ of estimate); values in italics indicate $<30$ respondents recorded catches of the species).

| Reporting Group | Common Name | Scientific Name | Kept | se | Released | se | Total | se | \% Rel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cephalopod | Squid | Order Teuthoidea - undifferentiated | 3,021 | 822 | 89 | 54 | 3,110 | 829 | 3\% |
| Lobster | Painted Rock Lobster | Panulirus versicolor | 649 | 219 | 197 | 110 | 846 | 273 | 23\% |
| Lobster | Ornate Rock Lobster | Panulirus ornatus | 119 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 65 | 0\% |
| Crab | Blue Swimmer Crab | Portunus armatus | 7,044 | 1,898 | 5,976 | 1,608 | 13,020 | 3,393 | 46\% |
| Crab | Green Mud Crab | Scylla serrata | 869 | 236 | 525 | 174 | 1,394 | 367 | 38\% |
| Crab | Brown Mud Crab | Scylla olivacea | 2,495 | 649 | 2,683 | 817 | 5,179 | 1,376 | 52\% |
| Sharks | Blacktip Reef Shark | Carcharhinus melanopterus | 0 | 0 | 464 | 110 | 464 | 110 | 100\% |
| Sharks | Dusky Whaler | Carcharhinus obscurus | 7 | 6 | 853 | 536 | 859 | 537 | 99\% |
| Sharks | Gummy Sharks | Mustelus antarcticus \& stevensi | 0 | 0 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 100\% |
| Sharks | Hammerhead Shark | Sphyrnidae - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 42 | 18 | 42 | 18 | 100\% |
| Sharks | Lemon Shark | Negaprion acutidens | 0 | 0 | 84 | 53 | 84 | 53 | 100\% |
| Sharks | Sandbar Shark | Carcharhinus plumbeus | 0 | 0 | 40 | 38 | 40 | 38 | 100\% |
| Sharks | Tiger Shark | Galeocerdo cuvier | 0 | 0 | 32 | 26 | 32 | 26 | 100\% |
| Sharks | Whitetip Reef Shark | Triaenodon obesus | 13 | 12 | 189 | 71 | 202 | 80 | 94\% |
| Sharks | Other Shark | Sharks - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 605 | 204 | 605 | 204 | 100\% |
| Rays | Sawfishes | Pristidae - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 90 | 41 | 90 | 41 | 100\% |
| Rays | Western Shovelnose Ray | Aptychotrema vincentiana | 0 | 0 | 25 | 18 | 25 | 18 | 100\% |
| Rays | Other Rays Skates | Order Rajiformes - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 42 | 21 | 42 | 21 | 100\% |
| Billfish | Black Marlin | Makaira indica | 18 | 17 | 246 | 159 | 264 | 160 | 93\% |
| Billfish | Sailfish | Istiophorus platypterus | 17 | 11 | 134 | 52 | 151 | 54 | 89\% |
| Billfish | Striped Marlin | Tetrapturus audax | 0 | 0 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 100\% |
| Bonito | Bonito | Sarda australis \& Cybiosarda elegans | 0 | 0 | 35 | 23 | 35 | 23 | 100\% |
| Bream | Frypan Bream | Argyrops spinifer | 9 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 8 | 0\% |
| Bream | Northwest Black Bream | Acanthopagrus palmaris | 77 | 33 | 884 | 284 | 962 | 299 | 92\% |
| Bream | Pink Snapper | Chrysophrys auratus | 120 | 67 | 105 | 53 | 225 | 96 | 47\% |
| Bream | Western Yellowfin Bream | Acanthopagrus morrisoni | 34 | 26 | 834 | 459 | 868 | 470 | 96\% |
| Bream | Other Bream | Sparidae - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 82 | 71 | 82 | 71 | 100\% |
| Catfish | Eeltail Catfishes | Plotosidae - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 218 | 110 | 218 | 110 | 100\% |
| Catfish | Giant Sea Catfish | Netuma thalassina | 111 | 46 | 5,311 | 1,069 | 5,421 | 1,072 | 98\% |
| Catfish | Silver Cobbler | Neoarius midgleyi | 0 | 0 | 941 | 513 | 941 | 513 | 100\% |
| Catfish | Other Catfish | Ariidae - undifferentiated | 8 | 7 | 1,971 | 510 | 1,980 | 510 | 100\% |


| Reporting Group | Common Name |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cobia | Cobia |
| Cod | Barramundi Cod |
| Cod | Blackspotted Rockcod |
| Cod | Blacktip Rockcod |
| Cod | Chinaman Rockcod |
| Cod | Eightbar Grouper |
| Cod | Frostback Rockcod |
| Cod | Goldspotted Rockcod |
| Cod | Potato Rockcod |
| Cod | Queensland Grouper |
| Cod | Rankin Cod |
| Cod | Temperate Basses \& Rockcods |
| Cod | Tomato Rockcod |
| Cod | Yellowspotted Rockcod |
| Coral Trout | Coral Trout |
| Emperor | Bluespotted Emperor |
| Emperor | Grass Emperor |
| Emperor | Longnose Emperor |
| Emperor | Redthroat Emperor |
| Emperor | Spangled Emperor |
| Emperor | Yellowtail Emperor |
| Flathead | Northern Sand Flathead |
| Flathead | Yellowtail Flathead |
| Giant Perch | Barramundi |
| Grunter | Western Sooty Grunter |
| Grunter Bream | Painted Sweetlips |
| Grunter Bream | Barred Javelin |
| Grunter Bream | Blotched Javelin |
| Grunter Bream | Grunter Bream |
| Gurnard | Gurnard |
| Jewfish | Black Jewfish |
| King Snapper | Goldband Snapper |
| Leatherjacket | Leatherjacket |
| Lizardfish | Lizardfish Grinners |
| Longtom | Longtom |
|  |  |


| Scientific Name | Kept | se | Released | se | Total | se | \% Rel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rachycentron canadum | 311 | 73 | 148 | 64 | 458 | 119 | 32\% |
| Chromileptes altivelis | 80 | 59 | 76 | 39 | 156 | 91 | 49\% |
| Epinephelus malabaricus | 654 | 247 | 3,305 | 986 | 3,958 | 1,045 | 83\% |
| Epinephelus fasciatus | 16 | 14 | 206 | 186 | 222 | 187 | 93\% |
| Epinephelus rivulatus | 246 | 116 | 231 | 92 | 477 | 183 | 48\% |
| Hyporthodus octofasciatus | 0 | 0 | 34 | 24 | 34 | 24 | 100\% |
| Epinephelus bilobatus | 0 | 0 | 46 | 31 | 46 | 31 | 100\% |
| Epinephelus coioides | 766 | 178 | 1,625 | 407 | 2,391 | 512 | 68\% |
| Epinephelus tukula | 9 | 8 | 84 | 37 | 93 | 43 | 90\% |
| Epinephelus lanceolatus | 0 | 0 | 38 | 27 | 38 | 27 | 100\% |
| Epinephelus multinotatus | 1,382 | 303 | 1,436 | 371 | 2,818 | 573 | 51\% |
| Percichthyidae, Serranidae - undiff | 137 | 63 | 1,243 | 566 | 1,380 | 575 | 90\% |
| Cephalopholis sonnerati | 0 | 0 | 47 | 31 | 47 | 31 | 100\% |
| Epinephelus areolatus | 49 | 23 | 601 | 374 | 650 | 375 | 92\% |
| Plectropomus maculatus \& leopardus | 2,377 | 402 | 2,991 | 1,245 | 5,368 | 1,428 | 56\% |
| Lethrinus punctulatus | 940 | 311 | 778 | 327 | 1,718 | 600 | 45\% |
| Lethrinus laticaudis | 3,820 | 1,005 | 5,853 | 1,780 | 9,673 | 2,504 | 61\% |
| Lethrinus olivaceus | 118 | 95 | 38 | 37 | 157 | 131 | 25\% |
| Lethrinus miniatus | 248 | 85 | 2,117 | 946 | 2,366 | 1,004 | 90\% |
| Lethrinus nebulosus | 1,822 | 448 | 5,026 | 1,474 | 6,849 | 1,652 | 73\% |
| Lethrinus atkinsoni | 13 | 13 | 121 | 113 | 134 | 126 | 90\% |
| Platycephalus endrachtensis | 118 | 101 | 43 | 29 | 161 | 105 | 27\% |
| Platycephalus westraliae | 73 | 32 | 184 | 83 | 257 | 90 | 72\% |
| Lates calcarifer | 1,425 | 294 | 3,412 | 1,462 | 4,837 | 1,651 | 71\% |
| Hephaestus jenkinsi | 197 | 178 | 1,134 | 800 | 1,331 | 839 | 85\% |
| Diagramma labiosum | 348 | 141 | 363 | 189 | 711 | 266 | 51\% |
| Pomadasys kaakan | 276 | 95 | 729 | 292 | 1,006 | 360 | 73\% |
| Pomadasys maculatus | 60 | 40 | 210 | 147 | 270 | 154 | 78\% |
| Haemulidae - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 29 | 28 | 29 | 28 | 100\% |
| Neosebastidae - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 100\% |
| Protonibea diacanthus | 227 | 62 | 769 | 293 | 996 | 312 | 77\% |
| Pristipomoides multidens | 118 | 75 | 92 | 69 | 210 | 115 | 44\% |
| Monacanthidae - undifferentiated | 5 | 5 | 8 | 7 | 14 | 8 | 60\% |
| Bathysauridae, Synodontidae - undiff | 253 | 168 | 918 | 715 | 1,171 | 801 | 78\% |
| Belonidae - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 197 | 118 | 197 | 118 | 100\% |


| Reporting Group | Common Name | Scientific Name | Kept | se | Released | se | Total | se | \% Rel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mackerel | Grey Mackerel | Scomberomorus semifasciatus | 12 | 8 | 65 | 44 | 76 | 45 | 84\% |
| Mackerel | School Mackerel | Scomberomorus queenslandicus | 439 | 133 | 287 | 120 | 726 | 192 | 39\% |
| Mackerel | Shark Mackerel | Grammatorcynus bicarinatus | 112 | 42 | 483 | 296 | 595 | 303 | 81\% |
| Mackerel | Spanish Mackerel | Scomberomorus commerson | 1,851 | 258 | 1,839 | 410 | 3,690 | 566 | 50\% |
| Mackerel | Spotted Mackerel | Scomberomorus munroi | 186 | 87 | 81 | 37 | 267 | 110 | 30\% |
| Mackerel | Wahoo | Acanthocybium solandri | 44 | 34 | 22 | 14 | 66 | 48 | 33\% |
| Mackerel | Other Mackerel \& Tuna | Scombridae - undifferentiated | 120 | 57 | 50 | 29 | 171 | 64 | 29\% |
| Mahi Mahi | Mahi Mahi | Coryphaena spp. | 0 | 0 | 27 | 25 | 27 | 25 | 100\% |
| Mullet | Bluetail Mullet | Valamugil buchanani | 191 | 166 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 166 | 0\% |
| Mullet | Sea Mullet | Mugil cephalus | 330 | 220 | 43 | 29 | 373 | 226 | 12\% |
| Mullet | Other Mullet | Mugilidae - undifferentiated | 500 | 344 | 309 | 193 | 809 | 533 | 38\% |
| Pearl Perch | Northern Pearl Perch | Glaucosoma buergeri | 95 | 73 | 415 | 159 | 510 | 208 | 81\% |
| Pike | Great Barracuda | Sphyraena barracuda | 8 | 7 | 608 | 229 | 616 | 229 | 99\% |
| Pike | Yellowtail Barracuda | Sphyraena obtusata | 340 | 223 | 77 | 67 | 417 | 233 | 18\% |
| Queenfish | Queenfish | Scomberoides spp. | 202 | 73 | 1,528 | 494 | 1,731 | 539 | 88\% |
| Small Baitfish | Herrings \& llishas | Clupeidae, Pristigasteridae - undiff | 737 | 632 | 197 | 142 | 933 | 647 | 21\% |
| Tailor | Tailor | Pomatomus saltatrix | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 100\% |
| Threadfin | Blue Threadfin | Eleutheronema tetradactylum | 2,051 | 424 | 1,228 | 334 | 3,278 | 631 | 37\% |
| Threadfin | King Threadfin | Polydactylus macrochir | 1,501 | 525 | 876 | 284 | 2,376 | 785 | 37\% |
| Threadfin Bream | Rosy Threadfin Bream | Nemipterus furcosus | 96 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 49 | 0\% |
| Trevally | Amberjack | Seriola dumerili | 0 | 0 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 100\% |
| Trevally | Bludger Trevally | Carangoides gymnostethus | 478 | 167 | 1,481 | 384 | 1,959 | 515 | 76\% |
| Trevally | Giant Trevally | Caranx ignobilis | 500 | 245 | 1,331 | 405 | 1,831 | 482 | 73\% |
| Trevally | Golden Trevally | Gnathanodon speciosus | 678 | 142 | 1,909 | 415 | 2,587 | 477 | 74\% |
| Trevally | Rainbow Runner | Elagatis bipinnulata | 27 | 25 | 27 | 25 | 54 | 50 | 50\% |
| Trevally | Turrum | Carangoides fulvoguttatus | 22 | 14 | 33 | 28 | 54 | 32 | 60\% |
| Trevally | Other Trevally | Carangidae - undifferentiated | 899 | 732 | 1,666 | 891 | 2,565 | 1,603 | 65\% |
| Tripletail | Tripletail | Lobotes surinamensis | 82 | 31 | 45 | 29 | 127 | 47 | 36\% |
| Tropical Snapper | Brownstripe Snapper | Lutjanus vitta | 16 | 14 | 410 | 356 | 426 | 370 | 96\% |
| Tropical Snapper | Chinamanfish | Symphorus nematophorus | 265 | 98 | 381 | 261 | 646 | 281 | 59\% |
| Tropical Snapper | Crimson Snapper | Lutjanus erythropterus | 841 | 184 | 1,558 | 444 | 2,398 | 568 | 65\% |
| Tropical Snapper | Darktail Snapper | Lutjanus lemniscatus | 58 | 40 | 84 | 54 | 142 | 73 | 59\% |
| Tropical Snapper | Golden Snapper | Lutjanus johnii | 2,133 | 575 | 2,403 | 699 | 4,535 | 1,220 | 53\% |
| Tropical Snapper | Mangrove Jack | Lutjanus argentimaculatus | 1,848 | 339 | 2,607 | 611 | 4,455 | 878 | 59\% |
| Tropical Snapper | Moses' Snapper | Lutjanus russellii | 220 | 71 | 768 | 504 | 987 | 540 | 78\% |


| Reporting Group | Common Name | Scientific Name | Kept | se | Released | se | Total | se | \% Rel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tropical Snapper | Red Emperor | Lutjanus sebae | 1,737 | 407 | 3,170 | 1,066 | 4,906 | 1,418 | 65\% |
| Tropical Snapper | Ruby Snapper | Etelis carbunculus | 230 | 148 | 44 | 30 | 273 | 177 | 16\% |
| Tropical Snapper | Saddletail Snapper | Lutjanus malabaricus | 612 | 173 | 886 | 550 | 1,498 | 630 | 59\% |
| Tropical Snapper | Stripey Snapper | Lutjanus carponotatus | 3,270 | 1,205 | 8,210 | 1,977 | 11,480 | 3,028 | 72\% |
| Tuna | Dogtooth Tuna | Gymnosarda unicolor | 0 | 0 | 30 | 19 | 30 | 19 | 100\% |
| Tuna | Longtail Tuna | Thunnus tonggol | 250 | 93 | 400 | 170 | 651 | 205 | 62\% |
| Tuna | Mackerel Tuna | Euthynnus affinis | 304 | 101 | 370 | 141 | 674 | 189 | 55\% |
| Tuna | Southern Bluefin Tuna | Thunnus maccoyii | 83 | 40 | 23 | 16 | 106 | 45 | 22\% |
| Tuna | Yellowfin Tuna | Thunnus albacares | 8 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 14 | 9 | 40\% |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Blackspot Tuskfish | Choerodon schoenleinii | 1,158 | 279 | 1,530 | 439 | 2,687 | 599 | 57\% |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Blue Tuskfish | Choerodon cyanodus | 1,162 | 291 | 2,700 | 752 | 3,862 | 920 | 70\% |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Bluebarred Parrotfish | Scarus ghobban spp. complex | 0 | 0 | 34 | 19 | 34 | 19 | 100\% |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Bluespotted Tuskfish | Choerodon cauteroma | 6 | 6 | 50 | 44 | 57 | 45 | 89\% |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Humphead Maori Wrasse | Cheilinus undulatus | 37 | 36 | 18 | 18 | 55 | 53 | 33\% |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Purple Tuskfish | Choerodon cephalotes | 119 | 61 | 263 | 139 | 383 | 173 | 69\% |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Other Parrotfish | Scaridae - undifferentiated | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 13 | 0\% |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Other Wrasse | Labridae - undifferentiated | 8 | 8 | 78 | 63 | 86 | 64 | 90\% |
| Whiting | Goldenline Whiting | Sillago analis | 178 | 106 | 199 | 144 | 377 | 243 | 53\% |
| Finfish Other | Archerfishes | Toxotidae - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 25 | 21 | 25 | 21 | 100\% |
| Finfish Other | Butterfish | Stromateidae - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 128 | 124 | 128 | 124 | 100\% |
| Finfish Other | Other Eel | Order Anguilliformes - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 90 | 72 | 90 | 72 | 100\% |
| Finfish Other | Moonfish Batfish | Ephippidae, Drepaneidae - undifferentiated | 27 | 25 | 147 | 70 | 174 | 74 | 85\% |
| Finfish Other | Silver Toadfish | Lagocephalus sceleratus | 0 | 0 | 55 | 29 | 55 | 29 | 100\% |
| Finfish Other | Other Toadfish | Tetraodontidae - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 27 | 25 | 27 | 25 | 100\% |

Table 9. Estimated annual catch (total, kept and released numbers) and proportion released in the Gascoyne Coast bioregion during 2015/16 by RFBL holders aged five years or older (se is standard error; values in bold indicate relative standard error $>40 \%$ (i.e. se $>40 \%$ of estimate); values in italics indicate $<30$ respondents recorded catches of the species).

| Reporting Group | Common Name | Scientific Name | Kept | se | Released | se | Total | se | \% Rel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cephalopod | Octopus | Octopodidae - undifferentiated | 108 | 77 | 29 | 21 | 137 | 84 | 21\% |
| Cephalopod | Squid | Order Teuthoidea - undifferentiated | 6,559 | 1,474 | 179 | 103 | 6,738 | 1,525 | 3\% |
| Lobster | Western Rock Lobster | Panulirus cygnus | 1,719 | 569 | 728 | 363 | 2,448 | 805 | 30\% |
| Lobster | Painted Rock Lobster | Panulirus versicolor | 146 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 85 | 0\% |
| Lobster | Ornate Rock Lobster | Panulirus ornatus | 22 | 18 | 9 | 8 | 31 | 20 | 29\% |
| Crab | Blue Swimmer Crab | Portunus armatus | 5,379 | 1,600 | 4,818 | 1,556 | 10,197 | 2,938 | 47\% |
| Crab | Green Mud Crab | Scylla serrata | 11 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 9 | 0\% |
| Crab | Brown Mud Crab | Scylla olivacea | 41 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 26 | 0\% |
| Sharks | Blacktip Reef Shark | Carcharhinus melanopterus | 102 | 59 | 414 | 154 | 516 | 177 | 80\% |
| Sharks | Dusky Whaler | Carcharhinus obscurus | 177 | 86 | 384 | 142 | 561 | 194 | 69\% |
| Sharks | Greynurse Shark | Carcharias taurus | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 100\% |
| Sharks | Gummy Sharks | Mustelus antarcticus \& stevensi | 0 | 0 | 178 | 131 | 178 | 131 | 100\% |
| Sharks | Hammerhead Shark | Sphyrnidae - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 16 | 13 | 16 | 13 | 100\% |
| Sharks | Lemon Shark | Negaprion acutidens | 0 | 0 | 50 | 27 | 50 | 27 | 100\% |
| Sharks | Sandbar Shark | Carcharhinus plumbeus | 0 | 0 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 100\% |
| Sharks | Tiger Shark | Galeocerdo cuvier | 0 | 0 | 98 | 56 | 98 | 56 | 100\% |
| Sharks | Whitetip Reef Shark | Triaenodon obesus | 30 | 22 | 307 | 224 | 337 | 226 | 91\% |
| Sharks | Wobbegong | Orectolobidae - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 80 | 42 | 80 | 42 | 100\% |
| Sharks | Other Whaler | Carcharhinidae, Hemigaleidae - undiff | 65 | 34 | 242 | 177 | 308 | 184 | 79\% |
| Sharks | Other Shark | Sharks - undifferentiated | 169 | 94 | 1,009 | 369 | 1,178 | 401 | 86\% |
| Rays | Other Rays Skates | Order Rajiformes - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 77 | 59 | 77 | 59 | 100\% |
| Billfish | Black Marlin | Makaira indica | 39 | 37 | 462 | 198 | 501 | 201 | 92\% |
| Billfish | Blue Marlin | Makaira nigricans | 0 | 0 | 102 | 42 | 102 | 42 | 100\% |
| Billfish | Sailfish | Istiophorus platypterus | 61 | 40 | 180 | 76 | 241 | 110 | 75\% |
| Billfish | Striped Marlin | Tetrapturus audax | 0 | 0 | 21 | 19 | 21 | 19 | 100\% |
| Bonito | Bonito | Sarda australis \& Cybiosarda elegans | 19 | 18 | 81 | 60 | 100 | 63 | 81\% |
| Bonito | Oriental Bonito | Sarda orientalis | 19 | 19 | 25 | 24 | 44 | 30 | 56\% |
| Bream | Frypan Bream | Argyrops spinifer | 41 | 24 | 96 | 56 | 137 | 71 | 70\% |
| Bream | Pink Snapper | Chrysophrys auratus | 12,448 | 1,931 | 41,491 | 7,128 | 53,940 | 8,414 | 77\% |
| Bream | Western Yellowfin Bream | Acanthopagrus morrisoni | 232 | 149 | 644 | 300 | 876 | 336 | 74\% |
| Bream | Other Bream | Sparidae - undifferentiated | 25 | 23 | 49 | 45 | 74 | 68 | 67\% |
| Catfish | Eeltail Catfishes | Plotosidae - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 31 | 25 | 31 | 25 | 100\% |


| Reporting Group | Common Name |
| :---: | :---: |
| Catfish | Giant Sea Catfish |
| Catfish | Other Catfish |
| Cobia | Cobia |
| Cod | Blackspotted Rockcod |
| Cod | Breaksea Cod |
| Cod | Chinaman Rockcod |
| Cod | Eightbar Grouper |
| Cod | Frostback Rockcod |
| Cod | Goldspotted Rockcod |
| Cod | Potato Rockcod |
| Cod | Queensland Grouper |
| Cod | Rankin Cod |
| Cod | Temperate Basses \& Rockcods |
| Cod | Yellowspotted Rockcod |
| Coral Trout | Coral Trout |
| Coral Trout | Yellowedge Coronation Trout |
| Emperor | Bluespotted Emperor |
| Emperor | Grass Emperor |
| Emperor | Longnose Emperor |
| Emperor | Redspot Emperor |
| Emperor | Redthroat Emperor |
| Emperor | Robinsons' Seabream |
| Emperor | Spangled Emperor |
| Emperor | Yellowtail Emperor |
| Emperor | Other Emperor |
| Flathead | Northern Sand Flathead |
| Flathead | Yellowtail Flathead |
| Garfish | Three-by-two Garfish |
| Garfish | Other Garfish |
| Grunter | Western Striped Grunter |
| Grunter Bream | Painted Sweetlips |
| Grunter Bream | Barred Javelin |
| Grunter Bream | Grunter Bream |
| Gurnard | Gurnard |
| Jewfish | Mulloway |


| Scientific Name | Kept | se | Released | se | Total | se | \% Rel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Netuma thalassina | 0 | 0 | 80 | 58 | 80 | 58 | 100\% |
| Ariidae - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 36 | 28 | 36 | 28 | 100\% |
| Rachycentron canadum | 1,237 | 268 | 509 | 218 | 1,746 | 394 | 29\% |
| Epinephelus malabaricus | 589 | 134 | 559 | 210 | 1,148 | 280 | 49\% |
| Epinephelides armatus | 343 | 177 | 490 | 221 | 833 | 336 | 59\% |
| Epinephelus rivulatus | 5,127 | 2,031 | 11,274 | 4,556 | 16,401 | 6,228 | 69\% |
| Hyporthodus octofasciatus | 286 | 126 | 22 | 18 | 308 | 135 | 7\% |
| Epinephelus bilobatus | 11 | 9 | 49 | 38 | 60 | 39 | 82\% |
| Epinephelus coioides | 1,802 | 600 | 674 | 196 | 2,476 | 670 | 27\% |
| Epinephelus tukula | 37 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 36 | 0\% |
| Epinephelus lanceolatus | 55 | 53 | 28 | 19 | 83 | 57 | 34\% |
| Epinephelus multinotatus | 2,937 | 422 | 692 | 186 | 3,629 | 492 | 19\% |
| Percichthyidae, Serranidae - undiff | 325 | 114 | 264 | 111 | 590 | 160 | 45\% |
| Epinephelus areolatus | 411 | 143 | 1,232 | 624 | 1,643 | 649 | 75\% |
| Plectropomus maculatus \& leopardus | 1,138 | 190 | 512 | 140 | 1,650 | 248 | 31\% |
| Variola louti | 122 | 49 | 145 | 113 | 267 | 123 | 54\% |
| Lethrinus punctulatus | 771 | 320 | 1,048 | 384 | 1,819 | 647 | 58\% |
| Lethrinus laticaudis | 5,839 | 1,140 | 9,872 | 2,832 | 15,711 | 3,459 | 63\% |
| Lethrinus olivaceus | 293 | 238 | 93 | 60 | 386 | 294 | 24\% |
| Lethrinus lentjan | 12 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 15 | 12 | 18\% |
| Lethrinus miniatus | 3,536 | 1,089 | 4,212 | 1,306 | 7,748 | 2,319 | 54\% |
| Gymnocranius grandoculis | 664 | 186 | 208 | 184 | 871 | 286 | 24\% |
| Lethrinus nebulosus | 6,158 | 986 | 7,512 | 1,326 | 13,671 | 2,042 | 55\% |
| Lethrinus atkinsoni | 58 | 56 | 223 | 141 | 280 | 194 | 79\% |
| Lethrinidae - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 100\% |
| Platycephalus endrachtensis | 38 | 24 | 62 | 42 | 100 | 48 | 62\% |
| Platycephalus westraliae | 117 | 57 | 12 | 8 | 129 | 57 | 9\% |
| Hemiramphus robustus | 33 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 28 | 0\% |
| Hemiramphidae - undifferentiated | 212 | 204 | 0 | 0 | 212 | 204 | 0\% |
| Pelates octolineatus | 85 | 68 | 11 | 9 | 96 | 70 | 11\% |
| Diagramma labiosum | 149 | 76 | 462 | 160 | 611 | 198 | 76\% |
| Pomadasys kaakan | 0 | 0 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 100\% |
| Haemulidae - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 25 | 24 | 25 | 24 | 100\% |
| Neosebastidae - undifferentiated | 38 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 37 | 0\% |
| Argyrosomus japonicus | 433 | 173 | 611 | 278 | 1,044 | 426 | 58\% |


| Reporting Group | Common Name | Scientific Name | Kept | se | Released | se | Total | se | \% Rel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| King Snapper | Goldband Snapper | Pristipomoides multidens | 3,597 | 965 | 922 | 741 | 4,519 | 1,558 | 20\% |
| King Snapper | Rosy Snapper | Pristipomoides filamentosus | 253 | 158 | 13 | 12 | 266 | 169 | 5\% |
| King Snapper | Sharptooth Snapper | Pristipomoides typus | 282 | 241 | 66 | 63 | 348 | 249 | 19\% |
| Leatherjacket | Leatherjacket | Monacanthidae - undifferentiated | 5 | 5 | 934 | 538 | 940 | 538 | 99\% |
| Lizardfish | Lizardfish Grinners | Bathysauridae, Synodontidae - undiff | 196 | 132 | 254 | 129 | 450 | 184 | 56\% |
| Longtom | Longtom | Belonidae - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 100\% |
| Mackerel | Blue Mackerel | Scomber australasicus | 77 | 74 | 731 | 706 | 808 | 780 | 90\% |
| Mackerel | Grey Mackerel | Scomberomorus semifasciatus | 67 | 65 | 29 | 28 | 96 | 93 | 30\% |
| Mackerel | School Mackerel | Scomberomorus queenslandicus | 1,259 | 538 | 2,310 | 1,593 | 3,569 | 2,090 | 65\% |
| Mackerel | Shark Mackerel | Grammatorcynus bicarinatus | 239 | 75 | 465 | 154 | 704 | 182 | 66\% |
| Mackerel | Spanish Mackerel | Scomberomorus commerson | 2,233 | 354 | 1,546 | 504 | 3,779 | 767 | 41\% |
| Mackerel | Spotted Mackerel | Scomberomorus munroi | 151 | 87 | 222 | 104 | 374 | 155 | 59\% |
| Mackerel | Wahoo | Acanthocybium solandri | 182 | 87 | 39 | 37 | 221 | 97 | 17\% |
| Mackerel | Other Mackerel \& Tuna | Scombridae - undifferentiated | 95 | 49 | 95 | 89 | 191 | 116 | 50\% |
| Mahi Mahi | Mahi Mahi | Coryphaena spp. | 278 | 101 | 26 | 25 | 304 | 110 | 8\% |
| Mullet | Bluetail Mullet | Valamugil buchanani | 16 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 14 | 0\% |
| Mullet | Greenback Mullet | Liza subviridis | 68 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 57 | 0\% |
| Mullet | Sea Mullet | Mugil cephalus | 894 | 317 | 0 | 0 | 894 | 317 | 0\% |
| Mullet | Other Mullet | Mugilidae - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 69 | 55 | 69 | 55 | 100\% |
| Pearl Perch | Northern Pearl Perch | Glaucosoma buergeri | 586 | 194 | 125 | 84 | 711 | 211 | 18\% |
| Pearl Perch | West Australian Dhufish | Glaucosoma hebraicum | 19 | 19 | 58 | 56 | 77 | 74 | 75\% |
| Pike | Great Barracuda | Sphyraena barracuda | 0 | 0 | 61 | 33 | 61 | 33 | 100\% |
| Pike | Yellowtail Barracuda | Sphyraena obtusata | 159 | 75 | 148 | 82 | 308 | 112 | 48\% |
| Pike | Other Pike | Sphyraenidae - undifferentiated | 8 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 0\% |
| Queenfish | Queenfish | Scomberoides spp. | 0 | 0 | 124 | 83 | 124 | 83 | 100\% |
| Sergeant Baker | Sergeant Baker | Latropiscis purpurissatus | 128 | 102 | 15 | 15 | 144 | 103 | 11\% |
| Small Baitfish | Herrings \& llishas | Clupeidae, Pristigasteridae - undiff | 46 | 45 | 82 | 62 | 128 | 76 | 64\% |
| Tailor | Tailor | Pomatomus saltatrix | 422 | 357 | 205 | 119 | 627 | 466 | 33\% |
| Threadfin | Blue Threadfin | Eleutheronema tetradactylum | 11 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 9 | 0\% |
| Threadfin Bream | Rosy Threadfin Bream | Nemipterus furcosus | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 100\% |
| Threadfin Bream | Western Butterfish | Pentapodus vitta | 1,318 | 788 | 2,005 | 1,176 | 3,322 | 1,415 | 60\% |
| Trevalla | Blue-Eye Trevalla | Hyperoglyphe antarctica | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 100\% |
| Trevally | Amberjack | Seriola dumerili | 47 | 24 | 39 | 37 | 86 | 44 | 45\% |
| Trevally | Bludger Trevally | Carangoides gymnostethus | 124 | 81 | 495 | 408 | 619 | 416 | 80\% |
| Trevally | Common Dart | Trachinotus botla | 0 | 0 | 38 | 37 | 38 | 37 | 100\% |


| Reporting Group | Common Name | Scientific Name | Kept | se | Released | se | Total | se | \% Rel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trevally | Giant Trevally | Caranx ignobilis | 13 | 12 | 511 | 165 | 524 | 165 | 98\% |
| Trevally | Golden Trevally | Gnathanodon speciosus | 502 | 117 | 916 | 274 | 1,418 | 341 | 65\% |
| Trevally | Rainbow Runner | Elagatis bipinnulata | 0 | 0 | 64 | 53 | 64 | 53 | 100\% |
| Trevally | Samsonfish | Seriola hippos | 10 | 9 | 19 | 15 | 29 | 18 | 66\% |
| Trevally | Silver Trevally | Pseudocaranx spp. complex | 289 | 103 | 892 | 304 | 1,181 | 378 | 76\% |
| Trevally | Turrum | Carangoides fulvoguttatus | 55 | 53 | 84 | 68 | 139 | 86 | 60\% |
| Trevally | Yellowtail Kingfish | Seriola lalandi | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 19 | 0\% |
| Trevally | Yellowtail Scad | Trachurus novaezelandiae | 0 | 0 | 193 | 163 | 193 | 163 | 100\% |
| Trevally | Other Trevally | Carangidae - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 29 | 28 | 29 | 28 | 100\% |
| Tropical Snapper | Brownstripe Snapper | Lutjanus vitta | 11 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 9 | 0\% |
| Tropical Snapper | Chinamanfish | Symphorus nematophorus | 36 | 20 | 191 | 99 | 227 | 108 | 84\% |
| Tropical Snapper | Crimson Snapper | Lutjanus erythropterus | 187 | 83 | 116 | 71 | 303 | 118 | 38\% |
| Tropical Snapper | Darktail Snapper | Lutjanus lemniscatus | 11 | 9 | 14 | 12 | 25 | 21 | 57\% |
| Tropical Snapper | Flame Snapper | Etelis coruscans | 0 | 0 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 100\% |
| Tropical Snapper | Mangrove Jack | Lutjanus argentimaculatus | 470 | 275 | 128 | 62 | 598 | 288 | 21\% |
| Tropical Snapper | Moses' Snapper | Lutjanus russellii | 124 | 56 | 195 | 93 | 319 | 110 | 61\% |
| Tropical Snapper | Red Emperor | Lutjanus sebae | 3,886 | 803 | 2,537 | 721 | 6,422 | 1,385 | 39\% |
| Tropical Snapper | Ruby Snapper | Etelis carbunculus | 800 | 251 | 49 | 30 | 849 | 271 | 6\% |
| Tropical Snapper | Saddletail Snapper | Lutjanus malabaricus | 168 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 116 | 0\% |
| Tropical Snapper | Stripey Snapper | Lutjanus carponotatus | 1,689 | 535 | 3,518 | 1,156 | 5,207 | 1,365 | 68\% |
| Tropical Snapper | Other Snapper | Lutjanidae - undifferentiated | 208 | 98 | 357 | 117 | 565 | 171 | 63\% |
| Tuna | Longtail Tuna | Thunnus tonggol | 195 | 94 | 63 | 31 | 258 | 100 | 24\% |
| Tuna | Mackerel Tuna | Euthynnus affinis | 123 | 50 | 290 | 113 | 414 | 129 | 70\% |
| Tuna | Skipjack Tuna | Katsuwonus pelamis | 93 | 60 | 19 | 19 | 112 | 65 | 17\% |
| Tuna | Southern Bluefin Tuna | Thunnus maccoyii | 77 | 41 | 41 | 36 | 118 | 63 | 35\% |
| Tuna | Yellowfin Tuna | Thunnus albacares | 230 | 67 | 82 | 41 | 312 | 83 | 26\% |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Baldchin Groper | Choerodon rubescens | 2,096 | 367 | 2,381 | 1,027 | 4,478 | 1,185 | 53\% |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Blackspot Tuskfish | Choerodon schoenleinii | 427 | 141 | 1,056 | 440 | 1,482 | 486 | 71\% |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Blue Tuskfish | Choerodon cyanodus | 400 | 135 | 116 | 64 | 516 | 158 | 22\% |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Bluebarred Parrotfish | Scarus ghobban spp. complex | 10 | 9 | 195 | 114 | 204 | 114 | 95\% |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Bluespotted Tuskfish | Choerodon cauteroma | 0 | 0 | 33 | 28 | 33 | 28 | 100\% |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Brownspotted Wrasse | Notolabrus parilus | 69 | 44 | 214 | 154 | 282 | 195 | 76\% |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Goldspot Pigfish | Bodianus perditio | 42 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 21 | 0\% |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Purple Tuskfish | Choerodon cephalotes | 63 | 41 | 676 | 590 | 739 | 593 | 91\% |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Western King Wrasse | Coris auricularis | 0 | 0 | 170 | 96 | 170 | 96 | 100\% |

Reporting Group
Tuskfish Wrasse
Tuskfish Wrasse
Tuskfish Wrasse
Whiting
Whiting
Whiting
Wreckfish
Finfish Other
Finfish Other
Finfish Other
Finfish Other

Common Name
Other Parrotfish
Other Tuskfish
Other Wrasse School Whiting Western Trumpeter Whiting Other Whiting
Bass Groper
Other Eel
Silver Toadfish
Weeping Toadfish
Other Toadfish

Scientific Name
Scaridae - undifferentiated
Choerodon spp.
Labridae - undifferentiated
Sillago schomburgkii, bassensis \& vittata
Sillago burrus
Sillaginidae - undifferentiated
Polyprion americanus
Order Anguilliformes - undifferentiated
Lagocephalus sceleratus
Torquigener pleurogramma
Tetraodontidae - undifferentiated

| Kept | se | Released | se | Total | se | \% Rel |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 96 | 93 | 13 | 12 | 109 | 94 | $12 \%$ |
| 31 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 25 | $0 \%$ |
| 30 | 29 | 30 | 29 | 59 | 58 | $50 \%$ |
| 2,808 | 1,274 | 620 | 453 | 3,428 | 1,378 | $18 \%$ |
| 0 | 0 | 148 | 88 | 148 | 88 | $100 \%$ |
| 0 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 | $100 \%$ |
| 13 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 20 | 14 | $34 \%$ |
| 0 | 0 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | $100 \%$ |
| 0 | 0 | 668 | 257 | 668 | 257 | $100 \%$ |
| 0 | 0 | 195 | 108 | 195 | 108 | $100 \%$ |
| 0 | 0 | 941 | 332 | 941 | 332 | $100 \%$ |

Table 10. Estimated annual catch (total, kept and released numbers) and proportion released in the West Coast bioregion during 2015/16 by RFBL holders aged five years or older (se is standard error; values in bold indicate relative standard error $>40 \%$ (i.e. se $>40 \%$ of estimate); values in italics indicate $<30$ respondents recorded catches of the species).

| Reporting Group | Common Name | Scientific Name | Kept | se | Released | se | Total | se | \% Rel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abalone | Roe's Abalone | Haliotis roei | 3,362 | 1,459 | 12 | 12 | 3,374 | 1,459 | 0\% |
| Abalone | Greenlip Abalone | Haliotis laevigata | 847 | 592 | 0 | 0 | 847 | 592 | 0\% |
| Cephalopod | Cuttlefish | Sepia spp. | 1,803 | 327 | 676 | 197 | 2,480 | 391 | 27\% |
| Cephalopod | Octopus | Octopodidae - undifferentiated | 1,026 | 251 | 192 | 63 | 1,217 | 263 | 16\% |
| Cephalopod | Squid | Order Teuthoidea - undifferentiated | 48,555 | 5,653 | 2,227 | 815 | 50,782 | 5,900 | 4\% |
| Lobster | Western Rock Lobster | Panulirus cygnus | 248,618 | 20,195 | 136,392 | 15,972 | 385,010 | 33,318 | 35\% |
| Lobster | Southern Rock Lobster | Jasus edwardsii | 539 | 325 | 38 | 37 | 577 | 335 | 7\% |
| Crab | Blue Swimmer Crab | Portunus armatus | 181,709 | 14,924 | 468,608 | 39,479 | 650,317 | 51,402 | 72\% |
| Crab | Green Mud Crab | Scylla serrata | 1,353 | 714 | 3,497 | 1,768 | 4,849 | 2,283 | 72\% |
| Crab | Brown Mud Crab | Scylla olivacea | 108 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 108 | 88 | 0\% |
| Sharks | Blacktip Reef Shark | Carcharhinus melanopterus | 105 | 49 | 333 | 165 | 438 | 176 | 76\% |
| Sharks | Bronze Whaler | Carcharhinus brachyurus | 309 | 84 | 843 | 229 | 1,151 | 248 | 73\% |
| Sharks | Greynurse Shark | Carcharias taurus | 0 | 0 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 100\% |
| Sharks | Gummy Sharks | Mustelus antarcticus \& stevensi | 435 | 105 | 177 | 70 | 612 | 132 | 29\% |
| Sharks | Hammerhead Shark | Sphyrnidae - undifferentiated | 40 | 32 | 71 | 34 | 111 | 47 | 64\% |
| Sharks | Lemon Shark | Negaprion acutidens | 0 | 0 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 100\% |
| Sharks | Port Jackson Shark | Heterodontus portusjacksoni | 37 | 36 | 886 | 200 | 923 | 203 | 96\% |
| Sharks | Sandbar Shark | Carcharhinus plumbeus | 0 | 0 | 49 | 34 | 49 | 34 | 100\% |
| Sharks | Tiger Shark | Galeocerdo cuvier | 0 | 0 | 70 | 43 | 70 | 43 | 100\% |
| Sharks | Whiskery Shark | Furgaleus macki | 168 | 61 | 199 | 100 | 367 | 143 | 54\% |
| Sharks | Wobbegong | Orectolobidae - undifferentiated | 87 | 35 | 462 | 156 | 548 | 160 | 84\% |
| Sharks | Other Whaler | Carcharhinidae, Hemigaleidae - undiff | 0 | 0 | 58 | 55 | 58 | 55 | 100\% |
| Sharks | Other Shark | Sharks - undifferentiated | 220 | 120 | 599 | 178 | 819 | 215 | 73\% |
| Rays | Western Shovelnose Ray | Aptychotrema vincentiana | 0 | 0 | 231 | 71 | 231 | 71 | 100\% |
| Rays | Other Rays Skates | Order Rajiformes - undifferentiated | 38 | 37 | 2,024 | 354 | 2,063 | 356 | 98\% |
| Bonito | Bonito | Sarda australis \& Cybiosarda elegans | 31 | 17 | 71 | 53 | 101 | 60 | 70\% |
| Bonito | Oriental Bonito | Sarda orientalis | 51 | 39 | 77 | 74 | 128 | 84 | 60\% |
| Bream | Black Bream | Acanthopagrus butcheri | 4,824 | 1,598 | 21,754 | 5,102 | 26,578 | 5,763 | 82\% |
| Bream | Frypan Bream | Argyrops spinifer | 0 | 0 | 39 | 37 | 39 | 37 | 100\% |
| Bream | Pink Snapper | Chrysophrys auratus | 13,201 | 1,151 | 37,539 | 4,224 | 50,741 | 4,948 | 74\% |
| Bream | Tarwhine | Rhabdosargus sarba | 1,273 | 470 | 5,043 | 1,263 | 6,316 | 1,457 | 80\% |
| Bream | Other Bream | Sparidae - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 45 | 31 | 45 | 31 | 100\% |


| Reporting Group | Common Name |
| :---: | :---: |
| Catfish | Estuary Cobbler |
| Catfish | Giant Sea Catfish |
| Catfish | Other Catfish |
| Cobia | Cobia |
| Cod | Blackspotted Rockcod |
| Cod | Breaksea Cod |
| Cod | Chinaman Rockcod |
| Cod | Eightbar Grouper |
| Cod | Goldspotted Rockcod |
| Cod | Harlequin Fish |
| Cod | Rankin Cod |
| Cod | Temperate Basses \& Rockcods |
| Coral Trout | Coral Trout |
| Coral Trout | Yellowedge Coronation Trout |
| Emperor | Redthroat Emperor |
| Emperor | Robinsons' Seabream |
| Emperor | Spangled Emperor |
| Emperor | Yellowtail Emperor |
| Emperor | Other Emperor |
| Flathead | Southern Bluespotted Flathead |
| Flathead | Yellowtail Flathead |
| Flounder | Smalltooth Flounder |
| Flounder | Other Flatfish |
| Garfish | Southern Garfish |
| Garfish | Three-by-two Garfish |
| Giant Perch | Sand Bass |
| Goatfish | Bluespotted Goatfish |
| Grunter | Sea Trumpeter |
| Grunter | Western Striped Grunter |
| Grunter | Striped Grunter |
| Grunter Bream | Painted Sweetlips |
| Gurnard | Bighead Gurnard Perch |
| Gurnard | Gurnard |
| Jewfish | Mulloway |
| Leatherjacket | Horseshoe Leatherjacket |

Scientific Name
Cnidoglanis macrocephalus
Netuma thalassina
Ariidae - undifferentiated
Rachycentron canadum
Epinephelus malabaricus
Epinephelides armatus
Epinephelus rivulatus
Hyporthodus octofasciatus
Epinephelus coioides
Othos dentex
Epinephelus multinotatus
Percichthyidae, Serranidae - undiff
Plectropomus maculatus \& leopardus
Variola louti
Lethrinus miniatus
Gymnocranius grandoculis
Lethrinus nebulosus
Lethrinus atkinsoni
Lethrinidae - undifferentiated
Platycephalus speculator
Platycephalus westraliae
Pseudorhombus jenynsii
Bothidae, Psettodidae \& Pleuronectidae
Hyporhamphus melanochir
Hemiramphus robustus
Psammoperca waigiensis
Upeneichthys vlamingii
Pelsartia humeralis
Pelates octolineatus
Terapontidae - undifferentiated
Diagramma labiosum
Neosebastes pandus
Neosebastidae - undifferentiated
Argyrosomus japonicus
Meuschenia hippocrepis
Her
Eer

| Kept | se | Released | se | Total | se | \% Rel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49 | 34 | 73 | 56 | 122 | 66 | 60\% |
| 19 | 19 | 67 | 56 | 86 | 59 | 78\% |
| 193 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 193 | 185 | 0\% |
| 96 | 46 | 59 | 41 | 156 | 61 | 38\% |
| 294 | 86 | 1,067 | 310 | 1,361 | 325 | 78\% |
| 10,077 | 888 | 8,462 | 1,259 | 18,539 | 1,865 | 46\% |
| 719 | 251 | 1,689 | 473 | 2,408 | 557 | 70\% |
| 322 | 185 | 19 | 19 | 342 | 186 | 6\% |
| 129 | 57 | 586 | 185 | 715 | 203 | 82\% |
| 1,325 | 205 | 411 | 112 | 1,737 | 237 | 24\% |
| 160 | 75 | 223 | 98 | 384 | 147 | 58\% |
| 204 | 80 | 882 | 334 | 1,086 | 358 | 81\% |
| 1,313 | 305 | 998 | 312 | 2,311 | 534 | 43\% |
| 19 | 19 | 100 | 70 | 119 | 81 | 84\% |
| 1,628 | 384 | 4,113 | 1,574 | 5,741 | 1,871 | 72\% |
| 38 | 37 | 32 | 22 | 71 | 53 | 45\% |
| 329 | 87 | 693 | 250 | 1,022 | 287 | 68\% |
| 58 | 56 | 87 | 59 | 144 | 82 | 60\% |
| 515 | 175 | 1,289 | 513 | 1,804 | 617 | 71\% |
| 3,524 | 1,062 | 26,997 | 8,202 | 30,521 | 8,645 | 88\% |
| 1,269 | 460 | 6,482 | 2,199 | 7,752 | 2,355 | 84\% |
| 270 | 86 | 154 | 72 | 424 | 113 | 36\% |
| 116 | 52 | 44 | 29 | 160 | 60 | 27\% |
| 1,994 | 992 | 221 | 125 | 2,215 | 1,008 | 10\% |
| 0 | 0 | 58 | 56 | 58 | 56 | 100\% |
| 0 | 0 | 77 | 52 | 77 | 52 | 100\% |
| 454 | 201 | 1,460 | 469 | 1,913 | 570 | 76\% |
| 700 | 433 | 7,748 | 3,358 | 8,449 | 3,393 | 92\% |
| 549 | 386 | 5,655 | 1,720 | 6,204 | 1,831 | 91\% |
| 0 | 0 | 376 | 162 | 376 | 162 | 100\% |
| 236 | 73 | 192 | 129 | 428 | 152 | 45\% |
| 381 | 171 | 2,177 | 598 | 2,559 | 632 | 85\% |
| 197 | 83 | 3,429 | 769 | 3,627 | 780 | 95\% |
| 264 | 113 | 339 | 143 | 602 | 204 | 56\% |
| 160 | 66 | 467 | 182 | 627 | 214 | 74\% |


| Reporting Group | Common Name |
| :--- | :--- |
| Leatherjacket | Sixspine Leatherjacket |
| Leatherjacket | Leatherjacket |
| Mackerel | Blue Mackerel |
| Mackerel | Grey Mackerel |
| Mackerel | School Mackerel |
| Mackerel | Shark Mackerel |
| Mackerel | Spanish Mackerel |
| Mackerel | Spotted Mackerel |
| Mackerel | Other Mackerel \& Tuna |
| Mahi Mahi | Mahi Mahi |
| Morwong | Blue Morwong |
| Morwong | Dusky Morwong |
| Mullet | Sea Mullet |
| Mullet | Yelloweye Mullet |
| Mullet | Other Mullet |
| Pearl Perch | West Australian Dhufish |
| Pike | Great Barracuda |
| Pike | Snook |
| Pike | Common Dart |
| Pike | Yellowtail Barracuda |
| Redfish | Other Pike |
| Redfish | Bight Redfish |
| Redfish | Swallowtail |
| Salmon Herring | Yelloweye Redfish |
| Salmon Herring | Australian Herring |
| Sergeant Baker | Western Australian Salmon |
| Small Baitfish | Sergeant Baker |
| Sweep | Herrings \& llishas |
| Sweep | Sanded Sweep |
| Tailor | Sea Sweep |
| Trevally | Treadfin Bream |
| Trevalla | Cevally |


| Scientific Name | Kept | se | Released | se | Total | se | \% Rel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meuschenia freycineti | 38 | 37 | 125 | 53 | 164 | 70 | 76\% |
| Monacanthidae - undifferentiated | 420 | 145 | 802 | 194 | 1,222 | 252 | 66\% |
| Scomber australasicus | 0 | 0 | 58 | 42 | 58 | 42 | 100\% |
| Scomberomorus semifasciatus | 19 | 19 | 38 | 37 | 58 | 56 | 67\% |
| Scomberomorus queenslandicus | 154 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 154 | 127 | 0\% |
| Grammatorcynus bicarinatus | 119 | 48 | 50 | 30 | 170 | 56 | 30\% |
| Scomberomorus commerson | 704 | 243 | 130 | 70 | 833 | 295 | 16\% |
| Scomberomorus munroi | 13 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 12 | 0\% |
| Scombridae - undifferentiated | 147 | 86 | 32 | 22 | 180 | 89 | 18\% |
| Coryphaena spp. | 185 | 99 | 19 | 19 | 204 | 111 | 9\% |
| Nemadactylus valenciennesi | 2,014 | 375 | 576 | 220 | 2,589 | 448 | 22\% |
| Dactylophora nigricans | 49 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 34 | 0\% |
| Mugil cephalus | 2,690 | 1,425 | 37 | 36 | 2,726 | 1,426 | 1\% |
| Aldrichetta forsteri | 7,292 | 6,056 | 0 | 0 | 7,292 | 6,056 | 0\% |
| Mugilidae - undifferentiated | 2,301 | 2,133 | 0 | 0 | 2,301 | 2,133 | 0\% |
| Glaucosoma hebraicum | 22,628 | 1,617 | 49,020 | 4,114 | 71,648 | 5,499 | 68\% |
| Sphyraena barracuda | 0 | 0 | 38 | 37 | 38 | 37 | 100\% |
| Sphyraena novaehollandiae | 1,265 | 533 | 1,271 | 633 | 2,536 | 919 | 50\% |
| Sphyraena obtusata | 257 | 102 | 346 | 164 | 604 | 200 | 57\% |
| Sphyraenidae - undifferentiated | 300 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 300 | 105 | 0\% |
| Centroberyx gerrardi | 1,371 | 249 | 1,204 | 345 | 2,574 | 482 | 47\% |
| Centroberyx lineatus | 200 | 87 | 123 | 63 | 323 | 133 | 38\% |
| Centroberyx australis | 0 | 0 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 100\% |
| Arripis georgianus | 83,651 | 12,109 | 20,102 | 4,819 | 103,753 | 14,673 | 19\% |
| Arripis truttaceus | 3,620 | 660 | 15,612 | 3,707 | 19,232 | 4,069 | 81\% |
| Latropiscis purpurissatus | 2,199 | 652 | 3,764 | 580 | 5,963 | 1,012 | 63\% |
| Clupeidae, Pristigasteridae - undiff | 0 | 0 | 25 | 17 | 25 | 17 | 100\% |
| Scorpis georgiana | 649 | 257 | 1,173 | 277 | 1,822 | 391 | 64\% |
| Scorpis aequipinnis | 887 | 228 | 783 | 334 | 1,670 | 407 | 47\% |
| Pomatomus saltatrix | 7,682 | 1,583 | 5,421 | 1,216 | 13,102 | 2,450 | 41\% |
| Pentapodus vitta | 5,342 | 1,589 | 19,449 | 5,675 | 24,792 | 6,436 | 78\% |
| Hyperoglyphe antarctica | 135 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 92 | 0\% |
| Seriola dumerili | 55 | 53 | 469 | 263 | 524 | 275 | 89\% |
| Trachinotus botla | 39 | 26 | 58 | 42 | 96 | 62 | 60\% |
| Caranx ignobilis | 58 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 42 | 0\% |


| Reporting Group | Common Name |
| :--- | :--- |
| Trevally | Golden Trevally |
| Trevally | Samsonfish |
| Trevally | Silver Trevally |
| Trevally | Turrum |
| Trevally | Yellowtail Kingfish |
| Trevally | Yellowtail Scad |
| Trevally | Other Trevally |
| Tripletail | Tripletail |
| Tropical Snapper | Crimson Snapper |
| Tropical Snapper | Darktail Snapper |
| Tropical Snapper | Mangrove Jack |
| Tropical Snapper | Red Emperor |
| Tropical Snapper | Ruby Snapper |
| Tropical Snapper | Stripey Snapper |
| Tropical Snapper | Other Snapper |
| Tuna | Longtail Tuna |
| Tuna | Mackerel Tuna |
| Tuna | Skipjack Tuna |
| Tuna | Southern Bluefin Tuna |
| Tuna | Yellowfin Tuna |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Baldchin Groper |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Bluebarred Parrotfish |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Brownspotted Wrasse |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Foxfish |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Southern Maori Wrasse |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Western Blue Groper |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Western King Wrasse |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Other Parrotfish |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Other Wrasse |
| Western Blue Devil | Western Blue Devil |
| Whiting | King George Whiting |
| Whiting | School Whiting |
| Whiting | Western Trumpeter Whiting |
| Whiting | Other Whiting |
| Wreckfish | Bass Groper |
|  |  |


| Scientific Name | Kept | se | Released | se | Total | se | \% Rel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gnathanodon speciosus | 26 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 25 | 0\% |
| Seriola hippos | 1,491 | 239 | 8,768 | 1,531 | 10,259 | 1,616 | 85\% |
| Pseudocaranx spp. complex | 27,717 | 3,735 | 23,498 | 6,475 | 51,215 | 9,127 | 46\% |
| Carangoides fulvoguttatus | 0 | 0 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 100\% |
| Seriola lalandi | 670 | 228 | 690 | 231 | 1,360 | 399 | 51\% |
| Trachurus novaezelandiae | 584 | 327 | 308 | 195 | 892 | 515 | 35\% |
| Carangidae - undifferentiated | 96 | 49 | 196 | 178 | 292 | 185 | 67\% |
| Lobotes surinamensis | 19 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 18 | 0\% |
| Lutjanus erythropterus | 37 | 22 | 69 | 67 | 107 | 79 | 65\% |
| Lutjanus lemniscatus | 25 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 24 | 0\% |
| Lutjanus argentimaculatus | 18 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 38 | 26 | 51\% |
| Lutjanus sebae | 209 | 90 | 392 | 182 | 600 | 206 | 65\% |
| Etelis carbunculus | 37 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 36 | 0\% |
| Lutjanus carponotatus | 6 | 6 | 335 | 155 | 342 | 155 | 98\% |
| Lutjanidae - undifferentiated | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 19 | 0\% |
| Thunnus tonggol | 38 | 37 | 19 | 19 | 58 | 42 | 33\% |
| Euthynnus affinis | 156 | 82 | 198 | 149 | 354 | 188 | 56\% |
| Katsuwonus pelamis | 475 | 270 | 173 | 107 | 648 | 295 | 27\% |
| Thunnus maccoyii | 1,232 | 290 | 261 | 128 | 1,493 | 372 | 17\% |
| Thunnus albacares | 204 | 75 | 627 | 502 | 831 | 560 | 75\% |
| Choerodon rubescens | 14,516 | 1,485 | 9,786 | 1,502 | 24,302 | 2,610 | 40\% |
| Scarus ghobban spp. complex | 666 | 318 | 1,647 | 493 | 2,313 | 609 | 71\% |
| Notolabrus parilus | 4,002 | 1,168 | 10,943 | 1,452 | 14,944 | 1,952 | 73\% |
| Bodianus frenchii | 1,168 | 240 | 1,033 | 285 | 2,201 | 479 | 47\% |
| Ophthalmolepis lineolatus | 1,058 | 392 | 3,986 | 892 | 5,044 | 1,056 | 79\% |
| Achoerodus gouldii | 366 | 171 | 29 | 18 | 395 | 174 | 7\% |
| Coris auricularis | 6,127 | 1,295 | 27,700 | 3,342 | 33,827 | 3,775 | 82\% |
| Scaridae - undifferentiated | 58 | 42 | 1,676 | 635 | 1,734 | 638 | 97\% |
| Labridae - undifferentiated | 169 | 92 | 2,168 | 706 | 2,336 | 716 | 93\% |
| Paraplesiops sinclairi | 38 | 26 | 332 | 113 | 370 | 119 | 90\% |
| Sillaginodes punctata | 22,686 | 3,191 | 4,255 | 1,038 | 26,942 | 3,593 | 16\% |
| Sillago schomburgkii, bassensis \& vittata | 159,293 | 24,505 | 50,590 | 18,318 | 209,883 | 39,039 | 24\% |
| Sillago burrus | 500 | 250 | 3,407 | 1,021 | 3,907 | 1,060 | 87\% |
| Sillaginidae - undifferentiated | 74 | 72 | 134 | 105 | 208 | 142 | 64\% |
| Polyprion americanus | 13 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 12 | 0\% |


| Reporting Group | Common Name |
| :--- | :--- |
| Wreckfish | Hapuku |
| Finfish Other | Butterfish |
| Finfish Other | Dory |
| Finfish Other | Conger Eel |
| Finfish Other | Other Eel |
| Finfish Other | Moonfish Batfish |
| Finfish Other | Silver Toadfish |
| Finfish Other | Weeping Toadfish |
| Finfish Other | Other Toadfish |
| Finfish Other | Other Boxfish |
| Finfish Other | Other Boarfish |

Scientific Name
Polyprion oxygeneios
Stromateidae - undifferentiated
Zeidae - undifferentiated
Congridae, Colocongridae - undiff
Order Anguilliformes - undifferentiated
Ephippidae, Drepaneidae - undifferentiated
Lagocephalus sceleratus
Torquigener pleurogramma
Tetraodontidae - undifferentiated
Ostraciidae - undifferentiated
Pentacerotidae - undifferentiated

| Kept | se | Released | se | Total | se | \% Rel |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 0}$ | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 0}$ | $0 \%$ |
| 0 | 0 | 462 | $\mathbf{2 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 1}$ | $100 \%$ |
| 19 | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $40 \%$ |
| 0 | 0 | 96 | $\mathbf{7 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 7}$ | $100 \%$ |
| 0 | 0 | 82 | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $100 \%$ |
| 13 | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $0 \%$ |
| 0 | 0 | 2,218 | 527 | 2,218 | 527 | $100 \%$ |
| 0 | 0 | 1,566 | 459 | 1,566 | 459 | $100 \%$ |
| 39 | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | 9,632 | 3,087 | 9,671 | 3,088 | $100 \%$ |
| 0 | 0 | 38 | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $100 \%$ |
| 39 | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{3 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $0 \%$ |

Table 11. Estimated annual catch (total, kept and released numbers) and proportion released in the South Coast bioregion during 2015/16 by RFBL holders aged five years or older (se is standard error; values in bold indicate relative standard error $>40 \%$ (i.e. se $>40 \%$ of estimate); values in italics indicate $<30$ respondents recorded catches of the species).

| Reporting Group | Common Name | Scientific Name | Kept | se | Released | se | Total | se | \% Rel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abalone | Roe's Abalone | Haliotis roei | 712 | 421 | 0 | 0 | 712 | 421 | 0\% |
| Abalone | Greenlip Abalone | Haliotis laevigata | 57 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 37 | 0\% |
| Cephalopod | Cuttlefish | Sepia spp. | 160 | 84 | 27 | 23 | 188 | 87 | 15\% |
| Cephalopod | Octopus | Octopodidae - undifferentiated | 25 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 23 | 0\% |
| Cephalopod | Squid | Order Teuthoidea - undifferentiated | 4,038 | 915 | 357 | 224 | 4,395 | 980 | 8\% |
| Lobster | Southern Rock Lobster | Jasus edwardsii | 69 | 57 | 5 | 5 | 75 | 62 | 7\% |
| Crab | Blue Swimmer Crab | Portunus armatus | 2,918 | 1,156 | 1,817 | 777 | 4,735 | 1,894 | 38\% |
| Sharks | Bronze Whaler | Carcharhinus brachyurus | 45 | 21 | 39 | 37 | 84 | 43 | 46\% |
| Sharks | Dusky Whaler | Carcharhinus obscurus | 47 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 38 | 0\% |
| Sharks | Gummy Sharks | Mustelus antarcticus \& stevensi | 87 | 75 | 39 | 37 | 125 | 83 | 31\% |
| Sharks | Hammerhead Shark | Sphyrnidae - undifferentiated | 12 | 8 | 32 | 22 | 45 | 24 | 72\% |
| Sharks | Port Jackson Shark | Heterodontus portusjacksoni | 0 | 0 | 124 | 55 | 124 | 55 | 100\% |
| Sharks | Whiskery Shark | Furgaleus macki | 12 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 11 | 0\% |
| Sharks | Wobbegong | Orectolobidae - undifferentiated | 12 | 11 | 19 | 18 | 32 | 22 | 61\% |
| Sharks | Other Shark | Sharks - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 137 | 97 | 137 | 97 | 100\% |
| Rays | Western Shovelnose Ray | Aptychotrema vincentiana | 0 | 0 | 32 | 22 | 32 | 22 | 100\% |
| Rays | Other Rays Skates | Order Rajiformes - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 59 | 40 | 59 | 40 | 100\% |
| Bonito | Bonito | Sarda australis \& Cybiosarda elegans | 301 | 101 | 225 | 124 | 526 | 197 | 43\% |
| Bonito | Oriental Bonito | Sarda orientalis | 147 | 78 | 23 | 16 | 171 | 83 | 14\% |
| Bream | Black Bream | Acanthopagrus butcheri | 11,154 | 4,795 | 23,311 | 6,045 | 34,466 | 10,289 | 68\% |
| Bream | Pink Snapper | Chrysophrys auratus | 2,260 | 413 | 10,317 | 2,670 | 12,577 | 2,825 | 82\% |
| Bream | Tarwhine | Rhabdosargus sarba | 351 | 131 | 2,106 | 1,399 | 2,456 | 1,412 | 86\% |
| Catfish | Estuary Cobbler | Cnidoglanis macrocephalus | 632 | 373 | 0 | 0 | 632 | 373 | 0\% |
| Cod | Breaksea Cod | Epinephelides armatus | 6,544 | 1,157 | 2,818 | 537 | 9,361 | 1,583 | 30\% |
| Cod | Eightbar Grouper | Hyporthodus octofasciatus | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 0\% |
| Cod | Harlequin Fish | Othos dentex | 921 | 188 | 99 | 38 | 1,020 | 209 | 10\% |
| Cod | Temperate Basses \& Rockcods | Percichthyidae, Serranidae - undiff | 25 | 23 | 616 | 256 | 641 | 257 | 96\% |
| Emperor | Other Emperor | Lethrinidae - undifferentiated | 78 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 43 | 0\% |
| Flathead | Southern Bluespotted Flathead | Platycephalus speculator | 1,195 | 339 | 945 | 280 | 2,140 | 470 | 44\% |
| Flounder | Smalltooth Flounder | Pseudorhombus jenynsii | 72 | 32 | 76 | 41 | 148 | 52 | 51\% |
| Garfish | Southern Garfish | Hyporhamphus melanochir | 273 | 158 | 8 | 8 | 281 | 162 | 3\% |
| Garfish | Other Garfish | Hemiramphidae - undifferentiated | 39 | 37 | 158 | 108 | 197 | 141 | 80\% |


| Reporting Group | Common Name |
| :--- | :--- |
| Goatfish | Bluespotted Goatfish |
| Grunter | Sea Trumpeter |
| Grunter | Western Striped Grunter |
| Grunter | Striped Grunter |
| Gurnard | Bighead Gurnard Perch |
| Gurnard | Gurnard |
| Jewfish | Mulloway |
| Leatherjacket | Horseshoe Leatherjacket |
| Leatherjacket | Sixspine Leatherjacket |
| Leatherjacket | Leatherjacket |
| Lizardfish | Lizardfish Grinners |
| Mackerel | Blue Mackerel |
| Mackerel | Other Mackerel \& Tuna |
| Morwong | Blue Morwong |
| Mullet | Sea Mullet |
| Pearl Perch | West Australian Dhufish |
| Pike | Snook |
| Pike | Yellowtail Barracuda |
| Pike | Other Pike |
| Redfish | Bight Redfish |
| Redfish | Swallowtail |
| Salmon Herring | Australian Herring |
| Salmon Herring | Western Australian Salmon |
| Sergeant Baker | Sergeant Baker |
| Small Baitfish | Herrings \& llishas |
| Sweep | Banded Sweep |
| Sweep | Sea Sweep |
| Tailor | Tailor |
| Trevalla | Blue-Eye Trevalla |
| Trevally | Samsonfish |
| Trevally | Silver Trevally |
| Trevally | Yellowtail Kingfish |
| Trevally | Yellowtail Scad |
| Trevally | Other Trevally |
| Tropical Snapper | Other Snapper |
|  |  |


| Scientific Name | Kept | se | Released | se | Total | se | \% Rel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upeneichthys vlamingii | 25 | 16 | 228 | 91 | 253 | 93 | 90\% |
| Pelsartia humeralis | 0 | 0 | 574 | 251 | 574 | 251 | 100\% |
| Pelates octolineatus | 0 | 0 | 969 | 575 | 969 | 575 | 100\% |
| Terapontidae - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 210 | 171 | 210 | 171 | 100\% |
| Neosebastes pandus | 19 | 19 | 288 | 175 | 307 | 176 | 94\% |
| Neosebastidae - undifferentiated | 84 | 45 | 276 | 102 | 361 | 120 | 77\% |
| Argyrosomus japonicus | 12 | 8 | 215 | 161 | 227 | 162 | 95\% |
| Meuschenia hippocrepis | 255 | 121 | 402 | 177 | 657 | 238 | 61\% |
| Meuschenia freycineti | 28 | 20 | 60 | 29 | 88 | 43 | 69\% |
| Monacanthidae - undifferentiated | 107 | 57 | 1,014 | 322 | 1,122 | 330 | 90\% |
| Bathysauridae, Synodontidae - undiff | 0 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 100\% |
| Scomber australasicus | 0 | 0 | 21 | 13 | 21 | 13 | 100\% |
| Scombridae - undifferentiated | 137 | 56 | 80 | 63 | 217 | 88 | 37\% |
| Nemadactylus valenciennesi | 3,294 | 671 | 331 | 97 | 3,625 | 694 | 9\% |
| Mugil cephalus | 55 | 53 | 165 | 143 | 220 | 159 | 75\% |
| Glaucosoma hebraicum | 1,171 | 236 | 2,086 | 499 | 3,257 | 695 | 64\% |
| Sphyraena novaehollandiae | 555 | 211 | 109 | 76 | 664 | 228 | 16\% |
| Sphyraena obtusata | 17 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 30 | 17 | 42\% |
| Sphyraenidae - undifferentiated | 27 | 16 | 38 | 37 | 66 | 40 | 59\% |
| Centroberyx gerrardi | 10,221 | 1,511 | 5,430 | 1,616 | 15,651 | 2,761 | 35\% |
| Centroberyx lineatus | 2,202 | 687 | 1,151 | 353 | 3,353 | 1,000 | 34\% |
| Arripis georgianus | 20,817 | 3,263 | 8,274 | 1,782 | 29,091 | 4,270 | 28\% |
| Arripis truttaceus | 948 | 318 | 1,674 | 663 | 2,622 | 889 | 64\% |
| Latropiscis purpurissatus | 456 | 172 | 1,585 | 405 | 2,041 | 498 | 78\% |
| Clupeidae, Pristigasteridae - undiff | 11 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 10 | 0\% |
| Scorpis georgiana | 154 | 76 | 79 | 36 | 233 | 94 | 34\% |
| Scorpis aequipinnis | 1,182 | 273 | 305 | 83 | 1,487 | 306 | 21\% |
| Pomatomus saltatrix | 111 | 72 | 37 | 34 | 148 | 104 | 25\% |
| Hyperoglyphe antarctica | 29 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 19 | 0\% |
| Seriola hippos | 462 | 95 | 1,746 | 1,079 | 2,207 | 1,124 | 79\% |
| Pseudocaranx spp. complex | 4,770 | 911 | 3,720 | 825 | 8,491 | 1,642 | 44\% |
| Seriola lalandi | 478 | 127 | 248 | 133 | 725 | 214 | 34\% |
| Trachurus novaezelandiae | 592 | 519 | 321 | 235 | 913 | 747 | 35\% |
| Carangidae - undifferentiated | 468 | 182 | 74 | 39 | 542 | 193 | 14\% |
| Lutjanidae - undifferentiated | 37 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 34 | 0\% |


| Reporting Group | Common Name | Sc |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tuna | Skipjack Tuna | K |
| Tuna | Southern Bluefin Tuna | Th |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Brownspotted Wrasse | No |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Foxfish | Bo |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Southern Maori Wrasse | Op |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Western Blue Groper | Acha |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Western King Wrasse | Coris |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Other Parrotfish | Scar |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Other Tuskfish | Cher |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Other Wrasse | La |
| Western Blue Devil | Western Blue Devil | Para |
| Whiting | King George Whiting | Si |
| Whiting | School Whiting | Si |
| Wreckfish | Bass Groper | Poly |
| Wreckfish | Hapuku | Poly |
| Finfish Other | Butterfish | Str |
| Finfish Other | Dory | Zeid |
| Finfish Other | Other Eel | O |
| Finfish Other | Weeping Toadfish | Torq |
| Finfish Other | Other Toadfish | Tet |
| Finfish Other | Other Boxfish | Other |


| Scientific Name | Kept | se | Released | se | Total | se | \% Rel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Katsuwonus pelamis | 62 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 41 | 0\% |
| Thunnus maccoyii | 618 | 173 | 199 | 86 | 817 | 222 | 24\% |
| Notolabrus parilus | 361 | 141 | 3,112 | 767 | 3,473 | 826 | 90\% |
| Bodianus frenchii | 609 | 173 | 270 | 188 | 879 | 329 | 31\% |
| Ophthalmolepis lineolatus | 51 | 31 | 1,009 | 432 | 1,060 | 433 | 95\% |
| Achoerodus gouldii | 224 | 71 | 24 | 13 | 249 | 76 | 10\% |
| Coris auricularis | 190 | 98 | 2,047 | 505 | 2,237 | 545 | 91\% |
| Scaridae - undifferentiated | 611 | 541 | 63 | 43 | 674 | 543 | 9\% |
| Choerodon spp. | 136 | 56 | 5 | 5 | 142 | 56 | 4\% |
| Labridae - undifferentiated | 518 | 473 | 502 | 291 | 1,020 | 555 | 49\% |
| Paraplesiops sinclairi | 63 | 53 | 337 | 179 | 400 | 187 | 84\% |
| Sillaginodes punctata | 13,134 | 3,401 | 7,487 | 2,247 | 20,622 | 5,256 | 36\% |
| Sillago schomburgkii, bassensis \& vittata | 11,888 | 3,605 | 4,853 | 2,018 | 16,741 | 4,580 | 29\% |
| Polyprion americanus | 0 | 0 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 100\% |
| Polyprion oxygeneios | 121 | 68 | 37 | 34 | 158 | 86 | 23\% |
| Stromateidae - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 21 | 19 | 21 | 19 | 100\% |
| Zeidae - undifferentiated | 13 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 12 | 0\% |
| Order Anguilliformes - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 100\% |
| Torquigener pleurogramma | 0 | 0 | 37 | 34 | 37 | 34 | 100\% |
| Tetraodontidae - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 56 | 27 | 56 | 27 | 100\% |
| Ostraciidae - undifferentiated | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 0\% |
| Pentacerotidae - undifferentiated | 13 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 12 | 0\% |

## 8 Estimates of Catch by Zones within Bioregions

This section presents estimates of boat-based recreational catch for the 12-months from September 2015 to August 2016. Estimates are presented for annual catch (total, kept and released, by number) and proportions released (\% released) for zones in each bioregion: Kimberley (Table 12) and Pilbara (Table 13) zones in the North Coast; Ningaloo (Table 14) and Carnarvon/Shark Bay (Table 15) zones in the Gascoyne Coast; Mid West (Table 16), Metro (Table 17) and South West (Table 18) zones in the West Coast; and the Albany (Table 19) and Esperance (Table 20) zones in the South Coast.

### 8.1 Kimberley

A total of 105 species/taxa were reported in the Kimberley zone in 2015/16, which represented $2.7 \%$ of the statewide total catch (by numbers). Estimates for species where the sample size and relative standard error was acceptable are given in Table 12. The most common finfish species were Stripey Snapper ( $8 \%$ of the zone total catch), Golden Snapper (6\%), Giant Sea Catfish (6\%), Barramundi (6\%), Grass Emperor (6\%), Blue Threadfin (4\%), King Threadfin (3\%), Blue Tuskfish (3\%), Golden Trevally (3\%), Other Catfish (3\%), Spanish Mackerel (3\%), Mangrove Jack (2\%), Spangled Emperor (2\%), Crimson Snapper (2\%), Queenfish (2\%), Giant Trevally (2\%), Goldspotted Rockcod (2\%), Bludger Trevally (2\%), Blackspot Tuskfish (2\%) and Western Sooty Grunter (2\%). The most common invertebrate species were Mud Crab (8\%) and Blue Swimmer Crab (3\%). These 22 species accounted for $77 \%$ of the total catch (by numbers) in the Kimberley zone in 2015/16.

### 8.2 Pilbara

A total of 116 species/taxa were reported in the Pilbara zone in 2015/16, which represented $3.4 \%$ of the statewide total catch (by numbers). Estimates for species where the sample size and relative standard error was acceptable are given in Table 13. The most common finfish species were Grass Emperor (7\% of the zone total catch), Stripey Snapper (7\%), Spangled Emperor (6\%), Coral Trout (6\%), Red Emperor (5\%), Blackspotted Rockcod (3\%), Mangrove Jack (3\%), Rankin Cod (3\%), Redthroat Emperor (3\%), Blue Tuskfish (2\%), Spanish Mackerel (2\%), Other Trevally (2\%), Blackspot Tuskfish (2\%) and Giant Sea Catfish (2\%). The most common invertebrate species were Blue Swimmer Crab (13\%) and Squid (3\%). These 16 species accounted for 68\% of the total catch (by numbers) in the Pilbara zone in 2015/16.

### 8.3 Ningaloo

A total of 120 species/taxa were reported in the Ningaloo zone in 2015/16, which represented $2.3 \%$ of the statewide total catch (by numbers). Estimates for species where the sample size and relative standard error was acceptable are given in Table 14. The most common finfish species were Chinaman Rockcod ( $23 \%$ of the zone total catch), Spangled Emperor (11\%), Redthroat Emperor (8\%), Goldband Snapper (4\%), Grass Emperor (3\%), Prawn (3\%), Spanish Mackerel (3\%), Red Emperor (2\%) and Stripey Snapper (2\%). The most common invertebrate species
were Squid (7\%) and Blue Swimmer Crab (2\%). These 11 species accounted for $67 \%$ of the total catch (by numbers) in the Ningaloo zone in 2015/16.

### 8.4 Carnarvon/Shark Bay

A total of 131 species/taxa were reported in the Carnarvon/Shark Bay zone in 2015/16, which represented $6.1 \%$ of the statewide total catch (by numbers). Estimates for species where the sample size and relative standard error was acceptable are given in Table 15. The most common finfish species were Pink Snapper (34\% of the zone total catch), Grass Emperor (9\%), Spangled Emperor (5\%), Red Emperor (3\%), Baldchin Groper (3\%), Stripey Snapper (3\%), School Whiting (2\%), Redthroat Emperor (2\%), Chinaman Rockcod (2\%), School Mackerel (2\%), Western Butterfish (2\%) and Rankin Cod (2\%). The most common invertebrate species were Blue Swimmer Crab (6\%) and Squid (2\%). These 14 species accounted for $76 \%$ of the total catch (by numbers) in the Carnarvon/Shark Bay zone in 2015/16.

### 8.5 Mid West

A total of 99 species/taxa were reported in the Mid West zone (including the Kalbarri zone) in 2015/16, which represented $7.0 \%$ of the statewide total catch (by numbers). Estimates for species where the sample size and relative standard error was acceptable are given in Table 16. The most common finfish species were West Australian Dhufish ( $9 \%$ of the zone total catch), Baldchin Groper (8\%), Pink Snapper (4\%), Redthroat Emperor (3\%), Western King Wrasse (2\%), Breaksea Cod (2\%) and Australian Herring (2\%). The most common invertebrate species was Western Rock Lobster (52\%). These eight species accounted for $82 \%$ of the total catch (by numbers) in the Mid West zone in 2015/16.

### 8.6 Metropolitan

A total of 140 species/taxa were reported in the Metropolitan zone in 2015/16, which represented $55.1 \%$ of the statewide total catch (by numbers). Estimates for species where the sample size and relative standard error was acceptable are given in Table 17. The most common finfish species were School Whiting ( $11 \%$ of the zone total catch), Australian Herring (5\%), Silver Trevally (2\%), West Australian Dhufish (2\%), Pink Snapper (2\%), Western King Wrasse (2\%) and Western Butterfish (2\%). The most common invertebrate species were Blue Swimmer Crab (41\%), Western Rock Lobster (18\%) and Squid (3\%). These ten species accounted for $86 \%$ of the total catch (by numbers) in the Metropolitan zone in 2015/16.

### 8.7 South West

A total of 107 species/taxa were reported in the South West zone in 2015/16, which represented $15.4 \%$ of the statewide total catch (by numbers). Estimates for species where the sample size and relative standard error was acceptable are given in Table 18. The most common finfish species were School Whiting ( $14 \%$ of the zone total catch), Australian Herring (9\%), Western Rock Lobster (8\%), West Australian Dhufish (7\%), Silver Trevally (5\%), Pink Snapper (4\%), Black Bream (3\%), Southern Bluespotted Flathead (3\%), King George Whiting (3\%), Western King

Wrasse (2\%) and Sea Trumpeter (2\%) The most common invertebrate species were Blue Swimmer Crab (19\%) and Squid (4\%). These 13 species accounted for $84 \%$ of the total catch (by numbers) in the South West zone in 2015/16.

### 8.8 Albany

A total of 84 species/taxa were reported in the Albany zone in 2015/16, which represented $6.4 \%$ of the statewide total catch (by numbers). Estimates for species where the sample size and relative standard error was acceptable are given in Table 19. The most common finfish species were Black Bream ( $19 \%$ of the zone total catch), King George Whiting (12\%), Australian Herring (11\%), Pink Snapper (8\%), School Whiting (7\%), Bight Redfish (5\%), Breaksea Cod (4\%), Silver Trevally (4\%), West Australian Dhufish (2\%), Blue Morwong (2\%), Swallowtail (2\%), Brownspotted Wrasse (2\%), Western Australian Salmon (2\%) and Tarwhine (2\%). The most common invertebrate species were Squid (2\%) and Blue Swimmer Crab (2\%). These 16 species accounted for $86 \%$ of the total catch (by numbers) in the Albany zone in 2015/16.

### 8.9 Esperance

A total of 56 species/taxa were reported in the Esperance zone in 2015/16, which represented $1.6 \%$ of the statewide total catch (by numbers). Estimates for species where the sample size and relative standard error was acceptable are given in Table 20. The most common finfish species were Australian Herring ( $25 \%$ of the zone total catch), Bight Redfish (18\%), School Whiting (14\%), Black Bream (7\%), Breaksea Cod (5\%), Silver Trevally (4\%), King George Whiting (2\%), Western King Wrasse (2\%), Brownspotted Wrasse (2\%) and Blue Morwong (2\%). The most common invertebrate species was Blue Swimmer Crab (3\%). These 11 species accounted for $83 \%$ of the total catch (by numbers) in the Esperance zone in 2015/16.


Figure 81. Map of reporting areas in Western Australia, including four bioregions (solid lines) and ten zones within bioregions (dotted lines).

Table 12. Estimated annual catch (total, kept and released numbers) and proportion released in the Kimberley zone of the North Coast $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 / 1 6}$ by RFBL holders aged five years or older (se is standard error; values in bold indicate relative standard error $>40 \%$ (i.e. se $>40 \%$ of estimate); only species where $>30$ respondents recorded catches of the species are reported).

| Reporting Group | Common Name | Scientific Name | Kept | se | Released | se | Total | se | \% Rel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catfish | Giant Sea Catfish | Netuma thalassina | 49 | 32 | 4,011 | 942 | 4,060 | 944 | 99\% |
| Catfish | Other Catfish | Ariidae - undifferentiated | 8 | 7 | 1,805 | 499 | 1,814 | 499 | 100\% |
| Giant Perch | Barramundi | Lates calcarifer | 1,067 | 222 | 2,978 | 1,441 | 4,045 | 1,599 | 74\% |
| Mackerel | Spanish Mackerel | Scomberomorus commerson | 842 | 187 | 888 | 346 | 1,729 | 459 | 51\% |
| Threadfin | Blue Threadfin | Eleutheronema tetradactylum | 1,805 | 408 | 1,000 | 310 | 2,805 | 591 | 36\% |
| Threadfin | King Threadfin | Polydactylus macrochir | 1,469 | 524 | 716 | 263 | 2,185 | 775 | 33\% |
| Trevally | Golden Trevally | Gnathanodon speciosus | 412 | 120 | 1,420 | 388 | 1,832 | 445 | 78\% |
| Tropical Snapper | Golden Snapper | Lutjanus johnii | 1,998 | 571 | 2,283 | 695 | 4,282 | 1,215 | 53\% |
| Tropical Snapper | Mangrove Jack | Lutjanus argentimaculatus | 634 | 170 | 969 | 267 | 1,603 | 370 | 60\% |
| Tropical Snapper | Stripey Snapper | Lutjanus carponotatus | 2,208 | 1,170 | 3,516 | 1,514 | 5,724 | 2,668 | 61\% |

Table 13. Estimated annual catch (total, kept and released numbers) and proportion released in the Pilbara zone of the North Coast during 2015/16 by RFBL holders aged five years or older (se is standard error; values in bold indicate relative standard error $>40 \%$ (i.e. se $>40 \%$ of estimate); only species where $>30$ respondents recorded catches of the species are reported).

| Reporting Group | Common Name | Scientific Name | Kept | se | Released | se | Total | se | \% Rel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cod | Rankin Cod | Epinephelus multinotatus | 1,275 | 293 | 1,219 | 332 | 2,494 | 544 | 49\% |
| Coral Trout | Coral Trout | Plectropomus maculatus \& leopardus | 2,166 | 390 | 2,678 | 1,241 | 4,843 | 1,420 | 55\% |
| Emperor | Grass Emperor | Lethrinus laticaudis | 1,862 | 476 | 3,903 | 1,468 | 5,765 | 1,682 | 68\% |
| Emperor | Spangled Emperor | Lethrinus nebulosus | 1,412 | 418 | 3,987 | 1,418 | 5,399 | 1,559 | 74\% |
| Mackerel | Spanish Mackerel | Scomberomorus commerson | 1,010 | 178 | 951 | 218 | 1,961 | 331 | 49\% |
| Tropical Snapper | Mangrove Jack | Lutjanus argentimaculatus | 1,214 | 292 | 1,638 | 550 | 2,852 | 796 | 57\% |
| Tropical Snapper | Red Emperor | Lutjanus sebae | 1,671 | 406 | 3,044 | 1,064 | 4,715 | 1,416 | 65\% |
| Tropical Snapper | Stripey Snapper | Lutjanus carponotatus | 1,062 | 282 | 4,694 | 1,268 | 5,755 | 1,423 | 82\% |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Blue Tuskfish | Choerodon cyanodus | 455 | 138 | 1,559 | 574 | 2,014 | 599 | 77\% |

Table 14. Estimated annual catch (total, kept and released numbers) and proportion released in the Ningaloo zone of the Gascoyne Coast during 2015/16 by RFBL holders aged five years or older (se is standard error; values in bold indicate relative standard error $>40 \%$ (i.e. se $>40 \%$ of estimate); only species where $>30$ respondents recorded catches of the species are reported).

| Reporting Group | Common Name | Scientific Name | Kept | se | Released | se | \% Rel |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cod | Chinaman Rockcod | Epinephelus rivulatus | $\mathbf{4 , 1 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 1 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 4 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 2 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 0 9 7}$ | $69 \%$ |
| Coral Trout | Coral Trout | Plectropomus maculatus \& leopardus | 441 | 95 | 379 | 127 | 820 | 170 | $46 \%$ |
| Emperor | Spangled Emperor | Lethrinus nebulosus | 2,887 | 686 | 3,451 | 954 | 6,338 | 1,487 | $54 \%$ |
| Mackerel | Spanish Mackerel | Scomberomorus commerson | 931 | 197 | 544 | 167 | 1,475 | 317 | $37 \%$ |

Table 15. Estimated annual catch (total, kept and released numbers) and proportion released in the Carnarvon/Shark Bay zone of the Gascoyne Coast during 2015/16 by RFBL holders aged five years or older (se is standard error; values in bold indicate relative standard error $>40 \%$ (i.e. se $>40 \%$ of estimate); only species where $>30$ respondents recorded catches of the species are reported).

| Reporting Group | Common Name | Scientific Name | Kept | se | Released | se | Total | se | \% Rel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bream | Pink Snapper | Chrysophrys auratus | 12,250 | 1,925 | 41,389 | 7,122 | 53,639 | 8,405 | 77\% |
| Cobia | Cobia | Rachycentron canadum | 1,106 | 262 | 485 | 216 | 1,591 | 389 | 30\% |
| Cod | Goldspotted Rockcod | Epinephelus coioides | 1,574 | 589 | 374 | 142 | 1,949 | 646 | 19\% |
| Cod | Rankin Cod | Epinephelus multinotatus | 2,364 | 394 | 538 | 157 | 2,902 | 446 | 19\% |
| Coral Trout | Coral Trout | Plectropomus maculatus \& leopardus | 698 | 162 | 133 | 59 | 830 | 178 | 16\% |
| Emperor | Grass Emperor | Lethrinus laticaudis | 5,173 | 1,078 | 8,860 | 2,796 | 14,032 | 3,378 | 63\% |
| Emperor | Redthroat Emperor | Lethrinus miniatus | 1,674 | 477 | 1,603 | 460 | 3,277 | 821 | 49\% |
| Emperor | Spangled Emperor | Lethrinus nebulosus | 3,271 | 706 | 4,062 | 920 | 7,332 | 1,398 | 55\% |
| Mackerel | Spanish Mackerel | Scomberomorus commerson | 1,302 | 294 | 1,002 | 475 | 2,304 | 698 | 43\% |
| Tropical Snapper | Red Emperor | Lutjanus sebae | 3,132 | 759 | 1,955 | 594 | 5,087 | 1,261 | 38\% |
| Tropical Snapper | Stripey Snapper | Lutjanus carponotatus | 1,267 | 502 | 3,062 | 1,144 | 4,329 | 1,334 | 71\% |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Baldchin Groper | Choerodon rubescens | 2,076 | 367 | 2,291 | 1,023 | 4,368 | 1,180 | 52\% |

Table 16. Estimated annual catch (total, kept and released numbers) and proportion released in the Mid West zone of the West Coast during $2015 / 16$ by RFBL holders aged five years or older (se is standard error; values in bold indicate relative standard error $>40 \%$ (i.e. se $>40 \%$ of estimate); only species where $>30$ respondents recorded catches of the species are reported).

| Reporting Group | Common Name | Scientific Name | Kept | se | Released | se | Total | se | \% Rel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lobster | Western Rock Lobster | Panulirus cygnus | 51,695 | 8,995 | 41,133 | 9,974 | 92,829 | 18,180 | 44\% |
| Bream | Pink Snapper | Chrysophrys auratus | 3,468 | 513 | 4,393 | 870 | 7,860 | 1,248 | 56\% |
| Cod | Breaksea Cod | Epinephelides armatus | 1,536 | 227 | 1,324 | 359 | 2,860 | 467 | 46\% |
| Coral Trout | Coral Trout | Plectropomus maculatus \& leopardus | 1,313 | 305 | 998 | 312 | 2,311 | 534 | 43\% |
| Emperor | Redthroat Emperor | Lethrinus miniatus | 1,479 | 377 | 3,859 | 1,562 | 5,338 | 1,853 | 72\% |
| Pearl Perch | West Australian Dhufish | Glaucosoma hebraicum | 7,454 | 882 | 9,228 | 1,580 | 16,681 | 2,318 | 55\% |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Baldchin Groper | Choerodon rubescens | 8,921 | 1,261 | 5,565 | 933 | 14,486 | 1,982 | 38\% |

Table 17. Estimated annual catch (total, kept and released numbers) and proportion released in the Metropolitan zone of the West Coast during $2015 / 16$ by RFBL holders aged five years or older (se is standard error; values in bold indicate relative standard error $>40 \%$ (i.e. se $>40 \%$ of estimate); only species where $>30$ respondents recorded catches of the species are reported).

| Reporting Group | Common Name | Scientific Name | Kept | se | Released | se | Total | se | \% Rel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cephalopod | Cuttlefish | Sepia spp. | 1,205 | 273 | 493 | 184 | 1,698 | 338 | 29\% |
| Cephalopod | Squid | Order Teuthoidea - undifferentiated | 34,576 | 4,691 | 986 | 297 | 35,562 | 4,787 | 3\% |
| Lobster | Western Rock Lobster | Panulirus cygnus | 173,030 | 17,228 | 87,297 | 12,205 | 260,327 | 26,940 | 34\% |
| Crab | Blue Swimmer Crab | Portunus armatus | 161,302 | 14,325 | 413,135 | 37,714 | 574,437 | 49,061 | 72\% |
| Rays | Other Rays Skates | Order Rajiformes - undifferentiated | 38 | 37 | 1,434 | 313 | 1,472 | 315 | 97\% |
| Bream | Black Bream | Acanthopagrus butcheri | 1,856 | 1,053 | 10,578 | 3,074 | 12,434 | 3,634 | 85\% |
| Bream | Pink Snapper | Chrysophrys auratus | 5,504 | 697 | 20,043 | 3,027 | 25,547 | 3,332 | 78\% |
| Bream | Tarwhine | Rhabdosargus sarba | 629 | 228 | 3,494 | 1,102 | 4,123 | 1,139 | 85\% |
| Cod | Breaksea Cod | Epinephelides armatus | 5,732 | 701 | 6,000 | 1,008 | 11,733 | 1,510 | 51\% |
| Cod | Harlequin Fish | Othos dentex | 577 | 124 | 342 | 95 | 918 | 162 | 37\% |
| Flathead | Southern Bluespotted Flathead | Platycephalus speculator | 2,448 | 966 | 14,980 | 7,167 | 17,427 | 7,634 | 86\% |
| Flathead | Yellowtail Flathead | Platycephalus westraliae | 516 | 221 | 3,365 | 1,229 | 3,881 | 1,391 | 87\% |
| Pearl Perch | West Australian Dhufish | Glaucosoma hebraicum | 7,897 | 792 | 19,350 | 2,074 | 27,247 | 2,750 | 71\% |
| Salmon Herring | Australian Herring | Arripis georgianus | 50,405 | 9,324 | 14,889 | 4,646 | 65,294 | 11,853 | 23\% |
| Salmon Herring | Western Australian Salmon | Arripis truttaceus | 3,079 | 647 | 11,334 | 3,353 | 14,413 | 3,733 | 79\% |
| Sergeant Baker | Sergeant Baker | Latropiscis purpurissatus | 1,248 | 396 | 1,836 | 393 | 3,084 | 675 | 60\% |
| Tailor | Tailor | Pomatomus saltatrix | 4,090 | 989 | 3,065 | 668 | 7,155 | 1,472 | 43\% |
| Threadfin Bream | Western Butterfish | Pentapodus vitta | 4,302 | 1,512 | 17,140 | 5,545 | 21,442 | 6,274 | 80\% |
| Trevally | Samsonfish | Seriola hippos | 717 | 174 | 6,428 | 1,442 | 7,145 | 1,504 | 90\% |
| Trevally | Silver Trevally | Pseudocaranx spp. complex | 15,908 | 2,529 | 15,516 | 6,156 | 31,423 | 8,079 | 49\% |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Baldchin Groper | Choerodon rubescens | 5,233 | 738 | 4,117 | 1,169 | 9,351 | 1,658 | 44\% |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Brownspotted Wrasse | Notolabrus parilus | 1,880 | 480 | 7,390 | 1,214 | 9,270 | 1,427 | 80\% |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Foxfish | Bodianus frenchii | 817 | 215 | 839 | 276 | 1,656 | 461 | 51\% |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Western King Wrasse | Coris auricularis | 4,246 | 1,054 | 18,299 | 2,396 | 22,544 | 2,846 | 81\% |
| Whiting | King George Whiting | Sillaginodes punctata | 11,809 | 1,993 | 2,810 | 846 | 14,619 | 2,311 | 19\% |
| Whiting | School Whiting | Sillago schomburgkii, bassensis \& vittata | 106,682 | 19,597 | 45,445 | 18,247 | 152,128 | 35,839 | 30\% |
| Finfish Other | Other Toadfish | Tetraodontidae - undifferentiated | 0 | 0 | 6,325 | 2,120 | 6,325 | 2,120 | 100\% |

Table 18. Estimated annual catch (total, kept and released numbers) and proportion released in the South West zone of the West Coast during 2015/16 by RFBL holders aged five years or older (se is standard error; values in bold indicate relative standard error $>40 \%$ (i.e. se $>40 \%$ of estimate); only species where $>30$ respondents recorded catches of the species are reported).

| Reporting Group | Common Name | Scientific Name | Kept | se | Released | se | Total | se | \% Rel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cephalopod | Squid | Order Teuthoidea - undifferentiated | 13,703 | 3,118 | 1,242 | 758 | 14,944 | 3,415 | 8\% |
| Lobster | Western Rock Lobster | Panulirus cygnus | 23,892 | 5,054 | 7,962 | 2,319 | 31,855 | 6,629 | 25\% |
| Crab | Blue Swimmer Crab | Portunus armatus | 20,108 | 3,586 | 55,310 | 10,529 | 75,418 | 13,434 | 73\% |
| Bream | Pink Snapper | Chrysophrys auratus | 4,230 | 702 | 13,103 | 2,773 | 17,333 | 3,353 | 76\% |
| Cod | Breaksea Cod | Epinephelides armatus | 2,809 | 469 | 1,138 | 277 | 3,946 | 671 | 29\% |
| Flathead | Southern Bluespotted Flathead | Platycephalus speculator | 963 | 431 | 12,017 | 3,898 | 12,980 | 3,966 | 93\% |
| Gurnard | Gurnard | Neosebastidae - undifferentiated | 90 | 63 | 2,833 | 739 | 2,924 | 744 | 97\% |
| Morwong | Blue Morwong | Nemadactylus valenciennesi | 1,178 | 260 | 63 | 39 | 1,241 | 267 | 5\% |
| Pearl Perch | West Australian Dhufish | Glaucosoma hebraicum | 7,277 | 1,027 | 20,443 | 3,060 | 27,719 | 3,958 | 74\% |
| Redfish | Bight Redfish | Centroberyx gerrardi | 844 | 213 | 448 | 155 | 1,292 | 291 | 35\% |
| Salmon Herring | Australian Herring | Arripis georgianus | 30,771 | 7,619 | 4,971 | 1,259 | 35,741 | 8,547 | 14\% |
| Trevally | Samsonfish | Seriola hippos | 640 | 159 | 1,498 | 416 | 2,137 | 492 | 70\% |
| Trevally | Silver Trevally | Pseudocaranx spp. complex | 10,724 | 2,701 | 7,579 | 1,818 | 18,303 | 4,068 | 41\% |
| Tuskfish Wrasse | Western King Wrasse | Coris auricularis | 1,460 | 727 | 6,697 | 2,103 | 8,157 | 2,245 | 82\% |
| Whiting | King George Whiting | Sillaginodes punctata | 10,826 | 2,470 | 1,381 | 596 | 12,208 | 2,727 | 11\% |
| Whiting | School Whiting | Sillago schomburgkii, bassensis \& vittata | 50,725 | 14,421 | 4,719 | 1,323 | 55,444 | 15,121 | 9\% |

Table 19. Estimated annual catch (total, kept and released numbers) and proportion released in the Albany zone of the South Coast during $2015 / 16$ by RFBL holders aged five years or older (se is standard error; values in bold indicate relative standard error $>40 \%$ (i.e. se $>40 \%$ of estimate); only species where $>30$ respondents recorded catches of the species are reported).

| Reporting Group | Common Name | Scientific Name | Kept | se | Released | se | Total | se | \% Rel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cephalopod | Squid | Order Teuthoidea - undifferentiated | 3,534 | 902 | 345 | 223 | 3,879 | 967 | 9\% |
| Bream | Black Bream | Acanthopagrus butcheri | 10,504 | 4,773 | 21,040 | 5,888 | 31,544 | 10,130 | 67\% |
| Bream | Pink Snapper | Chrysophrys auratus | 2,083 | 401 | 10,179 | 2,654 | 12,262 | 2,793 | 83\% |
| Cod | Breaksea Cod | Epinephelides armatus | 4,908 | 1,075 | 2,338 | 516 | 7,246 | 1,491 | 32\% |
| Flathead | Southern Bluespotted Flathead | Platycephalus speculator | 1,146 | 337 | 717 | 235 | 1,863 | 441 | 38\% |
| Morwong | Blue Morwong | Nemadactylus valenciennesi | 2,690 | 654 | 176 | 59 | 2,866 | 670 | 6\% |
| Pearl Perch | West Australian Dhufish | Glaucosoma hebraicum | 1,164 | 236 | 2,086 | 499 | 3,249 | 694 | 64\% |
| Redfish | Bight Redfish | Centroberyx gerrardi | 5,727 | 1,150 | 2,488 | 1,288 | 8,216 | 2,011 | 30\% |
| Salmon Herring | Australian Herring | Arripis georgianus | 12,526 | 2,199 | 6,182 | 1,676 | 18,708 | 3,283 | 33\% |
| Trevally | Silver Trevally | Pseudocaranx spp. complex | 3,931 | 867 | 3,030 | 774 | 6,961 | 1,558 | 44\% |
| Whiting | King George Whiting | Sillaginodes punctata | 12,701 | 3,388 | 6,871 | 2,174 | 19,572 | 5,187 | 35\% |
| Whiting | School Whiting | Sillago schomburgkii, bassensis \& vittata | 7,160 | 2,030 | 3,853 | 1,942 | 11,013 | 2,975 | 35\% |

Table 20. Estimated annual catch (total, kept and released numbers) and proportion released in the Esperance zone of the South Coast during 2015/16 by RFBL holders aged five years or older (se is standard error; values in bold indicate relative standard error $>40 \%$ (i.e. se $>40 \%$ of estimate); only species where $>30$ respondents recorded catches of the species are reported).

| Reporting Group | Common Name | Scientific Name | Kept | se | Released | se | Total | se | \% Rel |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cod | Breaksea Cod | Epinephelides armatus | 1,636 | 419 | 480 | 148 | 2,115 | 522 | $23 \%$ |
| Redfish | Bight Redfish | Centroberyx gerrardi | 4,494 | 907 | 2,942 | 742 | 7,436 | 1,515 | $40 \%$ |
| Salmon Herring | Australian Herring | Arripis georgianus | 8,291 | 2,261 | 2,092 | 591 | 10,383 | 2,566 | $20 \%$ |

## 9 Harvest Weights

This section presents estimates of harvest (kept catch, by weight) for the 12-months from September 2015 to August 2016 for the species assemblages (or suites) within each bioregion and habitat aligned with fisheries management in Western Australia. Estimates are provided for the: top 10 nearshore and estuarine scalefish species (or species groupings) in each bioregion (Table 21); dominant 15 scalefish species for the West Coast Demersal Scalefish Resource (Table 22); top 10 demersal scalefish species in the North Coast, Gascoyne Coast and South Coast (Table 23); top 10 pelagic scalefish species in the North Coast (Table 24); and crab resources in each Bioregion (Table 25).

Estimates of boat-based recreational catch (by number) are converted to estimates of harvest (by weight) according to average weights for key species, obtained from Boat Ramp Surveys (Appendix 1) or Tour Operator Returns (Charter Logbooks). Estimated average weights are influenced by sample design, management, and biological/environmental factors, therefore, sources of information and assumptions associated with estimated average weights can introduce bias for some species, and estimated average weights may be refined and adjusted over time. Consequently, estimated average weights and harvest estimates for the 2011/12 and 2013/14 statewide surveys have been updated (Appendix 3). The revised recreational harvest estimates were used to determine recreational harvest ranges for comparisons with harvest ranges from the 2015/16 statewide survey (Table 26).

Estimates of harvest from boat-based recreational fishing do not include catches from charterboat recreational fishing. Estimates of harvest for nearshore and estuarine species will be underestimated, particularly those species with high proportions of shore-based recreational fishing effort. An overview of the information required for stock status reporting of major recreational fisheries, based on estimates of harvest and $95 \%$ confidence intervals during 2015/16, is provided in Table 26.

### 9.1 Nearshore and Estuarine Resources

The top 10 nearshore and estuarine species (or species groupings) in 2015/16 represented: 83\% of the total catch (kept by numbers) in the North Coast, $91 \%$ in the Gascoyne Coast, $93 \%$ in the West Coast, and $95 \%$ in the South Coast (Table 26). Estimated recreational harvest ranges (as $95 \%$ confidence intervals, CI) for the top 10 nearshore and estuarine species in 2015/16 compared with estimates from previous statewide surveys indicated the estimated harvest range:

- in the North Coast were steady at 27 t ( $95 \%$ CI $20-35$ tonnes) in 2015/16 compared with 21 t (95\% CI 15-27) in 2013/14 and 28 t ( $95 \%$ CI 20-36) in 2011/12
- in the Gascoyne Coast were lower at 9 t ( $95 \%$ CI 6-13) in 2015/16, but not significantly different with 16 t ( $95 \%$ CI 9-22) in 2013/14 and 12 t (95\% CI 8-16) in 2011/12
- in the West Coast were steady at 68 t (95\% CI 58-77) in 2015/16 compared with 77 t (95\% CI 68-87) in 2013/14, but lower than 114 t (95\% CI 101-126) in 2011/12 (as determined by confidence intervals not overlapping)

Table 21. Estimated annual catch (kept numbers), average weight and estimated harvest weight for the top 10 nearshore and estuarine scalefish species during 2015/16 by RFBL holders aged five years or older (values in bold indicate relative standard error $>40 \%$; values in italics indicate $<30$ diarists recorded catches of the species).

| Bioregion | Species | Estimated catch (kept by number) | Average weight (kg) | Source | Estimated harvest (tonnes) | Standard Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | King Threadfin | 1,501 | 4.996 | C | 7.499 | 2.628 |
| North | Barramundi | 1,425 | 4.057 | C | 5.781 | 1.193 |
| North | Blue Threadfin | 2,051 | 2.744 | C | 5.628 | 1.163 |
| North | Golden Trevally | 678 | 4.983 | C | 3.378 | 0.708 |
| North | Other Trevally | 899 | 2.250 | C | 2.023 | 1.647 |
| North | Giant Trevally | 500 | 3.751 | C | 1.876 | 0.919 |
| North | Bludger Trevally | 478 | 2.250 | C | 1.075 | 0.376 |
| North | Yellowtail Barracuda | 340 | 0.417 | S | 0.142 | 0.093 |
| North | Mullet | 1,021 | 0.051 | C | 0.052 | 0.023 |
| North | Small Baitfish | 737 | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL |  | 9,630 |  |  | 27.454 | 3.727 |
| Gascoyne | Chinaman Rockcod | 5,127 | 0.716 | C | 3.671 | 1.454 |
| Gascoyne | Golden Trevally | 502 | 4.983 | C | 2.501 | 0.583 |
| Gascoyne | Mulloway | 433 | 3.986 | C | 1.726 | 0.690 |
| Gascoyne | Tailor | 422 | 0.671 | S | 0.283 | 0.240 |
| Gascoyne | School Whiting | 2,808 | 0.095 | S | 0.267 | 0.121 |
| Gascoyne | Garfish | 244 | 0.980 | S | 0.239 | 0.202 |
| Gascoyne | Western Butterfish | 1,318 | 0.180 | S | 0.237 | 0.142 |
| Gascoyne | Silver Trevally | 289 | 0.517 | S | 0.149 | 0.053 |
| Gascoyne | Western Yellowfin Bream | 232 | 0.528 | C | 0.122 | 0.079 |
| Gascoyne | Sea Mullet | 894 | 0.051 | C | 0.046 | 0.016 |
| TOTAL |  | 12,269 |  |  | 9.241 | 1.753 |
| West | Silver Trevally | 27,717 | 0.561 | B | 15.549 | 2.095 |
| West | School Whiting | 159,293 | 0.095 | S | 15.133 | 2.328 |
| West | King George Whiting | 22,686 | 0.513 | B | 11.638 | 1.637 |
| West | Australian Herring | 83,651 | 0.131 | B | 10.958 | 1.586 |
| West | Tailor | 7,682 | 0.671 | S | 5.155 | 1.062 |
| West | Yelloweye Mullet | 7,292 | 0.444 | C | 3.238 | 2.689 |
| West | Western King Wrasse | 6,127 | 0.312 | S | 1.912 | 0.404 |
| West | Brownspotted Wrasse | 4,002 | 0.436 | S | 1.745 | 0.510 |
| West | Black Bream | 4,824 | 0.298 | S | 1.438 | 0.476 |
| West | Western Butterfish | 5,342 | 0.179 | B | 0.956 | 0.284 |
| TOTAL |  | 328,616 |  |  | 67.722 | 4.909 |
| South | Black Bream | 11,154 | 0.298 | S | 3.324 | 1.430 |
| South | Western Australian Salmon | 948 | 3.344 | S | 3.170 | 1.063 |
| South | Australian Herring | 20,817 | 0.138 | B | 2.873 | 0.450 |
| South | King George Whiting | 13,134 | 0.200 | B | 2.627 | 0.680 |
| South | Silver Trevally | 4,770 | 0.517 | S | 2.466 | 0.471 |
| South | School Whiting | 11,888 | 0.095 | S | 1.129 | 0.343 |
| South | Southn Bluespotted Flathead | 1,195 | 0.575 | S | 0.687 | 0.195 |
| South | Snook | 599 | 0.610 | S | 0.365 | 0.130 |
| South | Yellowtail Scad | 592 | 0.071 | S | 0.042 | 0.037 |
| South | Estuary Cobbler | 632 | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL |  | 65,729 |  |  | 16.683 | 2.058 |

Average weights where: ${ }^{\mathrm{B}}$ is the bioregion estimate from Appendix $1^{*},{ }^{\mathrm{s}}$ is the statewide estimate from Appendix $1^{*}$, ${ }^{\mathrm{C}}$ unpublished Tour Operator Returns

- in the South Coast were steady at 17 t ( $95 \%$ CI 13-21) in 2015/16 compared with 25 t ( $95 \%$ CI 20-31) in 2013/14, but lower than 44 t (95\% CI 37-52) in 2011/12
Estimated recreational harvests were steady in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys for:
- Barramundi, Bludger Trevally, Blue Threadfin, Golden Trevally, Mullet, Small Baitfish and Yellowtail Barracuda in the North Coast
- Chinaman Rockcod, Garfish, Golden Trevally, Mulloway, School Whiting, Sea Mullet, Silver Trevally, Tailor, Western Butterfish and Western Yellowfin Bream in the Gascoyne Coast
- Black Bream, King George Whiting, School Whiting, Tailor, Western Butterfish and Western King Wrasse in the West Coast
- Australian Herring, Black Bream, School Whiting, Snook, Southern Bluespotted Flathead and Western Australian Salmon, in the South Coast

Decreases in the estimated recreational harvest of individual species in the top 10 nearshore and estuarine species occurred for:

- Australian Herring in the West Coast was steady at 11 t (95\% CI 8-14) in 2015/16 compared with 12 t (95\% CI 10-15) in 2013/14, but lower than 26 t (95\% CI 21-31) in 2011/12
- Silver Trevally in the West Coast was steady at 16 t ( $95 \%$ CI 11-20) in 2015/16 compared with 16 t ( $95 \%$ CI 12-19) in 2013/14, but lower than 26 t ( $95 \%$ CI 21-30) in 2011/12
- King George Whiting in the South Coast was steady at 3 t (95\% CI 1-4) in 2015/16 was lower than 9 t (95\% CI 5-13) in 2013/14 and 12 t (95\% CI 8-17) in 2011/12
- Silver Trevally in the South Coast was steady at 2 t ( $95 \%$ CI 2-3) in 2015/16 compared with 3 t (95\% CI 2-4) in 2013/14, but lower than 8 t ( $95 \%$ CI 4-7) in 2011/12


### 9.2 Demersal Resources

The Integrated Fisheries Management Plan for the West Coast Demersal Scalefish utilised estimates of recreational catch by weight from surveys conducted in 2005/06 (Department of Fisheries 2010). The estimated harvest weights for the West Coast Demersal Scalefish Fishery (Table 22) includes: the top commercial and recreational species, demersal species where boatbased catches predominate, and species groupings for comparisons with the commercial catches. The 'Emperor' grouping includes 5 species: Bluespotted Emperor (Lethrinus punctulatus), Grass Emperor (L. laticaudis), Longnose Emperor (L. olivaceus), Redspot Emperor (L. lentjan), Redthroat Emperor (L. miniatus), Robinson's Seabream (Gymnocranius grandoculis), Spangled Emperor (L. nebulosus) and Yellowtail Emperor (L. atkinsoni). The 'Bight Redfish' grouping includes Bight Redfish (Centroberyx gerrardi), Swallowtail (C. lineatus) and Yelloweye Redfish (C. australis).

The top 10 demersal species (or species groupings, 15 in the West Coast) in 2015/16 represented: $77 \%$ of the total catch (kept by numbers) in the North Coast, $82 \%$ in the Gascoyne Coast, $93 \%$ in the West Coast, and $96 \%$ in the South Coast (Table 26). Estimated recreational harvest ranges for the top top demersal species in 2015/16 compared with estimates from previous statewide surveys indicated the estimated harvest range:

- in the North Coast decreased from 41 t ( $95 \%$ CI 34-47 tonnes) in 2015/16 compared with 58 t (95\% CI 48-69) in 2013/14 and 83 t (95\% CI 73-92) in 2011/12
- the Gascoyne Coast were steady at 103 t ( $95 \%$ CI $87-118$ ) in 2015/16 compared with 101 t ( $95 \%$ CI 88-115) in 2013/14, but lower than 143 t (95\% CI 127-159) in 2011/12
- in the West Coast were higher at 211 t ( $95 \%$ CI 193-230) in 2015/16 compared with 155 t ( $95 \%$ CI 140-169) in 2013/14 and 160 t ( $95 \%$ CI 146-174) in 2011/12
- in the South Coast were steady at 45 t ( $95 \%$ CI 38-51) in 2015/16 compared with 34 t ( $95 \%$ CI $30-38$ ) in 2013/14 and 55 t (95\% CI 47-63) in 2011/12

Estimated recreational harvests were steady in 2015/16 compared with previous statewide surveys for:

- Blackspot Tuskfish, Golden Snapper, Mangrove Jack, Rankin Cod, Red Emperor and Stripey Snapper and in the North Coast
- Baldchin Groper, Goldband Snapper, Goldspotted Rockcod, Pink Snapper, Rankin Cod, Red Emperor and Stripey Snapper in the Gascoyne Coast
- Baldchin Groper, Bight Redfish, Blue Morwong, Breaksea Cod, Emperor, Foxfish, Pink Snapper, Sea Sweep and Sergeant Baker in the West Coast
- Bight Redfish, Blue Morwong, Breaksea Cod, Foxfish, Harlequin Fish, Pink Snapper, Sea Sweep, West Australian Dhufish and Swallowtail in the South Coast

Decreases in the the estimated recreational harvest of individual species in the top 10 demersal species (or groupings) occurred for:

- Coral Trout in the North Coast was steady at 6 t (95\% CI 4-8) in 2015/16 compared with 7 t (95\% CI 5-9) in 2013/14, but lower than 12 t (95\% CI 9-15) in 2011/12
- Grass Emperor in the North Coast was steady at 6 t (95\% CI 3-9) in 2015/16 compared with 12 t ( $95 \%$ CI 6-18) in 2013/14, but lower than 15 t (95\% CI 9-20) in 2011/12
- Spangled Emperor in the North Coast was lower at 4 t (95\% CI 2-5) in 2015/16 compared with 6 t (95\% CI 3-9) in 2013/14 and 15 t (95\% CI 11-18) in 2011/12
- Spangled Emperor in the Gascoyne Coast was lower at 12 t (95\% CI 8-16) in 2015/16 compared with 17 t (95\% CI 12-22) in 2013/14 and 36 t ( $95 \%$ CI 27-45) in 2011/12
- Grass Emperor in the Gascoyne Coast was lower at 5 t (95\% CI 3-7) in 2015/16 compared with 10 t (95\% CI 5-14) in 2013/14 and 16 t (95\% CI 12-20) in 2011/12
- Redthroat Emperor in the Gascoyne Coast was lower at 3 t (95\% CI 1-5) in 2015/16 compared with 3 t ( $95 \%$ CI 2-4) in 2013/14 and 8 t ( $95 \%$ CI 6-11) in 2011/12

The estimated recreational harvests for the indicator species in the West Coast were:

- West Australian Dhufish was higher at 113 t (95\% CI 97-129) in 2015/16 compared with 82 t (95\% CI 69-94) and 75 t (95\% CI 64-87) in 2011/12
- Baldchin Groper was higher at 35 t ( $95 \%$ CI 28-42) in 2015/16 compared with 21 t ( $95 \%$ CI 17-25) in 2013/14 and 30 t (95\% CI 24-36) in 2011/12
- Pink Snapper was steady at $36 \mathrm{t}(95 \%$ CI $30-42$ ) in 2015/16 compared with 30 t ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ 25-36) in 2013/14 and 32 t ( $95 \%$ CI 27-38) in 2011/12

Table 22. Estimated annual catch (kept numbers), average weight and estimated harvest weight for the dominant 15 species in the West Coast Demersal Scalefish Fishery during 2015/16 by RFBL holders aged five years or older (values in bold indicate relative standard error $>40 \%$; values in italics indicate $<30$ diarists recorded catches of the species).

| Bioregion | Species | Estimated <br> catch (kept <br> by number) | Average <br> weight (kg) | Source | Estimated <br> harvest <br> (tonnes) | Standard <br> Error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West | West Australian Dhufish | 22,628 | 5.003 | B | 113.208 | 8.095 |
| West | Pink Snapper | 13,201 | 2.711 | B | 35.788 | 3.120 |
| West | Baldchin Groper | 14,516 | 2.425 | B | 35.201 | 3.601 |
| West | Breaksea Cod | 10,077 | 0.972 | S | 9.795 | 0.863 |
| West | Blue Morwong | 2,014 | 2.816 | S | 5.671 | 1.056 |
| West | Emperor | 2,568 | 1.449 | C | 3.721 | 0.632 |
| West | Sergeant Baker | 2,199 | 1.093 | C | 2.404 | 0.713 |
| West | Bight Redfish | 1,571 | 1.223 | S | 1.921 | 0.323 |
| West | Eightbar Grouper | $\mathbf{3 2 2}$ | 3.694 | C | 1.189 | 0.683 |
| West | Sea Sweep | 887 | 1.331 | S | 1.181 | 0.303 |
| West | Foxfish | $\mathbf{1 , 1 6 8}$ | 0.836 | S | 0.976 | 0.201 |
| West | Ruby Snapper | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | 8.042 | C | 0.298 | 0.290 |
| West | Bass Groper | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 0 | 0 |
| West | Blue-Eye Trevalla | $\mathbf{1 3 5}$ | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 0 | 0 |
| West | Hapuku | $\mathbf{1 8 8}$ | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL |  | $\mathbf{7 1 , 5 2 4}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 1 1 . 3 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 5 8 1}$ |

Average weights where: ${ }^{\mathrm{B}}$ is the bioregion estimate from Appendix $1^{*}$, ${ }^{\mathrm{s}}$ is the statewide estimate from Appendix $1^{*}$, ${ }^{\mathrm{C}}$ unpublished Tour Operator Returns, $n / a$ is not available

Table 23. Estimated annual catch (kept numbers), average weight and estimated harvest weight for the top 10 demersal scalefish species during 2015/16 by RFBL holders aged five years or older (excluding West Coast, refer to Table 22) (values in bold indicate relative standard error $>40 \%$; values in italics indicate $<30$ diarists recorded catches of the species).

| Bioregion | Species | Estimated <br> catch (kept <br> by number) | Average <br> weight (kg) | Source | Estimated <br> harvest <br> (tonnes) | Standard <br> Error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| North | Coral Trout | 2,377 | 2.615 | C | 6.216 | 1.051 |
| North | Grass Emperor | 3,820 | 1.582 | B | 6.043 | 1.590 |
| North | Red Emperor | 1,737 | 3.357 | S | 5.831 | 1.366 |
| North | Rankin Cod | 1,382 | 3.419 | S | 4.725 | 1.036 |
| North | Blue Tuskfish | 1,162 | 3.255 | C | 3.782 | 0.950 |
| North | Spangled Emperor | 1,822 | 1.994 | S | 3.633 | 0.893 |
| North | Blackspot Tuskfish | 1,158 | 2.818 | S | 3.263 | 0.789 |
| North | Golden Snapper | 2,133 | 1.393 | C | 2.971 | 0.802 |
| North | Stripey Snapper | 3,270 | 0.870 | C | 2.845 | 1.049 |
| North | Mangrove Jack | 1,848 | 0.772 | S | 1.427 | 0.262 |
| TOTAL |  | $\mathbf{2 0 , 7 0 9}$ |  |  | 40.736 | 3.273 |
| Gascoyne | Pink Snapper | 12,448 | 2.591 | S | 32.253 | 5.003 |
| Gascoyne | Goldband Snapper | 3,597 | 4.137 | C | 14.881 | 3.992 |
| Gascoyne | Red Emperor | 3,886 | 3.357 | S | 13.045 | 2.699 |
| Gascoyne | Spangled Emperor | 6,158 | 2.007 | B | 12.359 | 1.979 |
| Gascoyne | Rankin Cod | 2,937 | 3.419 | S | 10.042 | 1.443 |
| Gascoyne | Goldspotted Rockcod | 1,802 | 2.929 | S | 5.278 | 1.757 |
| Gascoyne | Grass Emperor | 5,839 | 0.855 | B | 4.992 | 0.975 |
| Gascoyne | Baldchin Groper | 2,096 | 2.364 | S | 4.955 | 0.870 |
| Gascoyne | Redthroat Emperor | 3,536 | 0.922 | B | 3.260 | 1.004 |
| Gascoyne | Stripey Snapper | 1,689 | 0.870 | C | 1.469 | 0.465 |
| TOTAL |  | $\mathbf{4 3 , 9 8 8}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 2 . 5 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 7 6 4}$ |
| South | Bight Redfish | 1,221 | 1.223 | S | 12.500 | 1.849 |
| South | Blue Morwong | 3,294 | 2.816 | S | 9.276 | 1.892 |
| South | Breaksea Cod | 6,544 | 0.972 | S | 6.361 | 1.125 |
| South | Pink Snapper | 2,260 | 2.591 | S | 5.856 | 1.070 |
| South | West Australian Dhufish | 1,171 | 4.861 | S | 5.692 | 1.147 |
| South | Sea Sweep | 1,182 | 1.331 | S | 1.573 | 0.363 |
| South | Harlequin Fish | 921 | 1.424 | S | 1.312 | 0.268 |
| South | Swallowtail | 2,202 | 0.381 | S | 0.839 | 0.262 |
| South | Other Parrotfish | $\mathbf{6 1 1}$ | 1.202 | C | 0.734 | 0.651 |
| South | Foxfish | 609 | 0.836 | S | 0.509 | 0.145 |
| TOTAL |  | $\mathbf{2 9 , 0 1 5}$ |  |  | 44.652 | $\mathbf{3 . 3 8 3}$ |

Average weights where: ${ }^{\text {B }}$ is the bioregion estimate from Appendix $1^{*}{ }^{\mathrm{s}}$ is the statewide estimate from Appendix $1^{*}$, ${ }^{\text {C }}$ unpublished Tour Operator Returns

### 9.3 Pelagic Resources

The top 10 pelagic scalefish species (or species groupings) in 2015/16 represented $99 \%$ of the total resource catch (kept by numbers) (Table 26).

Estimated recreational harvest ranges for the top ten pelagic species (or groupings) compared with estimates from previous statewide surveys indicated estimated harvest range in the North Coast was steady at 26 t ( $95 \%$ CI 21-31 tonnes) in 2015/16 compared with 32 t ( $95 \%$ CI 23-41) in 2013/14, but lower than 51 t ( $95 \%$ CI 40-61) in 2011/12 (Table 26).

The estimated recreational harvest of Spanish Mackerel was steady at 17 t ( $95 \%$ CI 12-22) in 2015/16 (Table 24) compared with 24 t in 2015/16 (95\% CI 16-32), but lower than 37 t in 2011/12 (95\% CI 27-47). Estimated recreational harvests were steady in 2015/16 compared with 2013/14 and 2011/12 for Cobia, Northern Bluefin Tuna, Mackerel Tuna, School Mackerel, Southern Bluefin Tuna and Spotted Mackerel.

Table 24. Estimated annual catch (kept numbers), average weight and estimated harvest weight for the top 10 North Coast pelagic scalefish species during 2015/16 by RFBL holders aged five years or older (values in bold indicate relative standard error $>40 \%$; values in italics indicate $<30$ diarists recorded catches of the species).

| Bioregion | Species | Estimated <br> catch (kept <br> by number) | Average <br> weight (kg) | Source | Estimated <br> harvest <br> (tonnes) | Standard <br> Error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| North | Spanish Mackerel | 1,851 | 9.291 | C | 17.198 | 2.397 |
| North | Cobia | 311 | 6.940 | C | 2.158 | 0.507 |
| North | Northern Bluefin Tuna | 250 | 5.711 | C | 1.428 | 0.531 |
| North | Mackerel Tuna | 304 | 4.367 | C | 1.328 | 0.441 |
| North | Other Mackerel \& Tuna | $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ | 9.291 | C | 1.301 | 0.539 |
| North | Shark Mackerel | 112 | 8.598 | C | 0.963 | 0.361 |
| North | School Mackerel | 439 | 1.988 | C | 0.873 | 0.264 |
| North | Spotted Mackerel | $\mathbf{1 8 6}$ | 2.197 | C | 0.409 | 0.191 |
| North | Southern Bluefin Tuna | $\mathbf{8 3}$ | 4.859 | C | 0.403 | 0.199 |
| North | Wahoo | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL |  | $\mathbf{3 , 7 2 0}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 6 . 0 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 6 5 5}$ |

Average weights where: ${ }^{B}$ is the bioregion estimate from Appendix $1^{*},{ }^{s}$ is the statewide estimate from Appendix $1^{*}$, ${ }^{\mathrm{C}}$ unpublished Tour Operator Returns

### 9.4 Crab Resources

Estimated recreational harvest ranges of crab resources in each bioregion are compared with estimates from previous statewide surveys (Table 26):

- Mud Crab in the North Coast (3,364, kept by number; Table 25) represents $70 \%$ of the estimated statewide catch (Table 5), catches for the Gascoyne Coast (Table 8) and West Coast (Table 9) had low sample size ( $<30$ ) and high rse ( $>40 \%$ )
- Mud Crab in the North Coast were lower at 2 t ( $95 \%$ CI 2-3) in 2015/16 compared with 8 $\mathrm{t}(95 \%$ CI $5-10)$ in 2013/14 and 8 t (95\% CI 6-10) in 2011/12
- Blue Swimmer Crab in the North Coast were lower at 2 t (95\% CI 1-3) in 2015/16 compared with 4 t (95\% CI 2-6) in 2013/14 and 3 t (95\% CI 2-5) in 2011/12
- Blue Swimmer Crab in the Gascoyne Coast were steady at $1 \mathrm{t}(95 \%$ CI 1-2) in 2015/16 compared with 2 t (95\% CI 1-4) in 2013/14 and 4 t (95\% CI 1-8) in 2011/12
- Blue Swimmer Crab in the West Coast were steady at 43 t (95\% CI 36-50) in 2015/16 compared with 59 t (95\% CI 50-68) in 2013/14, but lower than 86 t (95\% CI 75-97) in 2011/12
- Blue Swimmer Crab in the South Coast were steady at 1 t (95\% CI 0-1) in 2015/16 compared with 2 t ( $95 \%$ CI 1-3) in 2013/14, but lower than 3 t ( $95 \%$ CI 1-4) in 2011/12

Table 25. Estimated annual catch (kept numbers), average weight and estimated harvest weight for the crab resources during 2015/16 by RFBL holders aged five years or older (values in bold indicate relative standard error $>40 \%$; values in italics indicate $<30$ diarists recorded catches of the species).

| Bioregion | Species | Estimated <br> catch (kept <br> by number) | Average <br> weight (kg) | Source | Estimated <br> harvest <br> (tonnes) | Standard <br> Error |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| North | Brown Mud Crab | 2,495 | 0.612 | C | 1.527 | 0.397 |
| North | Green Mud Crab | 869 | 1.106 | C | 0.961 | 0.261 |
| TOTAL |  | 3,364 |  |  | $\mathbf{2 . 4 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 7 5}$ |
| North | Blue Swimmer Crab | 7,044 | 0.240 | S | 1.691 | 0.456 |
| Gascoyne | Blue Swimmer Crab | 5,379 | 0.240 | S | 1.291 | 0.384 |
| West | Blue Swimmer Crab | 181,709 | 0.236 | B | 42.883 | 3.522 |
| South | Blue Swimmer Crab | 2,918 | 0.240 | S | 0.700 | 0.277 |

Average weights where: ${ }^{\text {B }}$ is the bioregion estimate from Appendix $1^{*}$, ${ }^{\mathrm{S}}$ is the statewide estimate from Appendix $1^{*}$, ${ }^{\mathrm{C}}$ unpublished Tour Operator Returns

### 9.5 Summary

Estimates of harvest from boat-based recreational fishing presented in this chapter will be used alongside information provided in Commercial Logbooks and Tour Operator Returns to assess the status of fisheries resources. Estimates of harvest (Table 26) are important when a significant portion of the total catch is attributable to the recreational sector, and therefore, estimates for these species are included in stock assessments and required for resource allocation.

Table 26. Information required for stock status reporting of major recreational fisheries based on estimates of boat-based recreational catch during 2011/12, 2013/14 and 2015/16 by RFBL holders aged five years or older (excluding charter-boat recreational fishing).

| Resource | Year | Number of species/ taxa | Proportion of total catch (kept by number) | Estimated harvest (kept by number) | Standard Error | Estimated harvest (tonnes) | Standard Error | Estimated harvest (tonnes, 95\% $\mathrm{Cl})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Coast Bioregion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Coast Nearshore and Estuarine | 1112 | 10 | 79 | 13,008 | 1,713 | 28.012 | 4.025 | 20-36 |
|  | 1314 | 10 | 76 | 11,928 | 1,506 | 21.189 | 2.980 | 15-27 |
|  | 1516 | 10 | 83 | 9,630 | 1,353 | 27.454 | 3.727 | 20-35 |
| North Coast Demersal Scalefish | 1112 | 10 | 80 | 45,953 | 3,227 | 82.586 | 4.878 | 73-92 |
|  | 1314 | 10 | 76 | 30,491 | 2,840 | 58.480 | 5.274 | 48-69 |
|  | 1516 | 10 | 77 | 20,709 | 1,922 | 40.736 | 3.273 | 34-47 |
| North Coast Pelagic (Mackerel) | 1112 | 10 | 97 | 6,938 | 678 | 50.747 | 5.440 | 40-61 |
|  | 1314 | 10 | 98 | 5,156 | 921 | 31.881 | 4.482 | 23-41 |
|  | 1516 | 10 | 99 | 3,720 | 352 | 26.061 | 2.655 | 21-31 |
| North Coast Mud Crab | 1112 | 2 | 100 | 9,508 | 1,250 | 7.905 | 1.128 | 6-10 |
|  | 1314 | 2 | 100 | 8,948 | 1,351 | 7.606 | 1.144 | 5-10 |
|  | 1516 | 2 | 100 | 3,364 | 691 | 2.488 | 0.475 | 2-3 |
| North Coast Blue Swimmer Crab | 1112 | 1 | 100 | 14,802 | 3,973 | 3.390 | 0.910 | 2-5 |
|  | 1314 | 1 | 100 | 15,938 | 3,980 | 4.048 | 1.011 | 2-6 |
|  | 1516 | 1 | 100 | 7,044 | 1,899 | 1.691 | 0.456 | 1-3 |
| Gascoyne Coast Bioregion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gascoyne Coast Nearshore and Estuarine | 1112 | 10 | 81 | 14,100 | 2,885 | 12.264 | 2.097 | 8-16 |
|  | 1314 | 10 | 88 | 16,268 | 2,977 | 15.553 | 3.253 | 9-22 |
|  | 1516 | 10 | 91 | 12,269 | 2,591 | 9.241 | 1.753 | 6-13 |
| Gascoyne Coast Demersal Scalefish | 1112 | 10 | 83 | 71,301 | 4,068 | 143.419 | 8.154 | 127-159 |
|  | 1314 | 10 | 77 | 51,657 | 3,986 | 101.315 | 6.867 | 88-115 |
|  | 1516 | 10 | 82 | 43,988 | 3,118 | 102.534 | 7.764 | 87-118 |
| Gascoyne Coast Blue Swimmer Crab | 1112 | 1 | 100 | 19,050 | 7,846 | 4.362 | 1.797 | 1-8 |
|  | 1314 | 1 | 100 | 8,764 | 3,126 | 2.226 | 0.794 | 1-4 |
|  | 1516 | 1 | 100 | 5,379 | 1,602 | 1.291 | 0.385 | 1-2 |


| Resource | Year | Number of species/ taxa | Proportion of total catch (by number) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Estimated } \\ \text { harvest } \\ \text { (by number) } \end{array}$ | Standard Error | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Estimated } \\ \text { harvest } \\ \text { (tonnes) } \end{array}$ | Standard <br> Error | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Estimated } \\ \text { harvest } \\ (\mathrm{t}, 95 \% \mathrm{CI}) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West Coast Bioregion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Coast Nearshore and Estuarine | 1112 | 10 | 91 | 603,220 | 33,315 | 113.744 | 6.459 | 101-126 |
|  | 1314 | 10 | 95 | 449,449 | 33,834 | 77.316 | 4.757 | 68-87 |
|  | 1516 | 10 | 93 | 328,616 | 28,613 | 67.722 | 4.909 | 58-77 |
| West Coast Demersal Scalefish | 1112 | 15 | 90 | 61,795 | 2,418 | 159.818 | 7.257 | 146-174 |
|  | 1314 | 15 | 87 | 59,625 | 2,414 | 154.562 | 7.323 | 140-169 |
|  | 1516 | 15 | 93 | 71,524 | 2,815 | 211.353 | 9.581 | 193-230 |
| West Coast Blue Swimmer Crab | 1112 | 1 | 100 | 380,816 | 24,843 | 85.684 | 5.590 | 75-97 |
|  | 1314 | 1 | 100 | 254,373 | 19,742 | 58.760 | 4.560 | 50-68 |
|  | 1516 | 1 | 100 | 181,709 | 14,925 | 42.883 | 3.522 | 36-50 |
| South Coast Bioregion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Coast Nearshore and Estuarine | 1112 | 10 | 95 | 152,040 | 14,927 | 44.094 | 3.829 | 37-52 |
|  | 1314 | 10 | 95 | 119,008 | 13,944 | 25.340 | 2.646 | 20-31 |
|  | 1516 | 10 | 95 | 65,729 | 7,729 | 16.683 | 2.058 | 13-21 |
| South Coast Demersal Scalefish | 1112 | 10 | 97 | 35,423 | 2,527 | 55.089 | 4.189 | 47-63 |
|  | 1314 | 10 | 98 | 24,174 | 1,505 | 34.293 | 2.081 | 30-38 |
|  | 1516 | 10 | 96 | 29,015 | 2,282 | 44.652 | 3.383 | 38-51 |
| South Coast Blue Swimmer Crab | 1112 | 1 | 100 | 12,164 | 3,145 | 2.786 | 0.720 | 1-4 |
|  | 1314 | 1 | 100 | 8,640 | 2,015 | 2.195 | 0.512 | 1-3 |
|  | 1516 | 1 | 100 | 2,918 | 1,156 | 0.700 | 0.277 | 0-1 |

## 10 Summary and Future Research

### 10.1 Overview

Participation, effort and catch from boat-based recreational fishing have been estimated from statewide surveys in 2011/12, 2013/14 and 2015/16. Although recreational fishing in Western Australia is conducted from boats and the shore across a range of marine and freshwater habitats, boat-based recreational fishing was estimated to account for $43 \%$ of recreational fishing effort and $46 \%$ of the recreational harvest in 2000/01, with both boat- and shore-based recreational fishing occurring almost entirely in marine waters (Henry and Lyle 2003).

Approximately 138,000 recreational fishers purchased a Recreational Fishing from Boat Licence (RFBL) in 2015/16 with half of these fishers residing in the Perth metropolitan area. Trends in participation (by recall for the previous 12-months) by residence, age, gender, avidity and bioregion fished varied but overall were consistent across the Screening and Benchmark Surveys from 2011 to 2016. The spatial coverage of the resident population influences the distribution of boat-based recreational fishing effort. Consequently, boat-based recreational fishing effort in 2015/16 was highest in the West Coast (74\%) with the remainder in the North Coast (8\%), Gascoyne Coast (12\%) and South Coast (6\%).

At a statewide level, most boat-based recreational fishing effort occurred in coastal nearshore (60\%), inshore demersal (25\%) and estuary habitats (11\%), and the remainder in pelagic (2\%), offshore demersal (1\%) and freshwater (1\%). Shore-based recreational fishing was not included in this report; therefore, recreational fishing effort would be under-estimated for nearshore, estuary and freshwater habitats. However, patterns in boat-based recreational fishing effort in this report were consistent with previous statewide surveys, including the National Recreational Fishing Survey in 2000/01, where most boat-based recreational fishing effort occurred in coastal waters (from the shoreline to 5 km ) ( $66 \%$ ), followed by estuarine (19\%), then offshore ( $>5 \mathrm{~km}$ from the coast) (11\%) (Henry and Lyle 2003).

Recreational fishers use a variety of fishing methods. At a statewide level, most boat-based recreational fishing effort was line fishing (62\%), followed by potting (32\%), diving (4\%) and nets (1\%). In 2000/01, line fishing accounted for $77 \%$ of recreational fishing effort, followed by potting methods (16\%) (Henry and Lyle 2003). Distinct seasonal patterns of boat-based recreational fishing effort occur in autumn and winter, which are the most active seasons in the North Coast and Gascoyne Coast, and summer and autumn, the most active seasons in the West Coast and South Coast.

Estimates of effort from boat-based recreational fishing in Western Australia were generally consistent across the three statewide surveys, as were trends in effort by habitat, method and month. While statewide effort declined in 2015/16, there was an increase in proportion of fishing effort in the West Coast which was consistent with results from the annual Community Survey (Department of Fisheries 2016), where the proportion of days fished in 2015/16 (74\%) was higher than 2013/14 (62\%).

Estimates of effort from boat-based recreational fishing by bioregion were broadly consistent across the three statewide surveys. Effort by habitat, method and month for each bioregion
were also generally consistent across the three statewide surveys. Notable exceptions for lower effort in 2015/16 occurred: in the North Coast (for line fishing, in inshore and nearshore habitats, from April to August); in the Gascoyne Coast (for line fishing, in inshore habitat, from April to August); and in the South Coast (for line fishing in inshore and nearshore habitats, throughout the year). Estimated boat-based recreational fishing effort in the West Coast was higher in 15/16 for potting, in nearshore habitat, and during November and December.

At a statewide level, estimates of catch from boat-based recreational fishing were generally consistent across the three statewide surveys. At a bioregion level, comparisons can be made for both the species contributing to the top 10 species in each resource and the estimated harvest for each resource. The estimated recreational harvest ranges for the top 10 nearshore and estuarine species were steady in 2015/16 in the North Coast (95\% CI 20-35 tonnes compared with 15-27 in 2013/14 and 20-36 in 2011/12) and Gascoyne Coast (95\% CI 6-13 compared with $9-22$ in 2013/14 and $8-16$ in 2011/12). The estimated recreational harvest range for the top 10 nearshore and estuarine species in the West Coast was steady in 2015/16 ( $95 \%$ CI 58-77) compared with 2013/14 (68-87), but lower than 2011/12 (101-126). The estimated recreational harvest range for the top 10 nearshore and estuarine species in the South Coast was steady in 2015/16 (95\% CI 13-21) compared with 2013/14 (20-31), but lower than 2011/12 (37-52).

The estimated recreational harvest range for the top 10 demersal species (or groupings) in the North Coast was lower in 2015/16 (95\% CI 34-47 tonnes compared with 48-69 in 2013/14 and $73-92$ in 2011/12). This decrease was consistent with lower estimates of effort by boatbased recreational fishers in the North Coast in 2015/16. Estimated recreational harvests were steady for Blackspot Tuskfish, Coral Trout, Golden Snapper, Grass Emperor, Mangrove Jack, Rankin Cod, Red Emperor and Stripey Snapper. The estimated recreational harvest range for Spangled Emperor was steady in 2015/16 (95\% CI 2-5 tonnes) compared with 2013/14 (39 ), but lower than 2011/12 (11-18).

The estimated recreational harvest range for the top 10 demersal species (or groupings) in the Gascoyne Coast was steady in 2015/16 (95\% CI 87-118 tonnes compared with 88-115 in 2013/14, but lower than 127-159 in 2011/12). The estimated recreational harvest range for: Spangled Emperor was steady in 2015/16 (8-16) compared with 2013/14 (12-22), but lower than 2011/12 (27-45); Grass Emperor was steady in 2015/16 (3-7) compared with 2013/14 (5-14), but lower than 2011/12 (12-20); and Redthroat Emperor was steady in 2015/16 (1-5) compared with 2013/14 (2-4), but lower than 2011/12 (6-11). Estimated recreational harvests were steady for Baldchin Groper, Goldband Snapper, Goldspotted Rockcod, Pink Snapper, Rankin Cod, Red Emperor and Stripey Snapper in the Gascoyne Coast.

The estimated recreational harvest range for the top 15 demersal species (or groupings) in the West Coast was higher in 2015/16 (95\% CI 193-230 tonnes compared with 140-169 in 2013/14 and 146-174 in 2011/12). The estimated recreational harvest range of West Australian Dhufish was higher in 2015/16 (97-129 compared with 69-94 in 2013/14 and 6487 in 2011/12). The estimated recreational harvest range of Baldchin Groper was higher in 2015/16 (28-42) compared with 2013/14 (17-25), but similar to the harvest range in 2011/12
(24-36). The estimated recreational harvest range of Pink Snapper was steady in 2015/16 (30-42 compared with $25-36$ in 2013/14 and 27-38 in 2011/12). Estimated recreational harvests were also steady for Baldchin Groper, Bight Redfish, Blue Morwong, Breaksea Cod, Emperor, Foxfish, Pink Snapper, Sea Sweep and Sergeant Baker in the West Coast.

The estimated recreational harvest range for the top 10 demersal species (or groupings) in the South Coast was steady in 2015/16 (95\% CI 38-51 tonnes compared with 30-38 in 2013/14 and 47-63 in 2011/12). Estimated recreational harvests were steady for Bight Redfish, Blue Morwong, Breaksea Cod, Foxfish, Harlequin Fish, Pink Snapper, Sea Sweep, West Australian Dhufish and Swallowtail in the South Coast.

The estimated recreational harvest of Mud Crab in the North Coast represented 70\% of the statewide total catch (kept by numbers) in 2015/16. The estimated recreational harvest range of Mud Crab in the North Coast were lower in 2015/16 (95\% CI 2-3 tonnes compared with $5-10$ in 2013/14 and 6-10 in 2011/12). The estimated recreational harvest of blue swimmer crab in the West Coast represented $92 \%$ of the statewide total catch (kept by numbers) in $2015 / 16$. The estimated recreational harvest range for blue swimmer crab in the West Coast was steady in 2015/16 (95\% CI 36-50 tonnes) compared with 2013/14 (50-68), but lower than 2011/12 (75-97).
Changes in the magnitude of estimates over time only provide an indication of the number kept and/or released from recreational fishing between surveys and does not necessarily provide an indication of the drivers of any change. Effort and catches reported from recreational fishers varies in accordance with the nature of the fishery (from both biological and human dimensions), spatial and temporal scales of the resource and fishing activity, and how these collectively respond to management actions. For example, access to the resource can vary over time through fish availability, legal size and bag limits, fisher mobility or fishing technology. Comparing estimates of catch from recreational fishing has similar constraints to those required for evaluating changes in commercial catch where differences can result from changes in both fish abundance and catchability. Catchability can vary with changes in fish behaviour and movement patterns, which vary by species, age and environmental factors, or changes in fishing practices, such as changes in targeted effort, time spent fishing and distance travelled to fishing location. Comparing estimates of catch from recreational fishing also requires consideration of release rates and the potential for change in fisher behaviour (e.g. species or targeting substitution).

Most importantly, evaluating time series of estimates of catch from recreational fishing requires consideration of the uncertainty associated with estimates. For the statewide surveys, the desired outcome was to achieve estimates for indicator species at statewide and bioregion levels with a precision suitable for stock assessments and developing management policies. It should not be expected that similar precision will be achieved for less common species, or any species at small spatial scales, although the survey design and sample size have allowed this to occur for some species. For example, the sample size and relative standard error achieved for indicator species in the Mid West, Metropolitan and South West zones have provided representative and precise estimates for spatial assessment of the West Coast Demersal Scalefish Resource.

While this report compares estimates from three statewide surveys of boat-based recreational fishing, additional catches from charter-boat recreational fishing (reported in Tour Operator Returns) and shore-based fishing (where available) are used to determine the total catch from the recreational sector. Specific performance indicators, reference levels and catch tolerances will be reported separately, and these will be used to provide trends in total catch to assist in developing, monitoring and refining management arrangements.

### 10.2 Fine-scale Estimates

It was anticipated that highest precision would be achieved for key species at annual and statewide levels, however, estimates with lower precision may be available at finer scale temporal (monthly) and spatial (zone within bioregions) levels.

The precision achieved for any estimate is generally dependent on the sample size and the level of variability in the data. Consequently, low accuracy and precision can occur for species caught rarely or infrequently from recreational fishing, or when disaggregating data to smaller spatial and temporal scales. The ability to improve precision in these situations depends on the ability to increase the sample size. Therefore, there is a recognised trade-off between survey costs and precision, which often requires balancing the need for desired precision with the available funding before commencing surveys. The desired outcome for the statewide surveys of recreational fishing is to achieve precise estimates for indicator species at statewide and bioregion levels. It is acknowledged that precise estimates for less common species, or species at small spatial scales, might not always be achieved for the given sample size.

### 10.3 Validation of Estimates from On-Site Surveys

Estimates of effort and catch from boat-based recreational fishing from the three statewide surveys are being compared with previous recreational fishing surveys to determine if there have been changes in the catch composition and harvest, and whether current management arrangements are appropriate. The results of these analyses will be published separately.

Additional components of the statewide surveys, the Boat Ramp and Remote Camera Surveys have provided biological data to assist in converting catch (by number) to harvest (by weight) and comparison of estimates of boat-based recreational fishing effort from the Phone-Diary Survey (fishers only) against launch and retrieval counts from the Remote Cameras (fishers and non-fishers). Additional information on the proportion of boat launches with fishers and non-fishers will allow direct comparison of boat-based recreational fishing effort and potentially an ongoing measure of fishing activity between statewide surveys.

### 10.4 Improving Accuracy and Precision of Estimates

Recreational fishers are numerous, diverse and diffuse. They use numerous access points and platforms for fishing, including boats launched from harbours, marinas, beaches and private docks. Their divergent nature ranges from avid to infrequent fishers and different survey methods will encounter avid and infrequent fishers in different relative proportions. This means there is no single survey method that can be used to accurately and precisely estimate effort and catch from all recreational fisheries. Consequently, all surveys of recreational
fishing have customised designs, which reflect the specific objectives of the survey, the spatial and temporal scope to be covered, the nature of the recreational fishery, and the constraints on resources available to conduct the survey.

A Research Partnership between the Department and Edith Cowan University has provided opportunities for postgraduate research to explore integration of spatial and temporal data obtained from recreational fishing surveys. To date, appropriate statistical and modelling methods have been explored to integrate the uncertainty associated with estimates of catch at different spatial and temporal scales (Aidoo et al. 2015; 2016). This research will assist in determining whether data from the statewide surveys can provide information at the resolution required for management of recreational fisheries at small spatial and temporal scales.

The Recreational Fishing from Boat Licence (RFBL) was implemented in 2010 and uptake of licences has increased each year. Understanding any biases that may occur due to changes in annual patterns of RFBL usage is critical when considering survey design and analysis, including behavioural adjustments of fishers. It is likely that some survey components will need to be modified to address any bias, and in some cases, it may be necessary to apply emerging techniques in survey design to further improve the accuracy and precision of estimates. This could include adjustment of weighting factors to account for avidity bias and non-intending fishing, subsequently estimates (and their uncertainty) may be revised on this basis.

As patterns in recreational fishing can change, the survey design needs to be flexible enough to accommodate these changes. A critical element of the Research Partnership is utilising expertise across several related disciplines (experimental design, data mining, spatial and temporal statistics, survey sampling) to allow further development and implementation of changes to the surveys if warranted. The Research Partnership with Edith Cowan University will also have a focus on developing human capital in fields directly relevant to statewide surveys.

The Department will continue to work proactively to ascertain whether additional information could be collected to better understand the human dimensions of recreational fishing and improve the accuracy and precision associated with estimates of effort and catch from recreational fishing to continue to provide the best available information for sustainable management of fishery resources.
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## 13 Appendices

## Appendix 1: Statewide and bioregion estimates of average weight of key species from Boat Ramp Surveys.

Av wt is the average weight (measured in grams); n is the number of weight measurements recorded; se is standard error; values in bold indicate $<10$ recorded weights for the species.
Note: Statewide sample size will not equal the sum of bioregion sample sizes because estimates for North Coast and Gascoyne Coast were calculated from surveys in 2011/12 and 2013/14; and estimates for West Coast and South Coast were calculated from surveys in 2015/16.
Statewide estimates were determined by aggregating data from Boat Ramp Surveys in 2011/12, 2013/14 and 2015/16.

| Common Name | Scientific Name | Statewide |  |  | North Coast |  |  | Gascoyne Coast |  |  | West Coast |  |  | South Coast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | n | AvWt | se | n | AvWt | se | n | AvWt | se | n | AvWt | se | n | AvWt | se |
| Roe's Abalone | Haliotis roei | 103 | 74 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 49 | 65 | 2 | 13 | 92 | 7 |
| Western Rock Lobster | Panulirus cygnus | 1577 | 636 | 10 |  |  |  | 17 | 1399 | 84 | 1284 | 632 | 11 |  |  |  |
| Blue Swimmer Crab | Portunus armatus | 1363 | 240 | 2 | 2 | 228 | 49 | 22 | 280 | 12 | 637 | 236 | 2 | 15 | 289 | 25 |
| Green Mud Crab | Scylla serrata | 12 | 866 | 69 | 10 | 850 | 81 | 2 | 944 | 125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orange Mud Crab | Scylla olivacea | 60 | 606 | 16 | 60 | 606 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gummy Shark | Mustelus antarcticus | 12 | 4179 | 557 | 1 | 2005 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3639 | 110 |  |  |  |
| Leaping Bonito | Cybiosarda elegans | 17 | 854 | 42 |  |  |  | 1 | 369 |  | 16 | 884 | 31 |  |  |  |
| Oriental Bonito | Sarda orientalis | 163 | 2080 | 48 |  |  |  | 3 | 3896 | 695 | 1 | 1244 |  | 88 | 2260 | 37 |
| Black Bream | Acanthopagrus butcheri | 51 | 298 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 489 | 25 | 10 | 287 | 17 |
| Frypan Bream | Argyrops spinifer | 30 | 683 | 34 | 3 | 453 | 115 | 27 | 708 | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pink Snapper | Chrysophrys auratus | 458 | 2591 | 74 |  |  |  | 35 | 2328 | 119 | 106 | 2711 | 160 | 31 | 3883 | 331 |
| Tarwhine | Rhabdosargus sarba | 44 | 399 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 | 386 | 43 | 9 | 330 | 36 |
| Western Yellowfin Bream | Acanthopagrus morrisoni | 29 | 523 | 29 | 25 | 523 | 25 | 4 | 521 | 154 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cobia | Rachycentron canadum | 54 | 7827 | 438 | 7 | 5362 | 634 | 36 | 7907 | 558 | 5 | 11166 | 781 |  |  |  |
| Blackspotted Rockcod | Epinephelus malabaricus | 48 | 1948 | 199 | 40 | 1620 | 171 | 7 | 3987 | 455 | 1 | 759 |  |  |  |  |
| Breaksea Cod | Epinephelides armatus | 864 | 972 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 228 | 945 | 26 | 179 | 1069 | 38 |
| Chinaman Rockcod | Epinephelus rivulatus | 475 | 438 | 7 | 1 | 300 |  | 459 | 437 | 6 | 5 | 472 | 75 |  |  |  |
| Eightbar Grouper | Hyporthodus octofasciatus | 16 | 7332 | 1848 |  |  |  | 11 | 9733 | 2362 | 1 | 950 |  |  |  |  |
| Frostback Rockcod | Epinephelus bilobatus | 14 | 1806 | 264 |  |  |  | 14 | 1806 | 264 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goldspotted Rockcod | Epinephelus coioides | 92 | 2929 | 343 | 57 | 2416 | 329 | 27 | 2760 | 631 | 4 | 6590 | 2918 |  |  |  |
| Greasy Rockcod | Epinephelus tauvina | 15 | 1416 | 121 |  |  |  | 15 | 1416 | 121 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Harlequin Fish | Othos dentex | 130 | 1424 | 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33 | 1361 | 77 | 42 | 1704 | 96 |


| Common Name | Scientific Name | Statewide |  |  | North Coast |  |  | Gascoyne Coast |  |  | West Coast |  |  | South Coast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | n | AvWt | se | n | AvWt | se | n | AvWt | se | n | AvWt | se | n | AvWt | se |
| Rankin Cod | Epinephelus multinotatus | 129 | 3419 | 183 | 37 | 2936 | 204 | 92 | 3614 | 241 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tomato Rockcod | Cephalopholis sonnerati | 33 | 1277 | 112 | 2 | 1030 | 235 | 31 | 1293 | 118 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yellowspotted Rockcod | Epinephelus areolatus | 67 | 747 | 42 | 6 | 1094 | 279 | 61 | 712 | 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Temperate Basses \& Rockcods | Percichthyidae, Serranidae undiff | 22 | 3903 | 1072 | 20 | 4214 | 1158 | 2 | 793 | 350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barcheek Coral Trout | Plectropomus maculatus | 129 | 2347 | 108 | 81 | 1914 | 87 | 45 | 3105 | 227 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common Coral Trout | Plectropomus leopardus | 29 | 2058 | 162 |  |  |  | 2 | 3169 | 849 | 19 | 2124 | 208 |  |  |  |
| Yellowedge Coronation Trout | Variola louti | 23 | 1890 | 236 |  |  |  | 23 | 1890 | 236 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Western Rock Blackfish | Girella tephraeops | 13 | 1583 | 166 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1363 | 62 | 3 | 1210 | 383 |
| Bluespotted Emperor | Lethrinus punctulatus | 13 | 507 | 43 | 11 | 489 | 49 | 2 | 608 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grass Emperor | Lethrinus laticaudis | 421 | 1224 | 27 | 213 | 1582 | 34 | 207 | 855 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Redspot Emperor | Lethrinus lentjan | 23 | 690 | 106 |  |  |  | 23 | 690 | 106 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Redthroat Emperor | Lethrinus miniatus | 198 | 919 | 32 | 2 | 688 | 98 | 126 | 922 | 37 | 17 | 1007 | 84 |  |  |  |
| Robinson's Seabream | Gymnocranius grandoculis | 85 | 1638 | 87 |  |  |  | 85 | 1638 | 87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spangled Emperor | Lethrinus nebulosus | 385 | 1994 | 44 | 17 | 1546 | 172 | 355 | 2007 | 45 | 10 | 2172 | 446 |  |  |  |
| Spotcheek Emperor | Lethrinus rubrioperculatus | 27 | 515 | 21 |  |  |  | 27 | 515 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yellowtail Emperor | Lethrinus atkinsoni | 79 | 537 | 20 | 5 | 726 | 18 | 74 | 525 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Southern Bluespotted Flathead | Platycephalus speculator | 183 | 575 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40 | 651 | 48 | 34 | 471 | 54 |
| Yellowtail Flathead | Platycephalus westraliae | 12 | 461 | 120 | 1 | 760 |  | 5 | 352 | 57 | 3 | 831 | 414 |  |  |  |
| Flatheads | Platycephalidae - undifferentiated | 13 | 761 | 132 | 1 | 180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 941 | 178 |
| Smalltooth Flounder | Pseudorhombus jenynsii | 18 | 426 | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 508 | 80 | 5 | 426 | 62 |
| Southern Garfish | Hyporhamphus melanochir | 138 | 98 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 | 95 | 6 | 1 | 91 |  |
| Three-By-Two Garfish | Hemiramphus robustus | 16 | 169 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blacksaddle Goatfish | Parupeneus spilurus | 14 | 862 | 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 997 | 43 |  |  |  |
| Bluespotted Goatfish | Upeneichthys vlamingii | 29 | 351 | 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 625 | 117 |  |  |  |
| Western Striped Grunter | Pelates octolineatus | 82 | 118 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 | 124 | 10 | 27 | 114 | 8 |
| Goldspotted Sweetlips | Plectorhinchus flavomaculatus | 36 | 1533 | 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 | 1482 | 129 |  |  |  |
| Painted Sweetlips | Diagramma labiosum | 63 | 2251 | 142 | 18 | 2044 | 208 | 38 | 2068 | 164 | 2 | 2824 | 1256 |  |  |  |
| Bighead Gurnard Perch | Neosebastes pandus | 22 | 761 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 762 | 42 |  |  |  |
| Sandy Sprat | Hyperlophus vittatus | 25 | 45 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black Jewfish | Protonibea diacanthus | 16 | 8080 | 1067 | 14 | 8445 | 1191 | 2 | 5526 | 214 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mulloway | Argyrosomus hololepidotus | 13 | 7561 | 1219 |  |  |  | 1 | 9600 |  | 6 | 7073 | 1245 |  |  |  |
| Bluelined Leatherjacket | Meuschenia galii | 12 | 396 | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 400 | 3 | 1 | 375 |  |
| Horseshoe Leatherjacket | Meuschenia hippocrepis | 21 | 812 | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 764 | 152 | 1 | 972 |  |
| Sixspine Leatherjacket | Meuschenia freycineti | 26 | 629 | 101 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 770 | 479 | 7 | 551 | 194 |


| Common Name | Scientific Name | Statewide |  |  | North Coast |  |  | Gascoyne Coast |  |  | West Coast |  |  | South Coast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | n | AvWt | se | n | AvWt | se | n | AvWt | se | n | AvWt | se | n | AvWt | se |
| Triggerfishes \& Leatherjackets | Balistidae, Monacanthidae - undiff | 18 | 500 | 81 |  |  |  | 1 | 473 |  | 1 | 326 |  | 9 | 349 | 21 |
| Blue Mackerel | Scomber australasicus | 60 | 154 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 347 | 26 | 46 | 119 | 5 |
| Mackerel Tuna | Euthynnus affinis | 38 | 3156 | 282 | 5 | 5533 | 1156 | 27 | 3015 | 243 | 1 | 3607 |  |  |  |  |
| School Mackerel | Scomberomorus queenslandicus | 83 | 1949 | 128 | 33 | 1759 | 193 | 44 | 1938 | 179 | 3 | 2650 | 478 |  |  |  |
| Spanish Mackerel | Scomberomorus commerson | 218 | 8406 | 242 | 41 | 8064 | 691 | 150 | 8480 | 283 | 7 | 9275 | 1121 |  |  |  |
| Blue Morwong | Nemadactylus valenciennesi | 294 | 2816 | 83 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 | 2485 | 244 | 135 | 2919 | 121 |
| Northern Pearl Perch | Glaucosoma buergeri | 34 | 1623 | 99 | 1 | 515 |  | 33 | 1656 | 96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Australian Dhufish | Glaucosoma hebraicum | 794 | 4861 | 101 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 349 | 5003 | 155 | 7 | 3410 | 884 |
| Saddleback Pigfish | Bodianus bilunulatus | 14 | 893 | 83 |  |  |  | 13 | 919 | 85 | 1 | 550 |  |  |  |  |
| Snook | Sphyraena novaehollandiae | 82 | 610 | 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 565 | 91 | 32 | 620 | 85 |
| Striped Barracuda | Sphyraena pinguis | 29 | 446 | 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bight Redfish | Centroberyx gerrardi | 481 | 1223 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 1141 | 96 | 306 | 1249 | 42 |
| Swallowtail | Centroberyx lineatus | 179 | 381 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 420 | 28 | 97 | 396 | 16 |
| Australian Herring | Arripis georgianus | 2838 | 130 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 554 | 131 | 1 | 694 | 138 | 2 |
| Western Australian Salmon | Arripis truttaceus | 269 | 3344 | 105 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 113 | 4503 | 56 | 79 | 2136 | 186 |
| Sergeant Baker | Latropiscis purpurissatus | 60 | 736 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 625 | 32 | 11 | 649 | 112 |
| Goldband Snapper | Pristipomoides multidens | 198 | 1974 | 68 | 2 | 2420 | 320 | 196 | 1969 | 69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rosy Snapper | Pristipomoides filamentosus | 13 | 1372 | 156 |  |  |  | 13 | 1372 | 156 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sharptooth Snapper | Pristipomoides typus | 91 | 1448 | 64 |  |  |  | 91 | 1448 | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chinamanfish | Symphorus nematophorus | 38 | 4455 | 413 | 27 | 3993 | 442 | 11 | 5588 | 868 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crimson Snapper | Lutjanus erythropterus | 13 | 2025 | 192 | 13 | 2025 | 192 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Darktail Snapper | Lutjanus lemniscatus | 23 | 718 | 92 | 3 | 338 | 82 | 19 | 784 | 104 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Golden Snapper | Lutjanus johnii | 16 | 761 | 38 | 15 | 776 | 38 | 1 | 540 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mangrove Jack | Lutjanus argentimaculatus | 73 | 772 | 38 | 67 | 719 | 29 | 5 | 1279 | 263 | 1 | 1820 |  |  |  |  |
| Moses' Snapper | Lutjanus russellii | 48 | 795 | 58 | 11 | 709 | 24 | 37 | 821 | 74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Red Emperor | Lutjanus sebae | 178 | 3357 | 154 | 40 | 2534 | 193 | 136 | 3557 | 183 | 1 | 2929 |  |  |  |  |
| Ruby Snapper | Etelis carbunculus | 73 | 6162 | 451 |  |  |  | 73 | 6162 | 451 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Saddletail Snapper | Lutjanus malabaricus | 80 | 1540 | 149 | 56 | 1505 | 173 | 24 | 1623 | 295 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stripey Snapper | Lutjanus carponotatus | 132 | 592 | 15 | 63 | 581 | 18 | 69 | 602 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fusiliers \& Tropical Snappers | Caesionidae, Lutjanidae - undiff | 18 | 1475 | 86 | 14 | 1515 | 107 | 4 | 1334 | 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Western Red Scorpionfish | Scorpaena sumptuosa | 11 | 638 | 54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 859 | 41 |
| Eastern Striped Grunter | Pelates sexlineatus | 56 | 93 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Banded Sweep | Scorpis georgiana | 33 | 783 | 61 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 | 606 | 64 | 2 | 698 | 32 |
| Moonlighter | Tilodon sexfasciatus | 15 | 899 | 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 981 | 73 | 1 | 1227 |  |
| Sea Sweep | Scorpis aequipinnis | 143 | 1331 | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 | 1410 | 67 | 48 | 1399 | 71 |


| Common Name | Scientific Name | Statewide |  |  | North Coast |  |  | Gascoyne Coast |  |  | West Coast |  |  | South Coast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | n | AvWt | se | n | AvWt | se | n | AvWt | se | n | AvWt | se | n | AvWt | se |
| Tailor | Pomatomus saltatrix | 106 | 671 | 36 |  |  |  | 10 | 835 | 71 | 24 | 707 | 88 |  |  |  |
| Blue Threadfin | Eleutheronema tetradactylum | 21 | 1403 | 272 | 20 | 1454 | 281 | 1 | 382 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Western Butterfish | Pentapodus vitta | 199 | 180 | 5 |  |  |  | 3 | 130 | 11 | 96 | 179 | 6 |  |  |  |
| Amberjack | Seriola dumerili | 11 | 6273 | 1659 |  |  |  | 3 | 11843 | 5195 | 3 | 3752 | 611 |  |  |  |
| Bludger Trevally | Carangoides gymnostethus | 22 | 1703 | 85 | 2 | 1190 | 610 | 20 | 1754 | 73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Giant Trevally | Caranx ignobilis | 14 | 1700 | 386 | 9 | 1196 | 125 | 4 | 1754 | 650 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Golden Trevally | Gnathanodon speciosus | 97 | 2246 | 203 | 69 | 1793 | 214 | 28 | 3363 | 399 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Samsonfish | Seriola hippos | 98 | 6588 | 475 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35 | 6570 | 824 | 7 | 9341 | 1028 |
| Silver Trevally | Pseudocaranx spp. complex | 1302 | 517 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 358 | 561 | 11 | 223 | 483 | 28 |
| Turrum | Carangoides fulvoguttatus | 83 | 2097 | 181 | 22 | 2777 | 391 | 61 | 1851 | 194 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yellowtail Kingfish | Seriola lalandi | 44 | 3155 | 317 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | 3275 | 317 | 9 | 3844 | 894 |
| Yellowtail Scad | Trachurus novaezelandiae | 116 | 71 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 82 | 3 | 42 | 69 | 2 |
| Trevallies | Carangidae - undifferentiated | 22 | 2283 | 417 | 21 | 2357 | 430 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 725 |  |
| Longtail Tuna | Thunnus tonggol | 61 | 4965 | 237 | 3 | 5270 | 341 | 55 | 5046 | 251 | 2 | 2063 | 303 |  |  |  |
| Skipjack Tuna | Katsuwonus pelamis | 59 | 3426 | 123 |  |  |  | 38 | 2986 | 93 | 1 | 4132 |  | 2 | 4157 | 237 |
| Southern Bluefin Tuna | Thunnus maccoyii | 102 | 5045 | 238 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 | 2271 | 257 | 74 | 5585 | 264 |
| Yellowfin Tuna | Thunnus albacares | 29 | 7010 | 594 | 1 | 6865 |  | 15 | 8004 | 699 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baldchin Groper | Choerodon rubescens | 649 | 2364 | 40 |  |  |  | 13 | 2702 | 346 | 301 | 2425 | 63 |  |  |  |
| Blackspot Tuskfish | Choerodon schoenleinii | 83 | 2818 | 183 | 35 | 2641 | 236 | 48 | 2948 | 265 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brownspotted Wrasse | Notolabrus parilus | 342 | 436 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 135 | 403 | 13 | 50 | 461 | 32 |
| Foxfish | Bodianus frenchii | 119 | 836 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43 | 785 | 36 | 29 | 973 | 48 |
| Southern Maori Wrasse | Ophthalmolepis lineolatus | 83 | 256 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33 | 260 | 13 | 15 | 260 | 15 |
| Tuskfishes | Choerodon spp. | 20 | 3662 | 549 | 19 | 3824 | 553 | 1 | 595 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Western Blue Groper | Achoerodus gouldii | 32 | 6639 | 762 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 9693 | 1868 | 8 | 6689 | 1081 |
| Western King Wrasse | Coris auricularis | 395 | 312 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 236 | 298 | 8 | 20 | 381 | 25 |
| Wrasses | Labridae - undifferentiated | 12 | 430 | 95 |  |  |  | 3 | 778 | 277 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| King George Whiting | Sillaginodes punctata | 2677 | 238 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 125 | 513 | 28 | 1060 | 200 | 3 |
| Southern School Whiting | Sillago bassensis | 3057 | 95 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 786 | 84 | 1 | 303 | 115 | 2 |
| Western School Whiting | Sillago vittata | 311 | 98 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 76 | 101 | 5 | 12 | 83 | 4 |
| Yellowfin Whiting | Sillago schomburgkii | 20 | 167 | 20 |  |  |  | 6 | 192 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Whitings | Sillaginidae - undifferentiated | 20 | 124 | 13 | 1 | 320 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 108 | 6 |
| Western Wirrah | Acanthistius serratus | 12 | 845 | 79 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 742 | 65 |  |  |  |
| Southern Blue Devil | Paraplesiops meleagris | 18 | 455 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 473 | 34 |

## Appendix 2: Summary of launches and retrievals by power boat at 11 boat ramps from Remote Camera Survey in 2015/16.

The following pages provide summaries of total launches and retrievals of power boats during 2015/16, by year, month and hours (within month). Major periods of data loss during the 12 -months are indicated by an asterix and error bars are 1 standard error where imputation was required for missing data.

Results are presented for the 11 boat ramps monitored in the Camera Survey:

- Dampier (Lat 20.656, Long 116.707)
- Monkey Mia (Lat 25.793, Long 113.720)
- Denham (Lat 25.928, Long 113.533)
- Mindarie (Lat 31.692, Long 115.702)
- Ocean Reef (Lat 31.762, Long 115.728)
- Hillarys (Lat 31.822, Long 115.739)
- Leeuwin (Lat 32.030, Long 115.762)
- Woodman Point Public Ramp (Lat 32.139, Long 115.762)
- Woodman Point Private Ramp (Lat 32.139, Long 115.762)
- Point Peron (Lat 32.271, Long 115.698)
- Emu Point (Lat 34.995, Long 117.945)


Figure 82: Total launches (white bar) and retrievals (black bar) by power boats from Dampier (Lat 20.656, Long 116.707) during 2015/16 (top centre); total launches (white bars) and retrievals (black bars) by month (top right); and hourly launches (dotted line) and retrievals (solid line) by month. Error bars are 1 standard error where data imputation required for missing data.

Data for September 2015 were unavailable.


Figure 83. Total launches (white bar) and retrievals (black bar) by power boats from Monkey Mia (Lat 25.793, Long 113.720) during 2015/16 (top centre); total launches (white bars) and retrievals (black bars) by month (top right); and hourly launches (dotted line) and retrievals (solid line) by month. Error bars are 1 standard error where data imputation required for missing data.

Data for September 2015, November 2015, December 2015 and January 2016 were unavailable.


Figure 84. Total launches (white bar) and retrievals (black bar) by power boats from Denham (Lat 25.928, Long 113.533) during 2015/16 (top centre); total launches (white bars) and retrievals (black bars) by month (top right); and hourly launches (dotted line) and retrievals (solid line) by month. Error bars are 1 standard error where data imputation required for missing data.

Data for July to August 2016 were unavailable.


Figure 85. Total launches (white bar) and retrievals (black bar) by power boats from Mindarie (Lat 31.692, Long 115.702) during 2015/16 (top centre); total launches (white bars) and retrievals (black bars) by month (top right); and hourly launches (dotted line) and retrievals (solid line) by month. Error bars are 1 standard error where data imputation required for missing data.

Data for September 2015, April 2016 and July 2016 were unavailable.


Figure 86. Total launches (white bar) and retrievals (black bar) by power boats from Ocean Reef (Lat 31.762, Long 115.728) during 2015/16 (top centre); total launches (white bars) and retrievals (black bars) by month (top right); and hourly launches (dotted line) and retrievals (solid line) by month. Error bars are 1 standard error where data imputation required for missing data.


Figure 87. Total launches (white bar) and retrievals (black bar) by power boats from Hillarys (Lat 31.822, Long 115.739) during 2015/16 (top centre); total launches (white bars) and retrievals (black bars) by month (top right); and hourly launches (dotted line) and retrievals (solid line) by month. Error bars are 1 standard error where data imputation required for missing data.


Figure 88. Total launches (white bar) and retrievals (black bar) by power boats from Leeuwin (Lat 32.030, Long 115.762) during 2015/16 (top centre); total launches (white bars) and retrievals (black bars) by month (top right); and hourly launches (dotted line) and retrievals (solid line) by month. Error bars are 1 standard error where data imputation required for missing data.

Data for August 2016 were unavailable.


Figure 89. Total launches (white bar) and retrievals (black bar) by power boats from Woodman Point Public Ramp (Lat 32.139, Long 115.762) during 2015/16 (top centre); total launches (white bars) and retrievals (black bars) by month (top right); and hourly launches (dotted line) and retrievals (solid line) by month. Error bars are 1 standard error where data imputation required for missing data.

Data for July 2016 were unavailable.


Figure 90. Total launches (white bar) and retrievals (black bar) by power boats from Woodman Point Private Ramp (Lat 32.139, Long 115.762) during 2015/16 (top centre); total launches (white bars) and retrievals (black bars) by month (top right); and hourly launches (dotted line) and retrievals (solid line) by month. Error bars are 1 standard error where data imputation required for missing data.


Figure 91. Total launches (white bar) and retrievals (black bar) by power boats from Point Peron (Lat 32.271, Long 115.698) during 2015/16 (top centre); total launches (white bars) and retrievals (black bars) by month (top right); and hourly launches (dotted line) and retrievals (solid line) by month. Error bars are 1 standard error where data imputation required for missing data.


Figure 92. Total launches (white bar) and retrievals (black bar) by power boats from Emu Point (Lat 34.995, Long 117.945) during 2015/16 (top centre); total launches (white bars) and retrievals (black bars) by month (top right); and hourly launches (dotted line) and retrievals (solid line) by month. Error bars are 1 standard error where data imputation required for missing data.

Data for November 2015, December 2015 and January 2016 were unavailable.

## Appendix 3: Harvest ranges from 2011/12 and 2013/14 statewide surveys.

values in bold indicate relative standard error $>40 \%$; values in italics indicate $<30$ diarists recorded catches of the species; Sources for average weights: B is the bioregion estimate from boat ramp surveys, S is the statewide estimate from boat ramp surveys, C unpublished data from Tour Operator Returns

Year Bioregion Species \begin{tabular}{rlrl}
Estimated <br>
catch (kept <br>
by number)

$\quad$

Average <br>
weight (kg)t

$\quad$

Source

 

Estimated <br>
harvest <br>
(tonnes)
\end{tabular}

## Nearshore and Estuarine Resources (all Bioregions)

| 1112 | North | Barramundi | 2,082 | 4.087 | C | 8.509 | 2.710 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1112 | North | Golden Trevally | 1,611 | 5.156 | C | 8.306 | 1.598 |
| 1112 | North | Blue Threadfin | 2,428 | 2.559 | C | 6.213 | 2.239 |
| 1112 | North | Giant Trevally | 680 | 4.410 | C | 2.999 | 1.050 |
| 1112 | North | Bludger Trevally | 546 | 2.348 | C | 1.282 | 0.392 |
| 1112 | North | Chinaman Rockcod | 451 | 0.718 | C | 0.324 | 0.142 |
| 1112 | North | Whiting | 1,342 | 0.107 | C | 0.144 | 0.079 |
| 1112 | North | Garfish | 1,140 | 0.104 | S | 0.119 | 0.054 |
| 1112 | North | Mullet | 2,150 | 0.054 | C | 0.116 | 0.044 |
| 1112 | North | Northwest Black Bream | 578 | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| 1112 | TOTAL |  | 13,008 |  |  | 28.012 | 4.025 |
| 1112 | Gascoyne | Chinaman Rockcod | 6,281 | 0.718 | C | 4.510 | 1.765 |
| 1112 | Gascoyne | Golden Trevally | 792 | 5.156 | C | 4.084 | 0.887 |
| 1112 | Gascoyne | Giant Trevally | 511 | 4.410 | C | 2.254 | 0.600 |
| 1112 | Gascoyne | Tailor | 906 | 0.652 | S | 0.591 | 0.290 |
| 1112 | Gascoyne | Western Butterfish | 1,733 | 0.191 | S | 0.331 | 0.165 |
| 1112 | Gascoyne | Silver Trevally | 473 | 0.518 | S | 0.245 | 0.126 |
| 1112 | Gascoyne | Garfish | 1,003 | 0.104 | S | 0.104 | 0.091 |
| 1112 | Gascoyne | School Whiting | 924 | 0.097 | S | 0.090 | 0.035 |
| 1112 | Gascoyne | Sea Mullet | 1,020 | 0.054 | C | 0.055 | 0.025 |
| 1112 | Gascoyne | Small Baitfish | 457 | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| 1112 | TOTAL |  | 14,100 |  |  | 12.264 | 2.097 |
| 1112 | West | Australian Herring | 187,231 | 0.140 | B | 26.212 | 2.683 |
| 1112 | West | Silver Trevally | 55,127 | 0.468 | B | 25.799 | 2.664 |
| 1112 | West | School Whiting | 235,912 | 0.097 | S | 22.883 | 2.308 |
| 1112 | West | King George Whiting | 48,601 | 0.312 | B | 15.164 | 2.351 |
| 1112 | West | Tailor | 21,439 | 0.652 | S | 13.978 | 3.867 |
| 1112 | West | Western King Wrasse | 9,202 | 0.350 | S | 3.221 | 0.739 |
| 1112 | West | Black Bream | 10,021 | 0.312 | S | 3.127 | 0.878 |
| 1112 | West | Garfish | 22,320 | 0.104 | S | 2.321 | 0.448 |
| 1112 | West | Other Whiting | 5,991 | 0.107 | C | 0.641 | 0.205 |
| 1112 | West | Sea Mullet | 7,376 | 0.054 | C | 0.398 | 0.226 |
| 1112 | TOTAL |  | 603,220 |  |  | 113.744 | 6.459 |
| 1112 | South | King George Whiting | 61,435 | 0.196 | B | 12.041 | 2.299 |
| 1112 | South | Western Australian Salmon | 2,462 | 3.135 | S | 7.718 | 1.696 |
| 1112 | South | Black Bream | 22,916 | 0.312 | S | 7.150 | 1.926 |
| 1112 | South | Silver Trevally | 10,092 | 0.518 | S | 5.228 | 0.696 |
| 1112 | South | Australian Herring | 28,899 | 0.129 | B | 3.728 | 0.686 |
| 1112 | South | Snook | 3,505 | 0.862 | S | 3.021 | 1.159 |
| 1112 | South | Leatherjacket | 1,555 | 1.424 | C | 2.214 | 0.537 |
| 1112 | South | School Whiting | 16,265 | 0.097 | S | 1.578 | 0.333 |
| 1112 | South | Southn Bluespotted Flathead | 2,039 | 0.548 | S | 1.117 | 0.254 |
| 1112 | South | Garfish | 2,872 | 0.104 | S | 0.299 | 0.173 |


| Year | Bioregion | Species | Estimated <br> catch (kept <br> by number) | Average <br> weight $(\mathrm{kg}) \mathrm{t}$ | Source | Estimated <br> harvest <br> (tonnes) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1112 | TOTAL |  | 152,040 |  | 44.094 | 3.829 |

Nearshore and Estuarine Resources (all Bioregions)

| 1314 | North | Barramundi | 1,648 | 4.067 | C | 6.702 | 1.708 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1314 | North | Blue Threadfin | 2,097 | 2.658 | C | 5.574 | 1.204 |
| 1314 | North | Golden Trevally | 1,014 | 5.073 | C | 5.144 | 2.029 |
| 1314 | North | Black Jewfish | 557 | 3.056 | C | 1.702 | 0.455 |
| 1314 | North | Bludger Trevally | 542 | 2.347 | C | 1.272 | 0.354 |
| 1314 | North | Yellowtail Barracuda | 1,230 | 0.417 | S | 0.513 | 0.223 |
| 1314 | North | Garfish | 2,213 | 0.095 | S | 0.210 | 0.094 |
| 1314 | North | Mullet | 1,406 | 0.051 | C | 0.072 | 0.023 |
| 1314 | North | Northwest Black Bream | 638 | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| 1314 | North | Small Baitfish | 583 | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| 1314 | TOTAL |  | 11,928 |  |  | 21.189 | 2.980 |
| 1314 | Gascoyne | Mulloway | 1,289 | 4.535 | C | 5.846 | 2.857 |
| 1314 | Gascoyne | Golden Trevally | 785 | 5.073 | C | 3.982 | 0.898 |
| 1314 | Gascoyne | Chinaman Rockcod | 5,493 | 0.719 | C | 3.949 | 1.201 |
| 1314 | Gascoyne | Western Butterfish | 2,104 | 0.232 | S | 0.488 | 0.311 |
| 1314 | Gascoyne | Tailor | 709 | 0.666 | S | 0.472 | 0.204 |
| 1314 | Gascoyne | Northern Sand Flathead | 325 | 0.778 | C | 0.253 | 0.087 |
| 1314 | Gascoyne | School Whiting | 2,160 | 0.094 | S | 0.203 | 0.107 |
| 1314 | Gascoyne | Western Yellowfin Bream | 355 | 0.488 | C | 0.173 | 0.060 |
| 1314 | Gascoyne | Sea Mullet | 2,321 | 0.051 | C | 0.118 | 0.075 |
| 1314 | Gascoyne | Garfish | 727 | 0.095 | S | 0.069 | 0.049 |
| 1314 | TOTAL |  | 16,268 |  |  | 15.553 | 3.253 |
| 1314 | West | School Whiting | 247,728 | 0.094 | S | 23.286 | 2.860 |
| 1314 | West | Silver Trevally | 29,326 | 0.539 | B | 15.807 | 1.861 |
| 1314 | West | King George Whiting | 27,832 | 0.475 | B | 13.220 | 2.335 |
| 1314 | West | Australian Herring | 102,066 | 0.122 | B | 12.452 | 1.386 |
| 1314 | West | Tailor | 7,485 | 0.666 | S | 4.985 | 0.967 |
| 1314 | West | Western King Wrasse | 8,460 | 0.320 | S | 2.707 | 0.690 |
| 1314 | West | Yellowtail Barracuda | 4,790 | 0.417 | S | 1.997 | 1.402 |
| 1314 | West | Black Bream | 4,882 | 0.254 | S | 1.240 | 0.281 |
| 1314 | West | Western Butterfish | 4,091 | 0.237 | B | 0.970 | 0.262 |
| 1314 | West | Sea Mullet | 12,789 | 0.051 | C | 0.652 | 0.296 |
| 1314 | TOTAL |  | 449,449 |  |  | 77.316 | 4.757 |
| 1314 | South | King George Whiting | 47,234 | 0.187 | B | 8.833 | 2.120 |
| 1314 | South | Western Australian Salmon | 1,591 | 2.652 | S | 4.219 | 0.931 |
| 1314 | South | Australian Herring | 30,118 | 0.118 | B | 3.554 | 0.532 |
| 1314 | South | Silver Trevally | 5,627 | 0.495 | S | 2.785 | 0.596 |
| 1314 | South | School Whiting | 21,062 | 0.094 | S | 1.980 | 0.576 |
| 1314 | South | Black Bream | 7,114 | 0.254 | S | 1.807 | 0.528 |
| 1314 | South | Snook | 2,703 | 0.449 | S | 1.214 | 0.593 |
| 1314 | South | Southern Bluespotted Flathead | 1,420 | 0.588 | S | 0.835 | 0.193 |
| 1314 | South | Garfish | 1,191 | 0.095 | S | 0.113 | 0.043 |
| 1314 | South | Oriental Bonito | 948 | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| 1314 | TOTAL |  | 119,008 |  |  | 25.340 | 2.646 |


| Year | Bioregion | Species | Estimated catch (kept by number) | Average weight (kg)t | Source | Estimated harvest (tonnes) | Standard Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demersal Resources (all Bioregions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1112 | North | Grass Emperor | 11,099 | 1.340 | B | 14.873 | 2.750 |
| 1112 | North | Spangled Emperor | 7,047 | 2.084 | S | 14.686 | 1.880 |
| 1112 | North | Coral Trout | 4,723 | 2.556 | C | 12.072 | 1.628 |
| 1112 | North | Red Emperor | 2,749 | 3.441 | S | 9.459 | 1.359 |
| 1112 | North | Rankin Cod | 2,630 | 2.994 | S | 7.874 | 1.159 |
| 1112 | North | Stripey Snapper | 8,529 | 0.899 | C | 7.668 | 1.578 |
| 1112 | North | Blackspot Tuskfish | 2,227 | 2.684 | S | 5.977 | 1.264 |
| 1112 | North | Blackspotted Rockcod | 1,403 | 4.098 | C | 5.749 | 1.406 |
| 1112 | North | Mangrove Jack | 4,090 | 0.822 | B | 3.362 | 0.852 |
| 1112 | North | Bluespotted Emperor | 1,456 | 0.595 | C | 0.866 | 0.255 |
| 1112 | TOTAL |  | 45,953 |  |  | 82.586 | 4.878 |
| 1112 | Gascoyne | Spangled Emperor | 17,195 | 2.093 | B | 35.989 | 4.829 |
| 1112 | Gascoyne | Pink Snapper | 11,433 | 2.476 | S | 28.308 | 3.724 |
| 1112 | Gascoyne | Grass Emperor | 16,695 | 0.961 | B | 16.044 | 2.251 |
| 1112 | Gascoyne | Red Emperor | 4,407 | 3.441 | S | 15.164 | 2.564 |
| 1112 | Gascoyne | Rankin Cod | 4,627 | 2.994 | S | 13.853 | 2.096 |
| 1112 | Gascoyne | Goldband Snapper | 2,256 | 4.223 | C | 9.527 | 2.863 |
| 1112 | Gascoyne | Redthroat Emperor | 7,771 | 1.088 | B | 8.455 | 1.253 |
| 1112 | Gascoyne | Baldchin Groper | 3,178 | 2.368 | S | 7.526 | 1.397 |
| 1112 | Gascoyne | Coral Trout | 1,903 | 2.556 | C | 4.864 | 0.943 |
| 1112 | Gascoyne | Goldspotted Rockcod | 1,836 | 2.009 | S | 3.689 | 0.816 |
| 1112 | TOTAL |  | 71,301 |  |  | 143.419 | 8.154 |
| 1112 | West | West Australian Dhufish | 16,814 | 4.485 | B | 75.411 | 5.938 |
| 1112 | West | Pink Snapper | 14,023 | 2.315 | B | 32.463 | 2.611 |
| 1112 | West | Baldchin Groper | 12,764 | 2.337 | B | 29.829 | 2.935 |
| 1112 | West | Breaksea Cod | 9,874 | 1.031 | S | 10.180 | 0.798 |
| 1112 | West | Blue Morwong | 1,319 | 2.717 | S | 3.584 | 0.565 |
| 1112 | West | Emperor | 2,472 | 1.385 | C | 3.424 | 0.857 |
| 1112 | West | Sergeant Baker | 1,599 | 1.050 | C | 1.679 | 0.360 |
| 1112 | West | Bight Redfish | 1,069 | 1.171 | S | 1.252 | 0.195 |
| 1112 | West | Sea Sweep | 799 | 1.252 | S | 1.000 | 0.258 |
| 1112 | West | Foxfish | 982 | 0.811 | S | 0.796 | 0.136 |
| 1112 | West | Eightbar Grouper | 50 | 4.003 | C | 0.200 | 0.144 |
| 1112 | West | Bass Groper | 12 | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| 1112 | West | Blue-Eye Trevalla | 18 | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| 1112 | West | Hapuku | 0 | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| 1112 | West | Ruby Snapper | 0 | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| 1112 | TOTAL |  | 61,795 |  |  | 159.818 | 7.257 |
| 1112 | South | Blue Morwong | 4,568 | 2.717 | S | 12.411 | 1.872 |
| 1112 | South | Bight Redfish | 10,279 | 1.171 | S | 12.037 | 1.691 |
| 1112 | South | Pink Snapper | 3,556 | 2.476 | S | 8.805 | 2.097 |
| 1112 | South | Breaksea Cod | 8,437 | 1.031 | S | 8.699 | 1.459 |
| 1112 | South | West Australian Dhufish | 923 | 4.536 | S | 4.187 | 1.828 |
| 1112 | South | Sea Sweep | 2,432 | 1.252 | S | 3.045 | 0.871 |
| 1112 | South | Swallowtail | 2,672 | 1.091 | S | 2.915 | 0.570 |
| 1112 | South | Harlequin Fish | 1,262 | 1.401 | S | 1.768 | 0.382 |
| 1112 | South | Sergeant Baker | 722 | 1.050 | C | 0.758 | 0.193 |
| 1112 | South | Foxfish | 572 | 0.811 | S | 0.464 | 0.227 |
| 1112 | TOTAL |  | 35,423 |  |  | 55.089 | 4.189 |


| Year | Bioregion | Species | Estimated catch (kept by number) | Average weight (kg)t | Source | Estimated harvest (tonnes) | Standard Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demersal Resources (all Bioregions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1314 | North | Grass Emperor | 7,046 | 1.714 | B | 12.077 | 2.868 |
| 1314 | North | Red Emperor | 2,019 | 3.574 | S | 7.216 | 1.544 |
| 1314 | North | Coral Trout | 2,738 | 2.583 | C | 7.072 | 1.113 |
| 1314 | North | Rankin Cod | 1,730 | 3.719 | S | 6.434 | 1.450 |
| 1314 | North | Spangled Emperor | 3,182 | 1.929 | S | 6.138 | 1.481 |
| 1314 | North | Blackspot Tuskfish | 1,968 | 2.792 | S | 5.495 | 1.393 |
| 1314 | North | Stripey Snapper | 5,848 | 0.899 | C | 5.257 | 1.469 |
| 1314 | North | Painted Sweetlips | 1,339 | 3.206 | C | 4.293 | 2.667 |
| 1314 | North | Mangrove Jack | 3,291 | 0.775 | S | 2.551 | 0.525 |
| 1314 | North | Golden Snapper | 1,330 | 1.464 | C | 1.947 | 0.423 |
| 1314 | TOTAL |  | 30,491 |  |  | 58.480 | 5.274 |
| 1314 | Gascoyne | Pink Snapper | 9,712 | 2.342 | S | 22.746 | 2.712 |
| 1314 | Gascoyne | Spangled Emperor | 8,715 | 1.930 | B | 16.820 | 2.575 |
| 1314 | Gascoyne | Goldband Snapper | 3,445 | 4.295 | C | 14.796 | 3.445 |
| 1314 | Gascoyne | Red Emperor | 3,167 | 3.574 | S | 11.319 | 3.131 |
| 1314 | Gascoyne | Grass Emperor | 13,954 | 0.708 | B | 9.879 | 2.237 |
| 1314 | Gascoyne | Rankin Cod | 2,346 | 3.719 | S | 8.725 | 1.175 |
| 1314 | Gascoyne | Baldchin Groper | 2,836 | 2.247 | S | 6.372 | 1.292 |
| 1314 | Gascoyne | Goldspotted Rockcod | 2,229 | 2.770 | S | 6.174 | 1.748 |
| 1314 | Gascoyne | Redthroat Emperor | 3,670 | 0.834 | B | 3.061 | 0.570 |
| 1314 | Gascoyne | Stripey Snapper | 1,583 | 0.899 | C | 1.423 | 0.262 |
| 1314 | TOTAL |  | 51,657 |  |  | 101.315 | 6.867 |
| 1314 | West | West Australian Dhufish | 18,306 | 4.456 | B | 81.572 | 6.283 |
| 1314 | West | Pink Snapper | 12,681 | 2.394 | B | 30.358 | 2.827 |
| 1314 | West | Baldchin Groper | 9,426 | 2.235 | B | 21.067 | 1.971 |
| 1314 | West | Breaksea Cod | 10,975 | 0.919 | S | 10.086 | 0.913 |
| 1314 | West | Emperor | 2,472 | 1.455 | C | 3.597 | 0.837 |
| 1314 | West | Blue Morwong | 1,058 | 2.733 | S | 2.892 | 0.593 |
| 1314 | West | Sea Sweep | 1,228 | 1.244 | S | 1.528 | 0.409 |
| 1314 | West | Bight Redfish | 1,114 | 1.102 | S | 1.228 | 0.216 |
| 1314 | West | Sergeant Baker | 1,122 | 1.092 | C | 1.225 | 0.358 |
| 1314 | West | Foxfish | 1,108 | 0.772 | S | 0.855 | 0.159 |
| 1314 | West | Eightbar Grouper | 39 | 3.960 | C | 0.154 | 0.139 |
| 1314 | West | Bass Groper | 20 | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| 1314 | West | Blue-Eye Trevalla | 76 | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| 1314 | West | Hapuku | 0 | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| 1314 | West | Ruby Snapper | 0 | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| 1314 | TOTAL |  | 59,625 |  |  | 154.562 | 7.323 |
| 1314 | South | Bight Redfish | 8,343 | 1.102 | S | 9.194 | 1.217 |
| 1314 | South | Blue Morwong | 2,871 | 2.733 | S | 7.846 | 0.973 |
| 1314 | South | Pink Snapper | 2,579 | 2.342 | S | 6.040 | 0.941 |
| 1314 | South | Breaksea Cod | 5,482 | 0.919 | S | 5.038 | 0.651 |
| 1314 | South | West Australian Dhufish | 568 | 4.446 | S | 2.525 | 0.676 |
| 1314 | South | Sea Sweep | 1,052 | 1.244 | S | 1.309 | 0.274 |
| 1314 | South | Harlequin Fish | 908 | 1.137 | S | 1.032 | 0.163 |
| 1314 | South | Swallowtail | 1,559 | 0.340 | S | 0.530 | 0.130 |
| 1314 | South | Sergeant Baker | 476 | 1.092 | C | 0.520 | 0.127 |
| 1314 | South | Foxfish | 336 | 0.772 | S | 0.259 | 0.058 |
| 1314 | TOTAL |  | 24,174 |  |  | 34.293 | 2.081 |


| Year | Bioregion | Species | Estimated catch (kept by number) | Average weight (kg)t | Source | Estimated harvest (tonnes) | Standard Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pelagic Resources (North Coast) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1112 | North | Spanish Mackerel | 3,794 | 9.723 | C | 36.889 | 4.978 |
| 1112 | North | Cobia | 406 | 6.937 | C | 2.816 | 0.909 |
| 1112 | North | Shark Mackerel | 311 | 8.689 | C | 2.702 | 1.147 |
| 1112 | North | Other Mackerel \& Tuna | 252 | 9.723 | C | 2.450 | 1.235 |
| 1112 | North | School Mackerel | 1,197 | 1.972 | C | 2.360 | 0.651 |
| 1112 | North | Northern Bluefin Tuna | 201 | 5.653 | C | 1.136 | 0.396 |
| 1112 | North | Mackerel Tuna | 216 | 4.590 | C | 0.991 | 0.376 |
| 1112 | North | Spotted Mackerel | 343 | 2.226 | C | 0.764 | 0.254 |
| 1112 | North | Skipjack Tuna | 110 | 5.811 | C | 0.639 | 0.599 |
| 1112 | North | Great Barracuda | 108 | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| 1112 | TOTAL |  | 6,938 |  |  | 50.747 | 5.440 |
| 1314 | North | Spanish Mackerel | 2,506 | 9.507 | C | 23.825 | 4.107 |
| 1314 | North | School Mackerel | 1,539 | 1.959 | C | 3.015 | 1.559 |
| 1314 | North | Cobia | 320 | 6.955 | C | 2.226 | 0.675 |
| 1314 | North | Northern Bluefin Tuna | 216 | 5.867 | C | 1.267 | 0.411 |
| 1314 | North | Mackerel Tuna | 172 | 4.364 | C | 0.751 | 0.310 |
| 1314 | North | Grey Mackerel | 70 | 5.410 | C | 0.379 | 0.195 |
| 1314 | North | Southern Bluefin Tuna | 47 | 5.220 | C | 0.245 | 0.141 |
| 1314 | North | Spotted Mackerel | 79 | 2.184 | C | 0.173 | 0.087 |
| 1314 | North | Amberjack | 47 | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| 1314 | North | Great Barracuda | 160 | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| 1314 | TOTAL |  | 5,156 |  |  | 31.881 | 4.482 |
| Crab Resources (all Bioregions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1112 | North | Green (Giant) Mud Crab | 4,730 | 1.044 | C | 4.938 | 1.018 |
| 1112 | North | Brown (Orange) Mud Crab | 4,778 | 0.621 | C | 2.967 | 0.486 |
| 1112 | TOTAL |  | 9,508 |  |  | 7.905 | 1.128 |
| 1314 | North | Green (Giant) Mud Crab | 4,252 | 1.103 | C | 4.690 | 0.941 |
| 1314 | North | Brown (Orange) Mud Crab | 4,696 | 0.621 | C | 2.916 | 0.651 |
| 1314 | TOTAL |  | 8,948 |  |  | 7.606 | 1.144 |
| 1112 | North | Blue Swimmer Crab | 14,802 | 0.229 | S | 3.390 | 0.910 |
| 1112 | Gascoyne | Blue Swimmer Crab | 19,050 | 0.229 | S | 4.362 | 1.797 |
| 1112 | West | Blue Swimmer Crab | 380,816 | 0.225 | B | 85.684 | 5.590 |
| 1112 | South | Blue Swimmer Crab | 12,164 | 0.229 | S | 2.786 | 0.720 |
| 1314 | North | Blue Swimmer Crab | 15,938 | 0.254 | S | 4.048 | 1.011 |
| 1314 | Gascoyne | Blue Swimmer Crab | 8,764 | 0.254 | S | 2.226 | 0.794 |
| 1314 | West | Blue Swimmer Crab | 254,373 | 0.231 | B | 58.760 | 4.560 |
| 1314 | South | Blue Swimmer Crab | 8,640 | 0.254 | S | 2.195 | 0.512 |


[^0]:    * charter-boat recreational fishing (i.e. tour operators) was excluded from analysis in the report

